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1. Introduction

Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) are environmentally friendly energy conversion systems to produce electrical energy with minimal environmental impact. They have several additional advantages over conventional power generation systems such as high power density, high energy-conversion efficiency, low emissions of CO₂, CO, NOₓ, SO₂, fuel flexibility, modularity, ability to utilize high temperature exhaust for cogeneration or hybrid applications (with an efficiency up to approximately 70 % in this case). (Fergus et al. 2009; Singhal & Kendall, 2001; Taroco et al., 2009).

The single cell is composed of two electrodes (anode and cathode), an electrolyte, interconnects and sealing materials. The electrodes are porous, they exhibit an electronic conductivity and preferably also an ionic conductivity at the SOFC operating temperature. The electrolyte must be dense with good ion conducting characteristics (Badwal, 2001).

The conventional SOFC’s operate at high temperature (800-1000 °C). Currently, there is an increasing interest in the development of SOFC’s operating at intermediate temperatures (IT_SOFC: 600 – 800 °C) (Badwal, 2001; Charpentier et al., 2000; Wincewicz & Cooper, 2005). The main difficulty with SOFC’s operating at intermediate temperatures is the significant decline in performance mainly due to lower ion conduction of the electrolyte, and to a strong cathode polarisation. Solutions to improve the cell performance include the use of alternative electrolyte and electrode materials, besides a decrease in the electrolyte thickness (Charpentier et al., 2000; Singhal & Kendall, 2001; Sun et al., 2007, 2009).

On the anode (fuel electrode) side the gaseous fuel is oxidized according to equation (in the case of a hydrogen fuel): 2H₂(g) + 2O²⁻ → 2H₂O + 4e⁻. The electrons flow through the external electrical circuit. On the cathode (air electrode) side, oxygen reacts with incoming electrons and ions O²⁻ are formed: O₂(g) + 4e⁻ → 2O²⁻. The oxygen ions migrate through the electrolyte and combine with hydrogen on the anode side as schematized by the first equation (Fig. 1). Most of the electrochemical reactions occur at three-phase boundaries (TPB), which are defined as the sites where the ionic, electronic conductor and the gas phase are in contact i.e. where the electrode, the electrolyte and the gas phase are in contact. TPB characteristics have a large influence on the electrochemical performance of cell.

The ideal voltage (E⁻) of a single cell under open circuit (OCV) conditions is close to 1.01 V at 800 °C as calculated from the Nernst equation with pure hydrogen at the anode and air at the cathode (Acres, G., 2001). Under operation, the useful voltage output (V), is given by:
\[ V = E^\circ - IR - \eta_c - \eta_a \]  

where \( I \) is the current passing through the cell, \( R \) the cell resistance, \( \eta_c \) the cathode polarization losses and \( \eta_a \) the anode polarization losses, as detailed in Fig. 2 (Sun et al., 2007).

Fig. 1. Schematic of a solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC).

Fig. 2. Typical fuel cell voltage-current characteristic at 800 °C with pure hydrogen as fuel. (Adapted from Sun et al., 2007).

To technically characterize the overall voltage drops at each electrode, a parameter called the Area Specific Resistance (ASR) has been defined (Fabbri et al., 2008). Its use implies an approximately linear behaviour of the cell under the operating conditions.

The maximum efficiency (\( \eta_{\text{max}} = 1 - T \Delta S / \Delta H \), where \( T \) is the temperature in Kelvin, \( \Delta S \) the entropy variation in Joule.K\(^{-1}\) and \( \Delta H \) the enthalpy variation in Joule) can not be achieved because of the electrode polarization losses and the material ohmic resistances. The challenge is to improve the efficiency through an optimization of the cell components and their microstructures. Nowadays an efficiency of approximately 40 % can be reached.

This chapter gives emphasis on the characteristics of the main ceramic materials used as cathode, anode and electrolyte. It includes manufacturing features and techniques and electrical and microstructural characterizations of these materials.
2. SOFC components

This section deals with the SOFC components: anode, cathode and electrolyte materials and their characteristics. The interconnects and sealings will not be dealt with.

2.1 Cathode

The cathode is the SOFC electrode where electrochemical reduction of oxygen occurs. For this, the cathode must have: (1) adequate porosity (approximately 30-40%) to allow oxygen diffusion; (2) chemical compatibility with the other contacting components (usually the electrolyte and interconnect) under operating conditions; (3) a thermal expansion coefficient (TEC) matching those of the other components; (4) chemical and microstructure stability under an oxidizing atmosphere during fabrication and operation; (5) low cost and relatively simple fabrication procedure; (6) high catalytic activity for the oxygen reduction reaction; (7) large TPB; (8) adhesion to electrolyte surface and (9) high electronic and ionic conductivity (Fergus, et al., 2009; Singhal & Kendall, 2003; Sun et al., 2010).

In the Intermediate temperature Solid oxide fuel cells (IT-SOFCs), the low operating temperatures reduces the oxidative degradation, and make possible the use of metallic interconnects. On the other hand, the electrode kinetics becomes slower which results in large interfacial polarization resistances especially at the cathode. The cathode polarization losses must be minimized by an appropriate cathode material selection and an interface microstructure optimization. The choice of the cathode material is largely dependent on that of the electrolyte. Care must be taken to match the TEC’s and avoid undesirable interface chemical reactions.

