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1. Introduction

Basically, two types of approaches are currently being developed for tooth engineering. The first one consists in the engineering of dental constituents, such as the periodontium, the pulp/dentin, or the enamel/dentin complexes (Duan et al., 2011; Honda et al., 2009; Huang, 2009; Park et al., 2010). In parallel with these experiments of tissue engineering, attempts are also made to reconstruct a whole tooth (Arany et al., 2009; Honda et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2006a; Komine et al., 2007; Nakao et al., 2007; Ohazama et al., 2004). Most of this chapter will consider the second goal, and only use data from tooth tissue engineering, when they bring information about the cellular or molecular mechanisms that are involved and/or about the specific constraints, which they may illustrate.

Few groups are interested in a biomimetic approach to engineer a whole tooth, including crown, roots, and periodontium by using cultured cell-cell re-associations and trying to recapitulate the successive steps of tooth development (Arany et al., 2009; Honda et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2006a; Nakao et al., 2007; Ohazama et al., 2004). Specific questions arising from this research concern the experimental design, and the search for easily available cell sources. The panel of approaches is progressively restricted by two types of biological constraints: those specifically related to tooth functionality and those related to more general biological aspects such as the maintenance of the cell heterogeneity in the dental mesenchyme and in the periodontium, keeping the gradients of cell differentiation and their 3D-geometry, or the maintenance of the cell kinetic parameters to ensure the differential cusp timing and growth. These complementary points will be discussed in light of parallel approaches being developed by different groups.

2. Abbreviations


* Sabine Kuchler-Bopp and Laetitia Keller contributed equally to this work

3. Engineering a whole tooth using embryonic dental cells

Embryonic dental cells are commonly used as they allow a full tooth development from cell-cell re-associations (Honda et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2005; Nakao et al., 2007). A two stages methodology has been developed, where cell-cell re-associations are cultured in vitro before implantation in adult mice (Fig. 1; Hu et al., 2006a; Nakao et al., 2007). The in vitro culture allowed epithelial histogenesis, initiation of crown morphogenesis and odontoblasts to become post-mitotic (Fig. 2A, C, D). Ameloblast functional differentiation as well as root and periodontal tissue development started during the implantation period (Fig. 2B, E). This two steps method is quite well established and has been used with either dental or non-dental cell sources (Arany et al., 2009; Honda et al., 2007; Nakao et al., 2007; Ohazama et al., 2004).

3.1 Epithelial histogenesis and crown morphogenesis

During tooth development, the primary enamel knot (PEK), a morphogenetic center controlling crown morphogenesis, transiently forms in the enamel organ (Jernvall & Thesleff, 2000). The histogenesis and functionalization of this structure in cell-cell re-associations is thus an essential step to be achieved. Using embryonic dental cells, the PEK reproducibly formed during early stages of the re-association in vitro (Fig. 2C) and was characterized by the condensation of non dividing cells in the epithelium, their expression of Shh and a local concentration of apoptosis (Hu et al., 2005). Four days after this PEK specification, cusps developed, showing that this structure was functional (Fig. 2A). However, up to now, this could not be achieved when using bone marrow cells instead of embryonic dental mesenchymal cells (Nait Lechguer et al., 2009). It was shown that the mesenchyme specifies epithelial histogenesis (Lesot & Brook, 2009; Nait Lechguer et al., 2009) and that the number of mesenchymal cells used for re-association is a critical parameter in determining the number of cusps (Hu et al., 2006a). In contrast with bone marrow cells (Ohazama et al., 2004), the potentialities of the embryonic dental mesenchymal cells to stimulate tooth formation are lost in vitro (Keller et al., 2011). Attempts were made to recover it by pre-culturing these cells in the presence of FGF2 (Arany et al., 2009; Keller et al., 2011). Like FGF2, the use of FGF1 or FGF8 or their combination did not allow tooth formation (data not shown). In cell-cell re-associations, the potentialities of cells to engage in tooth development seem to be revealed during the first few hours of co-culture, several days before it became histologically visible. There is no molecular marker to anticipate the fate of cells in re-associations and to predict whether they can engage in tooth formation. On the other hand, there is no published example where cell-cell re-associations could engage in early stage of tooth re-formation and stop thereafter, as observed in several mutants where the bud to cap transition is critical (Jernvall & Thesleff, 2000; Peters & Balling, 1999).
Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the experimental procedures. The mandibular first molars were dissected from ICR embryos at embryonic day (ED) 14. All procedures with animals were in compliance with the recommendations of the European Economic Community (86/609/CEE) on the use and care of laboratory animals. The dental epithelium and mesenchyme were dissociated by using 1% trypsin in DMEM-F12 at 4°C for 30 min. After separation, the dental epitheliums and mesenchymes were dissociated into cells by centrifugation through 70 µm nylon filters. Epithelial and mesenchymal cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at 9000 g, fragments of each pellet were re-associated and cultured for 8 days. After 8 days \textit{in vitro}, the re-associations were implanted between skin and muscles behind the ears in ICR or GFP adult mice. The implantations were maintained for up to two weeks.
Fig. 2. Histology of dental epithelial and mesenchymal cell-cell re-associations cultured for 4 days (C), 8 days (A, D) and implanted for 2 weeks under skin (B, E).