The cathode reaction is:

\[
\frac{1}{2} O_2(gas) + 2e^-(cathode) \rightarrow O^{2-}(electrolyte)
\]  

It is widely believed that the electrochemical reactions can only occur at the TPBs which are the sites where the oxygen ion conductor, electronic conductor, and the gas phase are in contact. The TPB is represented in Fig. 3:

![Fig. 3. Triple-phase boundaries (TPB).](www.intechopen.com)
For electron-conducting perovskite-type materials the cathode reaction may occur in many steps and along different pathways depending on the electrode material. With the pure electronic conductor materials the surface pathway is the most accepted mechanism. The bulk pathway is predominant in mixed ionic electronic conductor and the electrolyte surface pathway prevails with a composite material, e.g. LSM/YSZ (Fleig, 2003 as cited in Sun et al., 2010) as shown in Fig. 4.

Perovskite-type oxides with general formula $\text{ABO}_3$ are mostly used as cathode materials. The Fig. 5 shows their structure in which A and B are cations with an overall charge of +6.

The A cations (such as, La, Sr, Ca, Pb, etc.) have lower valences. They are larger in size and are coordinated to twelve oxygen anions. The B cations (such as, Ti, Cr, Ni, Fe, Co, Zr, etc.) occupy a much smaller space and are coordinated to six oxygen anions (Singhal & Kendall, 2003; Sun et al., 2010).
Lanthanum manganites (LaMnO$_3$: LSM) are still the most common material for high temperature SOFCs because of their compatibility with ZrO$_2$/Y$_2$O$_3$ (YSZ: yttria stabilized zirconia) electrolytes (Belardi et al.; 2009; Brant, et al.; 2001, 2006; Sun et al., 2010). They can be doped on the A site by cations such as Sr$^{2+}$ (at 10–20 mol %) or Ca$^{2+}$ (at 10–30 mol %) (Singhal & Kendall, 2003; Sun et al., 2010; Wincewicz & Cooper, 2005). This material can be formulated as La$_{1-x}$A$_x$MnO$_{3±δ}$ (where A is divalent cation, “+” denotes an oxygen excess and “-” an oxygen deficiency).

In the Sr-doped lanthanum manganite (La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MnO$_{3-δ}$ with x < 0.5) a manganese ion oxidation has been observed (Sun, et al., 2010):

$$2\text{SrO} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{(g)} \text{LaMnO}_3 \xrightarrow{\delta} 2\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{O}_3 + 3\text{O}_2^\cdot$$

(3)

According this reaction, the Sr doping increases the electron-hole concentration and improves the electrical conductivity of the electrode material. Its crystalline structure is influenced by temperature and oxygen partial pressure (Fergus et al., 2009; Singhal & Kendall, 2003). It is rhombohedral at room temperature while the undoped lanthanum manganites is orthorhombic (Fergus et al., 2009; Singhal & Kendall, 2003). LSM reacts with YSZ at temperatures above 1300 °C and unwanted electronic insulating phases like La$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ and SrZrO$_3$ are formed (Brant et al., 2006; Ralph et al., 2001 as cited in Wincewicz & Cooper, 2005). The amounts of these electronically insulating phases depend on the La/Sr ratio in the LSM (Sun et al., 2010). For temperatures below 1200 °C and Sr content of 30 mol %, YSZ is compatible with LSM and unwanted phases are not formed (Jiang et al., 1999 as cited in Wincewicz & Cooper, 2005).

The dopant concentration influences the TEC and electronic conductivity, according Table 1. For example, the TEC of undoped LaMnO$_3$ is 11.2 x 10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$ in the temperature range 35-1000 °C (Fergus et al., 2009; Singhal & Kendall, 2003) while that of doped manganite (e.g. La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$Co$_{0.2}$Fe$_{0.8}$O$_{3-δ}$) increases from 87 to 333 Scm$^{-1}$ with an increase of Sr$^{2+}$ (x = 0.2–0.4) at 800 °C, but the TEC also increases from 14.8 x 10$^{-6}$ to 15.3 x 10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$.

Mn substitution by Co or Fe on B sites (LSCF: La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$Fe$_{1-y}$Co$_y$O$_{3-δ}$) gives promising cathode materials for IT-SOFC (Sun et al., 2010). They have high electronic and ionic conductivities and excellent catalytic activity for oxygen reduction at intermediate temperatures. Moreover, these materials have a TEC similar to that of the intermediate temperature electrolytes based on Ceria.

In general, the Sr concentration on the A-site enhances the ionic conductivities while the increase of the electronic conductivities is obtained by Fe and Co doping on the B-site (Sun et al., 2010).

Table 1 shows LSCF perovskites used as cathodes. It can be seen that the A-site deficiency has only a small effect on the TEC while an increasing of Sr content results in a higher TEC due to higher oxygen vacancy concentrations. In the LSCF system, the electrical conductivity of La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$Co$_{0.2}$Fe$_{0.8}$O$_{3-δ}$ increases from 87 to 333 Scm$^{-1}$ with an increase of Sr$^{2+}$ (x = 0.2–0.4) at 800 °C, but the TEC also increases from 14.8 x 10$^{-6}$ to 15.3 x 10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$.
The ionic conductivity of LaMnO$_3$ is lower than that of the YSZ electrolyte and it increases with the Mn substitution by Co.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>TEC (x10$^6$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>(\sigma_e) (S cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.5}$Sr$</em>{0.5}$MnO$_3$</td>
<td>300 (947)</td>
<td>(Badwal &amp; Forger, 1997 as cited in Florio et al., 2004)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.6}$Sr$</em>{0.4}$MnO$_3$</td>
<td>13 (800)</td>
<td>(Kenjo &amp; Nishiya, 1992)</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.6}$Sr$</em>{0.4}$MnO$_3$</td>
<td>12.8 (25 - 1100)</td>
<td>(Badwal &amp; Forger, 1997 as cited in Florio et al., 2004)</td>
<td>265 (947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.5}$Sr$</em>{0.5}$MnO$_3$</td>
<td>11.7 (800)</td>
<td>(Yamamoto et al., 1987)</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$MnO$_3$</td>
<td>11.8 (900)</td>
<td>(Jiang, 2002)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.6}$Sr$</em>{0.4}$MnO$_3$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(Badwal &amp; Forger, 1997 as cited in Florio et al., 2004)</td>
<td>175 (947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>16.8 (600)</td>
<td>(Tai, et al,1995)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Mn$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>18.1 (500)</td>
<td>(Chen et al., 2003)</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>21.4 (800)</td>
<td>(Petric et al., 2000; Teraoka, 1991)</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>15.3 (600)</td>
<td>(Tai et al., 1995)</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>14.8 (800)</td>
<td>(Ullmann et al., 2000)</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>19.3 (800)</td>
<td>(Ullmann et al., 2000; Petric et al., 2000)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>435 (1000)</td>
<td>(Tai et al., 1995)</td>
<td>20 (100 – 900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>305 (1000)</td>
<td>(Tai et al., 1995)</td>
<td>17.6 (100 – 900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>150 (1000)</td>
<td>(Tai et al., 1995)</td>
<td>15.4 (100 – 800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>255 (1000)</td>
<td>(Florio et al.,2004)</td>
<td>17.2 (200 – 800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>125 (1000)</td>
<td>(Badwal &amp; Forger, 1997 as cited in Florio et al., 2004)</td>
<td>16.1 (200 – 800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.8}$Sr$</em>{0.2}$Co$<em>{0.2}$Fe$</em>{0.8}$O$_3$</td>
<td>130 (1000)</td>
<td>(Badwal &amp; Forger, 1997 as cited in Florio et al., 2004)</td>
<td>13.9 (200 – 800)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In parenthesis are temperatures in °C.

Table 1. Electronic conductivity and TEC of several solid oxide fuel cell cathodes (adapted from Florio et al., 2004 and Sun et al., 2010)
Dutta et al., 2009 synthesized different perovskites with different dopants (La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$FeO$_3$; La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$Co$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$O$_3$; and La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$Co$_{0.8}$O$_{2-x}$) by a combustion synthesis technique. According to their results La$_{0.8}$Sr$_{0.2}$Co$_{0.8}$Fe$_{0.2}$O$_3$ shows the highest electrical conductivity and superior electrochemical performance. Highest current density of approximately 1.72 A cm$^{-2}$ and power density of 1.2 W cm$^{-2}$ at 0.7 V, at a operating temperature of 800 °C, is obtained with this cathode composition and YSZ electrolyte (~10 µm) with GDC interlayer (~15 µm). The value of total area specific resistance (ASR) of this cathode is approximately 0.211 A cm$^2$.

LSCF-based cathodes have a lower ASR than LSM perovskites but they are incompatible with YSZ electrolytes due to undesirable interface reactions. Therefore, Ceria based electrolytes such as gadolinia doped ceria (GDC) are used with LSCF cathodes (Uhlenbruck et al., 2009). Furthermore, the TEC values of the GDC electrolytes (12.8×10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$) better match that of the LSCF’s (equal or greater than 17×10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$).

Many other perovskites are used as cathodes in SOFC such as: Pr$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$O$_3$; Sr$_{0.5}$Ce$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.8}$Ni$_{0.2}$O$_{2.5}$; Sr$_{0.8}$Ce$_{0.2}$Fe$_{0.8}$O$_{3}$; LaNi$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_{3}$ (LNF); Pr$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_{3}$; Pr$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_{3}$; Pr$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_{3}$; Pr$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_{3}$; Pr$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$O$_{2.5}$; Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_{3}$; Sm$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$O$_{3}$; LaNi$_{0.5}$Fe$_{0.5}$O$_{3}$ (Sun et al., 2010). Their features will not be addressed in this work.