For histology, samples were fixed in Bouin-Hollande, embedded in paraffin, and 5 μm serial sections were stained with Mallory. Implants were demineralized in 4.3% EDTA before their inclusion in paraffin. At four days in culture, the PEK was visible (C) and cusps developed after 8 days (A). After 8 days in culture, preodontoblasts and postmitotic odontoblasts are facing preameloblasts (D). After implantation (B, E) ameloblasts became functional, gradient of differentiation and mineralization of the dental matrices were achieved. Am: ameloblasts, Cl.: cervical loop, D: dentin, DEJ: dentin-enamel junction, DM: dental mesenchyme, DP: dental pulp, E: enamel, IDE: inner dental epithelium, ODE: outer dental epithelium, Od: odontoblasts, pAm: preameloblasts, pD: predentin, PDM: peridental mesenchyme, PEK:primary enamel knot, pOd: preodontoblasts, SI: stratum intermedium, SR: stellate reticulum. Bars= 10 μm (D, E) and 40 μm (A, B, C).

The in vitro culture of embryonic dental cell-cell re-associations allowed the rapid deposition of a basement membrane at the epithelial-mesenchymal junction. A complete epithelial histogenesis could be achieved within 4 to 6 days, including the inner and outer dental epitheliums, the stellate reticulum and, later, the stratum intermedium (Fig. 2A, C; Hu et al., 2005). This stratum intermedium plays an essential role in the functional differentiation of ameloblasts (Lesot & Brook, 2009; Nait Lechguer et al., 2011; Yoshida et al., 2010). Only in one report, non polarized ameloblasts-like cells expressing amelogenin appeared to be generated in the absence of a stratum intermedium (Honda & Hata, 2010). However, it is not known whether these cells, after longer implantation periods might indeed lead to the formation of organized enamel crystals.

However, it remains to be determined whether and how far such potentialities can be maintained at later embryonic stages and also in the adult (Keller et al., 2011). Keratinization, leading to cyst formation, is indeed a major problem arising when culturing...
3.2 Functional cell differentiation

During tooth development, the differentiation of odontoblasts and ameloblasts occurs in a progressive way. Gradients in the cytological and functional differentiation of the two cell types warrant the adhesion of enamel to dentin. It also allows the further growth of the tooth from the late bell stage, while it is already impaired at the tip of the cusps by the matrix accumulated there. In the absence of interposed scaffold, the exchanges between the epithelial and ecto-mesenchymal compartments can occur directly and the planar signaling within the preodontoblast-odontoblast cell layer can have a direct echo on the preameloblast-ameloblast layer (Ruch et al., 1995). It is not known how far this is transmitted to the stratum intermedium. In the absence of scaffold, gradients of differentiation and the progressive polarized deposition and mineralization of the dental matrices could be reproduced in cultured and implanted cell-cell re-associations (Fig. 2B, E).

Recently, the organization of these mineralized matrices has been investigated (Honda et al., 2008; Nait Lechguer et al., 2011). Tooth-specific constraints include the necessity to get a correct mineralization of the matrices (dentin, enamel and cementum), which itself requires a good vascularization of the reconstructed organ (Fig. 4A-D; Nait Lechguer et al., 2008, 2011). Ultimately, the quality of the dentin-enamel junction (DEJ) and the orientation of the crystals in each matrix will determine the functionality of the tooth (Katz et al., 2007). The DEJ has been viewed as a connector between two matrices with distinct mechanical properties. The quality of this DEJ, with its own mechanical and bonding specificities, is thus a critical parameter to be preserved in tooth engineering. The risk of interfering with the integrity of the DEJ might then represents a major limitation in the use of scaffolds designed to shape engineered teeth (Xu et al., 2008).

Depending on the region of the dental mesenchyme during tooth development, local specificities were observed, which supported differential timings in cusp formation, their individual kinetic of development, and their final different sizes (Lesot et al., 1999). These were suggested to result from regional variations in cell proliferation kinetics, thus requiring sophisticated mechanisms to coordinate these events in the ecto-mesenchyme and neighbor inner dental epithelium (Lesot et al., 2001; Lisi et al., 2003). These parameters have not been investigated in the case of cultured and implanted cell-cell re-associations, although they would determine the crown shape. To avoid probably very difficult questions, it was suggested to put efforts mainly on root engineering allowing then the implantation of an artificial crown (Volponi et al., 2010).

3.3 Root formation

More and more, the root appears as the key point in tooth engineering because its functionality is related to tooth anchoring as well as in the mediation of tooth movement in the jaw. For this reason, it is important to avoid ankylosis. As determining tooth functionality, the development of root in cell-cell re-associations has been investigated as well (Honda et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2006a; Nait Lechguer et al., 2011).

Using embryonic dental cells, root formation could be initiated, but only after implantation of the cultured cell-cell re-associations (Fig. 3A, B; Hu et al., 2006a). In these conditions, the
periodontium formed and elongated cells, similar to periodontal ligament fibers, extended from the root dentin to newly formed bone (Fig. 3C; Hu et al., 2006a). During the two weeks of implantation, the periodontium remained as a non-mineralized zone in between the dentin/cementum (Fig. 3D, E) and newly formed bone (Nait Lechguer et al., 2011). Longer periods of implantation will have to be tested in order to determine whether the absence of mineralization of this area can be preserved. The maintenance of homeostasis after long term implantation will also have to be investigated since the periodontal ligament is a rather complex tissue (for review see Nanci & Bosshardt, 2006).

Attempts to regenerate the periodontium were also performed using postnatal stem cells from the periodontal ligament (Table 3; Seo et al., 2004). However, this potential cell source only represents a few cells. Other approaches have been developed, using bone marrow stem cells (Kawaguchi et al., 2004), or muscle derived-stem cells (Yang et al., 2010). The role of blood vessels and the participation of progenitor/pericytic cells in periodontium development and regeneration have been extensively reviewed (Ripamonti & Petit, 2009). However, with these cells, the goal was very focused and no attempt was made to test how far, in a different context, they could give rise to other dental tissues. Such a hypothesis has been formulated recently (Rothova et al., 2011).