### 2.2 Electrolyte

The electrolyte is the component of the cell responsible for conducting ions between the electrodes, for the separation of the reacting gases and for the internal electronic conduction blocking, forcing the electrons to flow through the external circuit (Singhal & Kendall, 2001). Without significant ion conduction, no current would pass through the cell and only a potential difference would be detected. There are three types of electrolytes that differ by the ion transport mechanism: anionic, protonic and mixed ionic. However, most of the high temperature fuel cells operate via oxygen ion (O$_{2-}$) conduction from the air electrode to the fuel electrode. This conduction occurs because of the presence of oxygen ions vacancies, so the crystallites forming the electrolyte must have unoccupied anionic sites. The energy required for the oxide ion migration from one site to the neighboring unoccupied equivalent site must be small (Faro et al., 2009).

For satisfactory performance, the electrolyte must meet some requirements that limit the choice of the material. These include (EG&G Technical services, 2000; Fergus et al., 2009; Singhal & Kendall, 2001): (1) an oxide-ion conductivity greater than 10$^{-2}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at the operating temperature; (2) negligible electronic conduction, which means an electronic transport number close to zero; (3) high density to promote gas impermeability; (4) thermodynamic stability over a wide range of temperature and oxygen partial pressure; (5) TEC compatible with that of the electrodes and other cell materials from ambient temperature to cell operating temperature; (6) suitable mechanical properties, with fracture resistance greater than 400 MPa at room temperature; (7) negligible chemical interaction with electrode materials under operation and fabrication conditions to avoid formation of blocking interface phases; (8) ability to be elaborated as thin layers (less than 30 µm); (9) low cost of starting materials and fabrication.

Zirconia based ceramic materials have been the most investigated and developed electrolytes for high temperature use. At room temperature, pure zirconia is monoclinic. At 1170 °C, it undergoes a phase transition to a tetragonal structure with a large volume
change. Above 2370 °C, pure zirconia is transformed into the cubic fluorite structure. The cubic phase still remains up to the melting point at 2680 °C as showed below (Faro et al., 2009; Fergus et al., 2009). The fluorite lattice is an interpenetration of a cubic oxygen lattice in the middle of the face-centered cubic zirconium lattice (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6. Unit cell of zirconia fluorite (Adapted from Crystal Maker ® Demonstration version)

Doping zirconia with aliovalent ions is a common practice to stabilize the cubic fluorite structure from room temperature up to its melting point. The doping process increases the oxygen vacancy concentration, and consequently improves the ionic conductivity. According to the Kröger-Vink notation (Mitchell, 2004) for a typical trivalent dopant, M, the oxygen vacancies formation can be represented as:

\[
\text{ZrO}_2 \rightarrow 2M^{3+} + 3O^{2-} + V_0^-
\]  

(4)

So oxygen vacancies doubly ionized are produced at concentrations proportional to the dopant content.

The main dopants of zirconia are CaO, MgO, Y_2O_3, Sc_2O_3, Sm_2O_3 and Yb_2O_3 (Table 2). They exhibit high solubility in the zirconia fluorite structure. Among these, the most frequently used is Y_2O_3 followed by Sc_2O_3.

The conductivity of doped zirconia depends on the dopant concentration. Several studies show that the conductivity of zirconia increases with adding Y_2O_3 until 8 mol % and then decreases for higher yttria additions. (Y_2O_3)_{0.08}(ZrO_2)_{0.92} is widely employed as an electrolyte material in high temperature SOFCs because of its sufficient ionic conductivity and stability in both oxidizing and reducing environments. Beyond that, its components are abundant, inexpensive and it is easy to produce (Tarancón, 2009). Yttria doped zirconia (YSZ) is also stable in contact with a lot of electrode materials below 1100°C. Unfortunately, La and Sr containing cathodes, react with YSZ at higher temperature producing insulating phases such as La_2Zr_2O_7 and SrZrO_3 at the cathode-electrolyte interface. It blocks the ion migration (EG&G Technical services, 2000; Brant, 2006).

Scandia doped zirconia (ScSZ) has drawn attention for its utilization as electrolyte because of its high ionic conductivity (Table 2). However, at high temperature, ScSZ suffers thermal aging, reducing its conductivity. Its high ionic conductivity might enable the use of ScSZ at
intermediate temperatures, in which there is no significant degradation. The main limiting factors in this case are the purity and availability of scandium oxide (Kharton et al., 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dopant</th>
<th>Content (% mol)</th>
<th>$\sigma_i$ at 1000 °C (S.cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Activation energy (kJ.mol$^{-1}$)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*96.488 kJ.mol$^{-1}$ = 1 eV

Table 2. Values of ionic conductivity and activation energy of zirconia stabilized with different cations (adapted from Florio et al., 2004)

The characteristics of the electrolyte, mainly its ionic conductivity and thickness, determine the operating temperature range of the SOFC. YSZ electrolyte cells operate satisfactorily only at temperatures above 850 °C. Doped CeO$_2$ and doped LaGaO$_3$ have shown promise for the replacement of YSZ in intermediate temperature SOFCs (600-800 °C) (IT-SOFC) due to their higher conductivity. Other new materials for use in IT-SOFC are salt-oxide composite materials and NANOCOF materials (nanocomposites for advanced fuel cell technology) (Nesaraj, 2010; Raza et al., 2010).