Fig. 3. Histology of root formation in cell-cell re-associations cultured for 8 days and implanted for 1 week (A) and 2 weeks under skin (B, C, D, E).

Between one week (A) and two weeks (B) of implantation, the root was forming. Cementoblasts (D) secreted cementum (E) and the periodontium (C) remained as a non-mineralized zone in between the dentin/cementum and newly formed bone. Am: ameloblasts, B: bone, BV: blood vessel, Cb: cementoblasts, Ce: cementum, CL: cervical loop, D: dentin, DP: dental pulp, E: enamel Od: odontoblasts, pOd: preodontoblasts, pD: predentin, PDL: periodontal ligament. Bars=10 µm, (D) 20 µm (C, D) and 30 µm (A, B)

3.4 Organ vascularization

The primary role of vascularization is related to oxygenation and metabolic exchanges, thus allowing cell survival. Since it cannot be functional in vitro, culturing large sized samples...
may be rapidly impaired, so that the implantation of the re-associations is absolutely necessary. The interstitial flow, generated by vascularization, may further mediate the extracellular transport of molecules, including growth factors, thus coupling mechanical and chemical signaling (Griffith & Schwartz, 2006). The requirement of vascularization for dental matrices mineralization, explains its specific patterning in time and space during tooth development, including in the enamel organ (Fig. 4C; Manzke et al., 2005; Nait Lechguer et al., 2008). Cultured dental embryonic cell-cell re-associations could be vascularized after they were implanted under the skin or in the jaw of adult mice (Fig. 4A-E; Nait Lechguer et al., 2008, 2011; Nakao et al., 2007). It has been suggested that dental pulp stem cells might give rise to functional blood vessels (Cordeiro et al., 2008). However, cultured embryonic dental cell-cell re-associations from ICR mouse embryos have been implanted in GFP mice, which showed that all newly formed blood vessels entering the dental mesenchyme and the enamel organ, originated from the host (Nait Lechguer et al., 2008).

Fig. 4. Vascularization of subcutaneously implanted cultured epithelial/mesenchymal cell-cell re-associations in adult ICR mice (A-H).
Epithelial/mesenchymal cell-cell re-associations were cultured for 8 days in vitro prior to implantation for 2 weeks under skin. Histology showed that blood vessels can enter in the dental pulp (D), migrate in the pulp (A), and reach odontoblasts (B). In the enamel organ, blood vessels were in close contact with the stratum intermedium (C). Blood vessels were localized by immunofluorescent staining for CD31 (E, H) and pericytes were detected by immunofluorescence staining for αSMA (G, F, H). Cells detected by αSMA coming from the PDM could reach and enter in the pulp (G). All blood vessels detected by CD31 were not positive for αSMA (H). Implants were embedded in Tissue-Tek (Agar Scientific, Saclay,
France) and frozen at -30°C. Immunostaining was performed on serial frozen sections (7 μm) with rat monoclonal anti-mouse CD31 (1/100) (BD Pharmingen, Evry, France) and polyclonal rabbit anti-human αSMA (1/100) (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Sections were incubated for 2hrs at room temperature with the primary antibodies and for 1hr with donkey anti-rat secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488 (1/200) and chicken anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa 594 (1/500) (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen SARL, Cergy Pontoise, France). Am: ameloblasts, BV: blood vessel, D: dentin, DP: dental pulp, E: enamel, Od: odontoblasts, P: pericyte, pD: predentin, PDM: periodontal mesenchyme, SI: stratum intermedium. Bars=10 μm, (A, C) 20 μm (B, G) and 60 μm (D, E, F, H)

Vascularization may play a further role, at least in the mesenchymal compartment. Cells with a mesodermal origin participate in the dental pulp formation including the blood vessels, which develop there (Chai et al., 2000; Rothova et al., 2011). Some of the mesenchymal stem cells present in the dental pulp might originate from pericytes (Feng et al., 2010; Lovschall et al., 2007). Since the perivascular environment may play an essential role as it remains a potential source of stem cells possibly involved in reparative processes (Shi & Gronthos, 2003), this context could explain the results published by Arany and co-workers (2009). These authors could obtain the formation of a tooth when re-associating a clonal cell line derived from a dental mesenchyme with a competent enamel organ and implanting these re-associations. As far as the implants can be vascularized, external cells might thus participate in the re-formation of the dental mesenchyme and allow restoring the complex cellular heterogeneity of this tissue, which hardly could be expected to originate from a clonal cell line (Keller et al., 2011). Pericytes in implanted cell-cell re-association were visualized after immunostaining for a smooth muscle actin (αSMA) (Nehls & Drenckhahn, 1991) and detected both in the apical part of the dental pulp (Fig. 4G) and peridental mesenchyme (Fig. 4F, H). All blood vessels detected by CD31 were not positive for αSMA (Fig. 4H). The presence of stem cells associated with the blood vessels during the early stages of vascularization of implanted cell-cell re-associations will have to be investigated to better understand the data from Arany et al. (2009).

3.5 Innervation

Besides the mediation of pain sensation, tooth innervation is involved in several complementary aspects such as the recruitment of immunocompetent cells (Byers et al., 2003; Fried et al., 2000), the control of odontoblast function (Fristad et al., 1999) and the prevention of tooth ankylosis. Being necessary for tooth functionality, the innervation remains as a major challenge in tooth engineering. The innervation of the tooth is initiated relatively late during development and involves sensory and sympathetic nerves. Complex molecular mechanisms regulate the timing and pattern of tooth innervation (Fried et al., 2007; Kettunen et al., 2005; Luukko et al., 2008). It has been suggested that the epithelium instructs and controls dental axons navigation in the dental mesenchyme (Luukko et al., 2008). Attempts to implant extracted or engineered teeth in the jaw of adult mice could be achieved (Ferreira et al., 2007; Honda et al., 2006; Nait Lechguer et al., 2008; Nakao et al., 2007). However, it always remained quite far from nerves. Still a correct positioning of the implanted cell-cell re-associations in the jaw cannot be controlled in the mouse or rat, where they are relatively small. It is thus impossible to specifically position the prospective root-forming part of the implant so that it is oriented towards the alveolar nerve (Honda et al., 2006; Nait Lechguer et al., 2008). In parallel, preliminary experiments have been performed
to test the possibility to obtain the innervation of cultured cell-cell re-associations after implantation under the skin. For this purpose, embryonic dental cell-cell re-associations were implanted together with DRG (Fig. 5A). After 2 weeks of implantation, immunostaining for peripherin showed the axonal growth in the peridental tissues (Fig. 5C, D), but not in the dental mesenchyme (Fig. 5B, C, D). The impossibility to innervate the dental mesenchyme might be related to the embryonic stage (ED14) that was used to prepare dental cells. Indeed at ED14, innervation remains inhibited in physiological conditions, and only becomes possible postnatally (Luukko et al., 2008). The expression of semaphorins, which regulate innervation, will have to be investigated in implanted cell-cell re-associations.

Fig. 5. Innervation of subcutaneously implanted cultured epithelial/mesenchymal cell re-associations with DRG in adult ICR mice (A-D).

Epithelial-mesenchymal cell-cell re-associations were cultured for 8 days in vitro prior to co-implantation with DRG from ED12. After 2 weeks of implantation under the skin (A), axons and DRG were detected by immunofluorescent staining for peripherin. Axons growing from DRG in contact with the PDM (A, B) were detected at the limit between PDM and DP (C, D). However, they never entered the DP (B, C). Implants were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Immunostaining was performed on serial paraffin sections (7 μm) with rabbit polyclonal anti-human peripherin (1/100) (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). Sections were incubated for 2hrs at room temperature with the primary antibody and for 1hr with chicken anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa 594 (1/500) and to Alexa 488 (1/200) (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen SARL, Cergy Pontoise, France). BV: blood vessel, DP: dental pulp, DRG: dorsal root ganglia, PDM: periodontal mesenchyme, T: tooth. Bars= 20 μm (C, D) and 40 μm (A, B)
4. Using matrix or artificial polymers instead of cells only

A tricky point in the experimental designs being developed in different laboratories is whether to use scaffolds or not. Several scaffolds with different composition and complementary purposes have been used for tooth engineering (Fig. 6).

4.1 Pre-shaped scaffolds

In some instances, scaffolds of different natures have been designed to try to “pre-shape” the tooth to be engineered (Fig. 6; Kim et al., 2010; Young et al., 2005). Despite their potential interest to direct crown morphology, scaffolds also have their own limitations. Indeed, they may impair correct cell-cell interactions, and thus interfere both with the general histogenesis and with epithelial-mesenchymal interactions. This is critical since these interactions control all aspects of tooth development: epithelial histogenesis, crown morphogenesis, and cell differentiation, as well as root development (Jernvall & Thesleff, 2000; Ruch et al., 1982; Slavkin et al., 1984).

The question of tissue interfaces has been raised with other models such as the complex ligament-, tendon- or cartilage-bone interfaces. For this specific purpose, attempts are made to develop stratified scaffolds (Lu et al., 2010). Similar challenges exist when trying to restore dentin/enamel, dentin/cementum, or cementum/periodontal fibers interfaces and maintain their specific mechanical properties. During tooth development, tissues interfaces usually show multi-step formation where extensive matrix remodeling takes place (Bosshardt et al., 2005; Ho et al., 2009; Imbeni et al., 2005). In all these interfaces, there is a tight structure-function relationship. In case of multiple bone interfaces, these characteristics were taken into account to design biomimetic stratified scaffolds (Lu et al., 2010). In this specific case however, scaffolds were not designed to try shaping a tissue, but to set up a 3D spatial organization of cells activities. The ultimate goal was to reproduce physiological mechanical properties by patterning matrix heterogeneity. Similarly for periodontium engineering, attempts have been made to design hybrid scaffolds allowing the maintenance of the ligamentous fibers spatial organization (Park et al., 2010). According to these authors, the design will have to be further developed by introducing mechanical forces in order to better adjust the spatial organization of fibers.

Depending on their structure and surface organization, scaffolds may also interfere with horizontal signaling within the odontoblast and/or ameloblast layer(s). The main problem would be related to the odontoblast layer, since the extent of the gradients which they may form will have direct consequences on the patterning of ameloblast differentiation and, ultimately, on enamel organization (Nait Lechguer et al., 2011). The morphology of the epithelial-mesenchymal junction, just before ameloblast differentiate, is thought to represent the physiological scaffold and template for the final crown shape (Skinner & Gunz, 2010). During tooth development, the different cusps show different specific kinetics of cell differentiation (Lisi et al., 2003). Still very little is known about the mechanisms involved in it despite active research to address this question (Salazar-Ciudad & Jernvall, 2004, 2010).