When compared to stabilized zirconia, doped ceria presents ionic conductivities approximately one order of magnitude greater, for similar temperature conditions. This is due to the larger ionic radius of Ce$^{4+}$ (0.87 Å) as compared to Zr$^{4+}$ (0.72 Å) producing a more open structure through which oxide ions can easily conduct (Faro et al., 2009).

Unlike zirconia, ceria naturally presents a fluorite structure since room temperature up to its melting point at 2400 °C. So, in its case the only function of the doping is an increase of the ionic conductivity through the formation of vacancies. The main doping cations used for ceria are Gd$^{3+}$, Sm$^{3+}$ and Y$^{3+}$. Among them, Gd$^{3+}$ is the most commonly used. The ions Gd$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{4+}$ have the lowest ionic radius mismatch, so in the case of Gd doping the lattice presents the smallest internal stress and consequently the lowest activation energy for the $O_2^-$ conduction (Fergus et al., 2009). The Ce$_{0.9}$Gd$_{0.1}$O$_{1.95}$ composition is promising for IT-SOFC applications because of its high ionic conductivity at 500 °C (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Dopant</th>
<th>$\sigma_i$ 500 °C (S.cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\sigma_i$ 600 °C (S.cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\sigma_i$ 700 °C (S.cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce$<em>{0.9}$Gd$</em>{0.1}$O$_{1.95}$</td>
<td>Gd$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>0.0544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$<em>{0.9}$Sm$</em>{0.1}$O$_{1.95}$</td>
<td>Sm$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$<em>{0.87}$Y$</em>{0.13}$O$_{1.9435}$</td>
<td>Y$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0344</td>
<td>0.1015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$<em>{0.8}$Gd$</em>{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$</td>
<td>Gd$^{3+}$</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Ionic conductivities of the means dopants of ceria at different temperatures (Adapted from Steele, 2000)
Beside its high ionic conductivity, gadolinium doped ceria (GDC) also is compatible with the use of LSCF cathodes, since the chemical reaction between these materials is negligible. Their TEC values are also fairly similar (Dutta et al., 2009). However, Ce$^{4+}$ reduces to Ce$^{3+}$ under the reducing anode atmosphere at elevated temperatures. This induces an electronic conductivity (and phase changes) which decreases the open circuit voltage and increases the fuel consumption, consequently reducing the cell performance (Nesaraj, 2010; Steele, 2000). To avoid the Ce$^{4+}$ reduction, it is common to insert an YSZ thin film between the ceria electrolyte and the anode (Dutta et al., 2009; Tietz et al., 2006). At temperatures lower than 500 °C the electronic conductivity is smaller and it has been suggested that this could be an optimal operating temperature range for the fuel cells based on ceria (Kharton et al, 2004).

### 2.2.1 Doped lanthanum gallate

Oxygen conductors with perovskite cubic structure based on lanthanum gallate LaGaO$_3$ have also been investigated as SOFC electrolytes. In these ceramics, La can be partially replaced by Sr, Ca, Ba, Sm and Nd, while Ga may also be partially replaced by Mg, In, Al or Zn, as in La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$Ga$_{1-y}$Mg$_y$O$_3$ (LSGM). Compositions containing Sr and Mg substitutions respectively for La (between 10 and 20%) and Ga (between 10 and 20%) showed high ionic conductivities in both oxidizing and reducing atmosphere. Their TEC are comparable to the other usual cell components. The higher ionic conductivity was found for the La$_{0.9}$Sr$_{0.1}$Ga$_{0.8}$Mg$_{0.2}$O$_{2.85}$ composition. It reaches about 0.17 S.cm$^{-1}$ at 800 °C (Badwal, 2001). However, these ceramics are unstable under reducing atmospheres and Ga losses are observed, resulting in the formation of new phases (Kharton et al., 2004; Wincewicz & Cooper, 2004). These facts decrease the use of doped lanthanum gallate as SOFC electrolyte.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>$\sigma$ at 800 °C (S.cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>TEC (x10$^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Y$_2$O$<em>3$)$</em>{0.08}$(ZrO$<em>2$)$</em>{0.92}$</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Sc$_2$O$<em>3$)$</em>{0.08}$(ZrO$<em>2$)$</em>{0.92}$</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$<em>{0.8}$Gd$</em>{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$<em>{0.8}$Sm$</em>{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$<em>{0.9}$Sr$</em>{0.1}$Ga$<em>{0.8}$Mg$</em>{0.2}$O$_{2.85}$</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Ionic conductivity and TEC of electrolyte materials in air at 800 °C (Adapted from Sun et al., 2010)