Obviously, the design of a scaffold is strongly related to the tissue to be engineered (Chan & Leong, 2008) and to the purpose of its use: either for organ shaping (Kim et al., 2010; Young et al., 2005), or, more simply to maintain high cell density and cohesion as discussed below (Arany et al., 2009; Nakao et al., 2007).
4.2 Extracellular matrix constituents

For tooth engineering, extracellular matrix (ECM) constituents (Fig. 6) were not used to shape the forming organ but either to maintain cells together and work with high cell density or to retain signaling molecules involved in stimulating morphogenesis and/or cell differentiation (Griffith & Schwartz, 2006; Honda et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2010; Nakao et al., 2007; Sumita et al., 2009). The level of signaling in the mesenchyme was recognized as a potentially limiting factor in tooth engineering (Hu et al., 2006a; Nait Lechguer et al., 2009). The matrix may be involved in signaling either directly through cell surface receptors, or indirectly because of its ability to differentially bind diffusible growth factors, and also because it contains enzymes modulating or tuning the two other ways (Discher et al., 2009; Reilly & Engler, 2010; Rhodes & Simons, 2007). These different molecules may explain why and how the ECM can interfere with distinct cellular activities such as the adhesion, migration, proliferation, survival and differentiation. For this reason also, it is difficult to design the composition of the ECM scaffold. Beside matrix stiffness, which may interfere with cell migration and thus with morphogenesis, Griffith and Schwartz (2006) took into account the formation of molecular gradients, one parameter, which may be very important for tooth formation. The main difficulty in using ECM constituents is related to the physiological complexity of the 3D environment, as it exists in a tissue. However, cells can rapidly modify and re-adjust this environment as far as ECM constituents can initially be populated by the different cell types present in a tissue, so that a physiological homeostasis and histogenesis can then be restored (Keller et al., 2011). ECM scaffold remodeling is thus an important parameter to be investigated when the organ formation progresses (Badylak et al., 2009).
Different matrix constituents have been used for tooth engineering (Table 1). However, collagen, under different forms, was by far the most frequently used (Honda et al., 2007; Nakao et al., 2007; Sumita et al., 2006). Collagen gel has been successfully used for dental cells encapsulation, as obviously neither interfering with the epithelial histogenesis nor with the patterning of cell differentiation (Arany et al., 2009; Honda et al., 2008; Nakao et al., 2007). It would be interesting to analyze the behavior of epithelial cells in such an environment, which they physiologically never meet.

Comparing the potentialities of different scaffolds, possible inhibitory effects of collagen on hard tissue formation have been reported (Ohara et al., 2010). However, other studies ended up with tooth formation (Arany et al., 2009; Nakao et al., 2007). Although their degree of mineralization was not measured, dentin and enamel were secreted in these two independent studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECM constituents</th>
<th>Whole tooth</th>
<th>Dental and enamel-like structures</th>
<th>Collagen gel</th>
<th>Collagen sponge</th>
<th>Fibrin gel</th>
<th>GCHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Komine et al., 2007</td>
<td>Ohara et al., 2010</td>
<td>Nakao et al., 2007</td>
<td>Arany et al., 2009</td>
<td>Sumita et al., 2006</td>
<td>Olara et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Extracellular matrix constituents used for tooth engineering

4.3 Synthetic polymers

Several synthetic polymers have been used to embed cells for tooth engineering (Fig. 6), mostly polyglycolic acid (PGA) and poly-lactide-co-glycolide (PLGA) (Table 2). They were chosen for the possibility they offer to control their structural and mechanical properties (Hacking & Khademhosseini, 2009). For this reason, they have been widely used for tissue engineering. The possibility to re-create a specific histological organization has been investigated, in the case of lung tissue engineering by growing pluripotent cells seeded onto synthetic polymers, showing that identifiable pulmonary structures could develop (Cortiella et al., 2006). Although PGA is satisfactory to grow cells in vitro, this polymer can induce inflammatory reactions after implantation in immunocompetent hosts (Ceonzo et al., 2006; Cortiella et al., 2006).

Different groups have used PGA for tooth engineering (Duailibi et al., 2004, 2008; Iwatsuki et al., 2006; Ohara et al., 2010), but the risk of inflammation was only discussed by Duailibi et al. (2008). Concerning biodegradation, PGA fiber meshes were still present after 10 days.
of implantation (Iwatsuki et al., 2006). It will be important to more precisely evaluate what happens at later stages.

As stated above, synthetic polymers might introduce discontinuities in the setting of gradients of cell progression towards differentiation and thus interfere with the geometry of epithelial-mesenchymal interactions. However, Honda et al., (2008) showed convincing images of tooth formation using dental cells seeded in PGA polymers, but coated with collagen.

A comparative study suggested that the potential of PGA scaffold to support tooth formation was not as good as collagen sponges scaffold (Honda et al., 2010). Considering the initial stages of tooth formation, similar conclusions were drawn when comparing collagen or fibrin gels with PGA or β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) (Ohara et al., 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthetic polymers</th>
<th>Enamel, dentin and pulp tissue</th>
<th>Enamel-like structure and dentin</th>
<th>Honda et al., 2005; Ohara et al., 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGA (Polyglycolate)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ameloblast and dentin tissue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen coated PGA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whole tooth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA (poly-L-lactate-co-glycolate)</td>
<td>Enamel, dentin and pulp tissue</td>
<td>Duaibi et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enamel- and dentin-like tissue</td>
<td>Duaibi et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA (poly-L-lactate)</td>
<td>Functional odontoblasts and</td>
<td>Saiki et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tabular dentin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-PLA (nanofibrous-poly-L-lactic acid)</td>
<td>Odontoblast-like cells</td>
<td>Wang et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA/PLLA (Polyglycolate/poly-L-lactate)</td>
<td>Enamel- and dentin-like tissue</td>
<td>Duaibi et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inorganic scaffolds</th>
<th>Odontoblast-like cells</th>
<th>Ohara et al., 2010</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-TCP (β-tricalcium phosphate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA/TCP (hydroxyapatite/tricalcium phosphate)</td>
<td>Dentin-like matrix and odontoblast-like cells</td>
<td>Grouthoe et al., 2000; Mura et al., 2003; Souchanas et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Synthetic polymers and inorganic scaffolds used for tooth engineering
5. Cell sources

Although embryonic cells represent an excellent tool to set up methodologies for tooth engineering, they are not a cell source to be used in a clinical context. One possible alternative would be the use of the stem cells evidenced in numerous adult organs (Bergmann & Steller, 2010). To engineer a complete tooth and be able to reproduce the epithelial-mesenchymal interactions taking place during development, it will be necessary to combine mesenchymal and epithelial adult stem cells with odontogenic potentialities. The identification and selection of these two cell populations/sources is a major challenge.

For the mesenchymal compartment, the use of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) certainly appears as first evidence. These cells were initially characterized in the bone marrow (Friedenstein et al., 1970; Pittenger et al., 1999). They appear as a subset of fibroblast-like cells able to form colonies that can be selected by their adherence to plastic and expanded in vitro. As classical stem cells, they are capable of self-renewal and of displaying multilineage differentiation ability in vitro, not only towards the classical “triumvirate”, osteoblasts, adipocytes and chondrocytes, but also towards the endothelial, cardiomyocytes or neural fate depending on in vitro induction (for review see Nombela-Arrieta et al., 2011). This multipotentiality together with their easiness of in vitro expansion has made these cells promising in regenerative medicine, mainly dealing with bone defects, cardiac repair, and MSCs immunosuppressive properties (for review see Augello et al., 2010; Chanda et al., 2010). Bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs) have also been tested for tooth engineering (Hu et al., 2006b; Nait Lechguer et al., 2009; Ohazama et al., 2004). They could substitute the dental mesenchyme, and engage in tooth formation (Ohazama et al., 2004). Furthermore, they could also be reprogrammed in situ to engage in ameloblast functional differentiation (Hu et al., 2006b).

Since their first description, MSC-like cells have been reported in many embryonic and adult connective tissues (da Silva Meirelles et al., 2006), including some of the adult tooth: dental pulp of permanent (Gronthos et al., 2000) or deciduous teeth (Miura et al., 2003), periodontal ligament (Seo et al., 2004), apical papilla (Sonoyama et al., 2008) or dental follicle (Casagrande et al., 2011; Morsczeck et al., 2005). Among dental MSC-like cells, the dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) are the most easily accessible. They have already demonstrated their plasticity and capacity to participate in tissue repair (for review see Huang, 2009). DPSCs have been described in human (Gronthos et al., 2000; Miura et al., 2003; Sonoyama et al., 2008), rat (Yang et al., 2007) and mouse (Balic et al., 2010). Most dental mesenchymal cells are believed to derive from the cranial neural crest (Chai et al., 2000; Lumsden, 1984), DPSCs appear as candidates of choice to substitute the mesenchymal component of the tooth. Moreover, they might have retained some type of “memory” of their tissue of origin and preferentially differentiate towards an odontogenic program (Batuoli et al., 2003; Gronthos et al., 2000 and Fig. 4 therein).

The stem cells of the dental pulp (Table 3, SHEDs, DPSCs or SCAPs) constitute per se an heterogenous cell population which, depending on the isolation, selection and culture procedures may display different features and degrees of stemness (Table 3, Bakopoulou et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2006). It is remarkable that although the majority of studies involved cells isolated by enzymatic dissociation of the pulpal tissue, the most immature dental pulp stem cells were obtained from explants culture (Siqueira da Fonseca et al., 2009).
Determining which type of DPSCs is the best suited for tooth engineering is therefore an essential question. The purification of a homogeneous DPSCs population is not possible due to a lack of known specific markers. Pulp stem cells are thus enriched on their ability to form colonies (Gronthos et al., 2000), their expression of cell surface molecules such as STRO-1 (Gronthos et al., 2000), or CD34 (Laino et al., 2006) or on their capacity of exclusion of a DNA binding dye (Iohara et al., 2006). Not surprisingly, the resulting different cell populations display various differentiation potentials, upon appropriate in vitro induction (for review see Huang, 2009) and are able to participate in bone (d’Aquino et al., 2009; Seo et al., 2008), neuronal (Arthur et al., 2008) and corneal tissue formation in vivo (Table 3; Gomes et al., 2010). In an implanted tooth slice model, heterogeneous populations of DPSCs, SCAPs or SHEDs have already shown their capacity to participate in the formation of a pulp-like tissue with dentin secretion and apposition (Huang et al., 2010; Sakai et al., 2010). However, the potential of these different populations to participate in whole tooth formation has not yet been investigated. For this purpose, it will be necessary to set up models for preclinical evaluations and to standardize the procedures. A major drawback in this field lies in the fact that most of these pulp stem cell sub-populations are described in the human tooth, while experimental strategies to test their competence to participate in tooth formation have been set up with murine models (Arany et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2006a; Nakao et al., 2007; Ohazama et al., 2010).