### 2.3 Anode

The anode provides reaction sites for the electrochemical oxidation of the fuel gas. An adequate anode has: (1) high electrical conductivity; (2) a TEC that matches those of the adjoining components; (3) the capacity of avoid coke deposition; (4) fine particle size; (5) chemical compatibility with another cell components (electrolyte and interconnector) under a reducing atmosphere at the operating temperature; (6) large TPB; (7) high electrochemical or catalytic activity for the oxidation of the selected fuel gas; (8) high porosity (20 - 40 %) adequate for the fuel supply and the reaction product removal; (9) good electronic and ionic conductive phases (Florio et al., 2004; Singhal & Kendall, 2003).
Ni/YSZ cermet (YSZ: yttria stabilized zirconia) is the most common anode material in the SOFC which implement hydrogen as a fuel. The reasons for this choice are its low cost, its chemical stability and its TEC closed to that of the YSZ electrolyte. The catalytic activity of Ni for the H-H bond breaking and its relatively low cost justify the use of Ni. Other catalytic components including Cu, Co and phosphorous composites are being investigated, but they need further improvements before they can be effectively used (Florio et al., 2007; Martins et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2007).

The Ni particles coalescence is the main cause of the anode degradation. The YSZ grains constitute a framework which acts as an inhibitor for the coarsening of the Ni powders during cell operation. The TEC of nickel ($16.9 \times 10^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$) is much larger than that of YSZ ($11.0 \times 10^{-6}$ K$^{-1}$); the use of YSZ as a composite component also makes the TEC of the composite closer to those of other SOFC components. Furthermore, it improves the ionic conductivity of the material (Badwal, et al., 2001; Florio et al., 2004).

The Ni to YSZ volume ratio usually varies from 35:65 to 55:45. This ratio influences in the conductivity of the material. It may vary by several orders of magnitude (~0.1 S/cm to the range of ~$10^3$ S/cm) because of the electrical conductivity of Ni which is more than 5 orders of magnitude greater than that of YSZ under the fuel cell operating conditions. The choice of an adequate composite composition is determining.

The cermet conductivity occurs through two mechanisms: ionic (through the YSZ phase) and electronic (through the metallic nickel phase). For Ni concentrations below 30% in volume, the conductivity is predominantly ionic. Above 30% in volume, it is predominantly electronic (typical of metals). The electrical conductivity of the Ni/YSZ cermet attains its maximum at Ni percolation estimated to be at approximately 30% in volume (Amado et al., 2007; Badwal, et al., 2001; Florio et al., 2004). The internal resistance (the resistance to the transport of electrons within the anode), contact resistance (caused by poor adherence between anode and electrolyte), concentration polarization resistance (related to the transport of the gaseous species through the electrodes) and activation polarization resistance (associated to the charge transfer processes) influence strongly the ASR of the anode. The anode performance is also largely depending on its thickness, its microstructure (grain size distribution, grain morphology, connectivity of Ni particles, porosity,) and number of TPBs (Sun et al., 2007).

Through these parameters, the anode performance is also influenced by the sintering temperature and the initial Ni and YSZ particle sizes. A fitting contact between Ni and YSZ particles decreases both the anode bulk and interfacial resistances. A high sintering temperatures (~1350–1400 °C) provides better anode performance and electrolyte interfacial contact and limits Ni coarsening (Bao et al., 2005; Singhal & Kendall, 2003).

Anode films are employed as cell support with different thicknesses depending on the SOFC cell design. In the planar designs, the thickness is about 1–3 mm. For SOFC intended to use ethanol, methanol and gasoline or natural gas as fuels, the Ni/YSZ composite is not a good choice, because of its low tolerance to sulfur and carbon deposition. This problem can be avoided by reducing the operating temperature or by the development of alternative anode materials.

Cu-anodes based on Cu/Ni alloys have been used but Cu is not as good an electrocatalyst as Ni and with the YSZ electrolyte the power density is lower than with the Ni anodes. To increase the activity and stability of the Cu-based anodes, Cu can be alloyed with a second metal, for this purpose, nickel seems to be a good choice (Sun et al., 2007). Various metallic
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alloys as components of the cermets such as (Cu, Co, Fe) Ni–YSZ; (Ni,Co)–YSZ; (Ni,Fe)–YSZ; (Ni,Cu)–YSZ and (Cu,Co)-YSZ have been used for the direct oxidation of CH$_4$ (Benyoucef et al., 2008; Ringuede et al., 2001).

Alternative materials which exhibit mixed ionic and electronic conductivity have been investigated as potential SOFC anodes capable of reforming hydrocarbons. Ceramics based on CeO$_2$ are good examples of mixed conduction materials under a reducing atmosphere, (due to the partial reduction of Ce$^{4+}$ into Ce$^{3+}$.) These ceramics have an excellent catalytic activity for the hydrocarbon reforming reactions and they are resistant to carbon deposition. This allows for a direct supply of dry hydrocarbon fuels to the anode (Ramirez-Cabrera et al., 2000 as cited in Sun et al, 2007). The efficient catalytic activity of CeO$_2$ based materials has been emphasized by Sun et al., 2006 as cited in Sun et al., 2007. The addition of Ni, Co or a noble metal such as Pt, Rh, Pd or Ru which easily breaks the C-H bonds (Fergus et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2007) in the hydrocarbons is a further improvement. For example, Ru-Ni-GDC anodes used in a Ceria-based SOFC showed good results, with various hydrocarbons according to Hibino et al., 2003 (see Table 6 for the materials performance).