A hint that post-natal dental pulp cells may maintain such a potential, at least to a certain extent, comes from the work of Masaki Honda and coworkers. These authors have shown that unselected epithelial and mesenchymal cells derived from porcine third molar (6 months after birth), are able to participate in the formation of a tooth-like tissue (Honda et al., 2008). The precise nature of these cells and their possible relationship with dental pulp stem cells will have to be investigated. It will also have to be determined why, in these experimental conditions, tooth formation was not impaired by mechanisms of cell sorting out (Manning et al., 2010; Steinberg, 1962, 1963).

Tooth engineering also requires epithelial stem cells. Dental epithelial stem cells have been described in the continuously growing mouse incisor, which is a very peculiar tooth (Harada et al., 1999). However, all epithelial cells have disappeared in the erupted molar, except for the very restricted population of Malassez rests, when it exists (Huang et al., 2009; Shinmura et al., 2008). Therefore, the search for non-dental epithelial cell sources is ongoing.

The palatal mucosal epithelium isolated from embryos or newborn mice has been shown to be able to substitute for a dental epithelium, and to contribute to tooth formation in a re-association model using embryonic dental mesenchyme. Cultured dissociated palatal epithelial cells can maintain this potential up to one month post-natally, provided they are cultivated as cell sheets to maintain epithelial cell properties (Nakagawa et al., 2009). Along the same line, young human skin keratinocyte progenitors, cultured in cell sheets, can contribute to tooth-crown like structure formation after chimeric re-association with ED 13.5 mouse molar mesenchyme (Wang et al., 2010). However, in these conditions, ameloblasts do not differentiate. Their differentiation needed to be induced by exogenous FGF8 (Wang et al., 2010).

In the ongoing search for cell sources, an interesting alternative resides in the induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). Since the pioneer work of Takahashi and Yamanaka (2006), it
has been demonstrated that mouse adult somatic cells can be reprogrammed to an embryonic-like state, by the introduction of 4 transcription factors, Oct4, Sox2, c-Myc and Klf4 or Lin28. These cells exhibit the morphology and growth properties of murine embryonic stem cells and appear capable of contributing to virtually every cell type in the body (Maherali et al., 2007). Their human counterparts have subsequently been generated (Lowry et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2007). Emerging data suggest that iPSCs retain a memory of their tissue of origin (Quatrocelli et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2011) and therefore could differentiate more easily towards their original program (Hu et al., 2010). Human iPSCs have already been generated from dental pulp stem cells (SHEDs, DPSCs and SCAPs) (Duan et al., 2011; Tamaoki et al., 2010) and different types of epithelia (Buchholtz et al., 2009; Novak et al., 2010). The potential of such cells will have to be evaluated when grown in re-association, to determine whether they are indeed valuable candidates for whole tooth engineering.

Whatever the chosen cells, further studies to improve the success rate in tooth formation should focus primarily on the enrichment/purification, of competent cells. This will require the finding of markers permitting this enrichment as well as specific culture protocols allowing their expansion and the maintenance of their differentiation potentials in vitro.

### 6. Maintenance of cell potentialities in vitro

Adult stem cells are known to reside in defined anatomical compartments specified by cellular and acellular components. This specialized microenvironment is known as a niche and was first described as a concept in the context of hematopoiesis (Schofield, 1978). The existence of niches has then been validated in many other tissues (Weissman, 2000). It integrates systemic and local cues (growth factors, ECM as well as specific cell types) to maintain a balance between self-renewal and differentiation. In the absence of stimuli, adult stem cells remain quiescent but signals generated by inflammation, infection or lesion will lead to their recruitment/activation towards differentiation and repair.

In contrast to the niche of the intestine, hair or blood stem cells whose understanding has progressed over the recent years (for review see Fuchs & Segre, 2000 and Li & Clevers, 2010 and references therein), the dental pulp stem cell niche is still poorly understood. This might be explained by the facts that 1) the pulp is not an actively renewing tissue, 2) there is a lack of specific markers identifying its stem cells and 3) it is technically difficult to work with strongly mineralized tissue. DPSCs are thus essentially defined by their functionalities. DPSCs freshly established in culture, present a phenotype similar to BMSCs (Shi et al., 2001). They express STRO-1 and a series of surface markers, proposed by the International Society for Cell Therapy for the identification of human MSCs (Dominici et al., 2006). However the extent to which these markers reflect the in vivo phenotype remains an unresolved question.

In normal pulp, the STRO-1 expressing cells are only found in the microvasculature (Shi & Gronthos, 2003), an observation compatible with the hypothesis that DPSCs, as MSCs from other tissues, might correspond to pericytes (Crisan et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2010). Indirect data from BrdU labeling experiments have brought support to this hypothesis (Ishikawa et al., 2010; Téclès et al., 2005). But the fact that DPSCs appear as a heterogeneous cell population raises the question of the existence of other potential stem cell niches in the pulp (Harichane et al., 2011; Mitsiadis et al., 1999, 2003).
### Table 3. Different types of stem cells from the tooth: isolation, culture procedures and differentiation potentials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Isolation and selection</th>
<th>In vitro differentiation potential</th>
<th>In vivo differentiation potential</th>
<th>First description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| DPSCs | Enzymatic dissociation  
Strat+ or Colony-forming units/low density inoculation | Odontoblasts  
Osteoblasts  
Adipocytes  
Neurons | Bone-like tissue  
Dentin-pulp like complex | Cerritelli et al., 2000 |
| SBI/DPSCs | Enzymatic dissociation  
CD34+ | Odontoblasts  
Endothelial cells  
Melanocytes | Bone-like tissue  
Alveolar bone | Lathe et al., 2006 |
| SPCs | Enzymatic dissociation  
Hoechst exclusion | Odontoblasts  
Chondrocytes  
Adipocytes  
Neurons | Odontoblasts  
Pulp regeneration | Ishida et al., 2006 |
| iDPSCs | Outgrowth culture  
Low density inoculation | Odontoblasts  
Corneal epithelial cells  
Muscle cells  
Osteoblasts  
Neurons  
Chondrocytes | Contribution to preterm chimaera and to corneal reconstruction | Kerlin et al., 2006 |
| SHEDs | Enzymatic dissociation  
Colonoid forming units/low density inoculation | Odontoblasts  
Osteoblasts  
Muscle cells  
Adipocytes  
Neurons  
Endothelial cells | Dentin-pulp tissue  
Dentin-pulp-like cells  
Bone | Minna et al., 2003 |
| PDLSCs | Enzymatic dissociation  
Low density inoculation | Cementoblasts  
Osteoblasts  
Adipocytes  
Chondrocytes  
Neurons | Cementum-like formation  
PDL-like formation | Soo et al., 2004 |
| DFPCs | Enzymatic dissociation  
Low density inoculation | Cementoblasts  
Osteoblasts  
Adipocytes  
Muscle cells  
Chondrocytes  
Neurons | PDL-like formation  
Cementum matrix | Moraczewski et al., 2005 |
| SCAPs | Enzymatic dissociation  
Low density inoculation | Odontoblasts  
Adipocytes  
Muscle cells  
Chondrocytes  
Neurons | Dentin-pulp like complex  
Odontoblasts | Sonogama et al., 2008 |

Working with stem cells requires their growth and expansion **in vitro**. However, it is well known that **in vitro** culture conditions go along with major changes in gene expression. For instance, culture on specific substrates, in different media, serum substitutes, oxygen...
concentration has been shown to deeply affect the transcriptome/epigenome of ES cells (Allegrucci et al., 2007; Forsyth et al., 2008). Recent studies have further shown that changes in culture conditions may modify the potency of stem cells or reprogram adult stem cells to endow them with a broader differentiation potential (for review see Roobrouck et al., 2011). Similarly, cultured DPSCs have been shown to undergo phenotypic changes, losing markers while acquiring others with subculture (Govinda samy et al., 2010; Patel et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2010). Trying to improve the culture conditions of DPSCs for their use in whole tooth engineering is now a major challenge.

7. Conclusion and prospects

Recent studies in tooth engineering led to the analysis of different potential cell sources and to the development of several complementary experimental approaches. The biological constraints, which become better characterized as research progresses, will necessarily restrict the number of protocols and strategies. Potential human cell sources have been reported (Gronthos et al., 2000; Miura et al., 2003), while banks for autologous cell sources are being developed (Arora et al., 2009; Tamaoki et al., 2010; Woods et al., 2009). The biological potentialities of the different cells types, which recently were identified from human sources, will have to be tested both in vitro and in vivo. This will allow determining whether they may recapitulate the different developmental stages and lead to the engineering of a functional tooth. The next challenge will be to deal with the engineering of larger teeth, where full vascularization and innervation will become critical.

As far as possible, it may be better to work in the absence of scaffold or matrices to engineer whole teeth (Hu et al., 2005, 2006a; Ohazama et al., 2004). It better fits with the necessity to preserve epithelial-mesenchymal interactions and with the maintenance of gradual cell differentiation. Furthermore, it is important to prevent any risk of delayed immune response, which may occur with collagen (Zippel et al., 2008) or PLGA scaffolds (Tavassol et al., 2010). As a broad question, related to tissue engineering and regeneration in general, the possible negative consequences of using collagen, PGA and other components to embed cells are investigated (Marx et al., 2008; Ohara et al., 2010; Pihlajamäki et al., 2010). Scaffolds made of PGA fibers were used to tooth bud cells from 6 month old miniature pig third molars (Ohara et al., 2010). PGA supported odontoblast-like cell functional differentiation, but these conditions did not seem to be optimal for histogenesis. PGA was also used by the same group to grow embryonic dental cells from molars at ED14 (Iwatsuki et al., 2006). In these conditions, after implantation, teeth formed, which showed all aspects of epithelial histogenesis and gradients of functional odontoblasts and ameloblasts (Iwatsuki et al., 2006). However, the same results could be obtained without scaffold (Nait Lechguer et al., 2008, 2011).

All together, these results indicate that the limitations in tooth engineering are more related to the cell sources and to the difficulty to maintain their potentialities during cell expansion in vitro.
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Tissue Engineering may offer new treatment alternatives for organ replacement or repair deteriorated organs. Among the clinical applications of Tissue Engineering are the production of artificial skin for burn patients, tissue engineered trachea, cartilage for knee-replacement procedures, urinary bladder replacement, urethra substitutes and cellular therapies for the treatment of urinary incontinence. The Tissue Engineering approach has major advantages over traditional organ transplantation and circumvents the problem of organ shortage. Tissues reconstructed from readily available biopsy material induce only minimal or no immunogenicity when reimplanted in the patient. This book is aimed at anyone interested in the application of Tissue Engineering in different organ systems. It offers insights into a wide variety of strategies applying the principles of Tissue Engineering to tissue and organ regeneration.
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