New materials with the cubic perovskite structure have also been suggested as alternative anode materials. The perovskite structure with general formula ABO$_3$ where A are cations such as, La, Sr, Ca and Pb, etc and B cations such as Ti, Cr, Ni, Fe, Co and Zr exhibit a very broad range of physical properties. A La$_{0.6}$Sr$_{0.4}$Fe$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.2}$O$_3$–D$_{3}$ Ce$_{0.8}$Gd$_{0.2}$O$_{1.9}$ (LSFCO–GDC) composite anode materials and La$_{0.75}$Sr$_{0.25}$Cr$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_3$–$\delta$ (LSCM) were investigated and are efficient anode electro-catalysts (Huang et al., 2009; Morales et al., 2006; Sin et al., 2005; Sun et al, 2007). These materials showed good performance and stability in methane-fed SOFC in absence of Ni or noble metal catalysts. These anodes can be used withYSZ or GDC electrolytes. They are resistant to carbon deposition. Some examples of cell performance and operating conditions are given in Table 6. Another perovskite, A-site deficient, is the La-doped SrTiO$_3$ (LST). It has been evaluated as a potential anode component for IT-SOFCs due to its thermal and chemical compatibilities with the electrolyte material to its sulfur tolerance. Some researchers have reported a sulfur poisoning by H$_2$S in the range of 26 to 1000 ppm, others have reported no poisoning effect in 1000 ppm H$_2$S and an enhancement effect in 5000 ppm. (Savaniu & Irvine, 2010; Yoo & Choi, 2010).

La-doped SrTiO$_3$ (La$_{0.2}$Sr$_{0.8}$TiO$_3$) is a candidate as an anode material to solve the problem of Ni-based anode in LaGaO$_3$-based SOFC according to Yoo & Choi (Yoo & Choi, 2010). Some details of their tests are showed in Table 6. The addition of GDC into LST reduces the anode polarization, leading to an increased performance. The cell performance with Ni based anodes decreases quickly by sulfur poisoning which generally becomes more severe as the temperature decreases or as the pH$_2$S/pH$_2$ increases (Fergus, et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2007). Matsuzaki et al., 2007 showed that SOFCs which utilize Ni–YSZ cermet anodes are susceptible to poisoning by sulfur contents as low as 2 ppm H$_2$S at 1273 K. In their work it was observed that the performance loss is reversible at H$_2$S concentrations less than 15 ppm.

3. Manufacturing ceramic films

SOFC thin films are prepared by slurry or suspension depositions. The suspensions are constituted of ceramic powders, dispersants, binders, solvents and plasticizers. Only stabilised precursor suspensions must be used to avoid the formation of any agglomerates.
which would affect negatively the final quality of the films. The flocculation and sedimentation are frequent situations observed during the preparation of slurries. The formation of flocculates occurs due to the van der Waals attractive forces between the particles. When the oxide particles are placed in a liquid medium an electrical double layer is formed around them with one layer composed of ions tightly adsorbed on the surface of the particles (Stern layer). The other layer is composed of ions less firmly adsorbed (diffuse layer), as showed in Fig. 7. This results in a potential profile between the particle surface and the bulk of the dispersing liquid. The last part of the potential difference is called the zeta potential and it is measured at the slipping plane (boundary of the diffuse layer). The zeta-potential is a measurement of the amount of charge present on the particle surface relative to the bulk of the dispersing media (Ramanathan et al., 2005).

Fig. 7. Schematic representation of zeta potential.

The zeta potential depends on the suspension pH and measures indirectly the magnitude of repulsive forces necessary to prevent the formation of flocculates. The higher the zeta potential, the more predominant is the electrostatic repulsion between the electric double layers, resulting in a lower agglomeration and a lower viscosity value. The zero value of the zeta potential, the isoelectric point, indicates the pH at which the particles tend to form flocculates. It is important that the suspensions are prepared in pH giving the maximum zeta potential (in module) (Fonseca et al., 2009; Maiti & Rajende, 2002; Ramanathan et al., 2005).
Parameters such as the slurry composition and preparation and the grain size of the powders affect the particle surface charge and on the Zeta potential (Fonseca et al., 2009; Maiti & Rajende, 2002).

The stabilisation mechanisms of the suspension are caused by both, steric hindrance and steric stabilisation. Organic components such as dispersants, binders and plasticisers which are added to the suspension contribute to the stabilisation, making the repulsive forces between the electric double layers larger than the attractive forces. The dispersant keeps the ceramic particles in a stable suspension in the slurry (Maiti & Rajende, 2002). It permits the particles to settle into a densely packed green tape when the solvent evaporates. So an effective dispersant can increase the quality of the green films. Maiti & Rajende, 2002 showed, that terpineol is an effective dispersant in the preparation of green ceramic tape of yttria stabilized zirconia. It has higher density both in green and fired stages, higher flexibility of green tapes that the conventional dispersants such as menhaden fish oil (MFO) and phosphate ester (PE). Accordingly, rheological measurements made by Maiti and Rajender, 2002 showed that the lowest viscosity of a 50 g powder suspension was obtained with 0.39 mL of phosphate ester, 2 mL of fish oil and 1.5 mL of terpineol.

Tseng & Chen, 2003 studied the effect of polymeric dispersants on the rheological behavior of nickel suspensions in a terpineol solvent. The rheological behavior was investigated according to the dispersant type, dispersant concentration (0.5 - 10% of the powder weight) and solids loading (3 - 10 vol.%) over a shear-rate range of 1 - 1,000 s⁻¹. The suspensions exhibited pseudoplastic behavior, revealing that the mixtures were flocculated in structure. The suspension viscosity showed a minimum when the dispersant concentration exceeds 2 wt.% of the solids. For this dispersant concentration the viscosity was about 60 % of the sample without dispersant.

Mukherje et al., 2001 studied the role of the dispersant (MFO and PE) and the YSZ powder dimensions on the slurry rheology and the effect on green as well as sintered densities of tape cast YSZ. Their results showed that the MFO was more effective than PE and the best dispersion was obtained with finer particle.

Fonseca et al., 2009 fabricated NiO/YSZ anode functional layer with 40 wt.% of NiO. The slurries were prepared by two concentrations of polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA): 1 and 2 wt.%. The slurries presented pseudoplastic and thixotropic behaviors. The suspension with 2 wt.% PMMA was more homogeneous, and maintained chemical stability over a longer period of time. It was adequate for the SOFC anode functional layer preparation.

Suspensions with flocculates are known to have shear-thinning behaviour (pseudoplastic behaviour), usually with a higher viscosity that decreases with the rate of shear. The flocculates present in slurries trap water inside their structure, thereby making it unavailable for flow and thus increasing the slurry viscosity. Upon shearing, the flocculates break and the water become available for flow, thereby decreasing the slurry’s viscosity (Fonseca et al., 2009; Nascimento et al., 2009; Ramanathan et al., 2005). It is important to determine how zeta potential and rheology measurements are correlated with the stability of slurries.

The choice of the deposition method (Table 5) essentially depends on the type of selected cell configuration, desired characteristics of the films, cost, potential for automation and reproducibility.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposition Technique</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
<th>Common application</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Screen printing</strong> (Tiez et al., 2002; Fergus et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2010; Singhal &amp; Kendall, 2001)</td>
<td>The suspension to be deposited is placed on a screen and its passage is forced by pressure.</td>
<td>Cathode, anode and electrolyte</td>
<td>Scale-up is easily feasible. Insufficient densification and cracking of some ceria based electrolytes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tape casting</strong> (Tiez et al., 2002; Fergus et al., 2009; EG&amp;G Technical services, 2000; Singhal &amp; Kendall, 2001)</td>
<td>The ceramic film is deposited on a temporary support, which consists of a mobile sheet. The desired thickness is obtained by a doctor blade device.</td>
<td>Anode and electrolyte</td>
<td>Scale-up is easily feasible, production of multilayer cells, able to produce electrolyte with various thicknesses. Inappropriate for large cell areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atmospheric Plasma spray (APS)</strong> (Fergus et al., 2009, Singhal &amp; Kendall, 2001)</td>
<td>The method uses a plasma jet (~10,000 K) to melt particles which are sprayed on a substrate with fast solidification.</td>
<td>Cathode, anode, electrolyte and interconnector</td>
<td>Fast deposition, achievement of films with different compositions and microstructure, possibility of depositing SOFC layers on metallic substrates without subsequent sintering, scale-up is easily feasible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spray pyrolyse</strong> (Fergus et al., 2009, Singhal &amp; Kendall, 2001; Perednis &amp; Gauckler, 2004)</td>
<td>The film is deposited by spraying a suspension containing powder precursor and/or the already produced powder onto a hot substrate followed by sintering.</td>
<td>Electrolyte</td>
<td>Thin and gas-tight electrolytes, possibility of producing multiple gradient layers by changing the solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colloidal spray deposition (CSD)</strong> (Fergus et al., 2009)</td>
<td>A colloidal sol is released through a pump to a liquid dispersing apparatus, like an ultrasonic nozzle onto a heated substrate.</td>
<td>Cathode and electrolyte</td>
<td>Low cathode ASR (area specific resistance), increasing in power density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical vapor deposition (CVD)</strong> (Fergus et al., 2009; Santillán et al., 2009)</td>
<td>Deposition is done by gas phase reaction between metal halide precursors and a heated substrate.</td>
<td>Cathode and electrolyte</td>
<td>Electrolyte thin film Low deposition, high temperatures required, high equipment costs, corrosive products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition Technique</td>
<td>Brief Description</td>
<td>Common application</td>
<td>Features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrochemical vapor deposition (EVD)</strong></td>
<td>In a chamber at one side of the substrate, is placed metal chloride vapors and at the other side it is placed water vapor or oxygen. First, there is the pore closure of the substrate by the reaction between the metal chloride and water vapor. After that, the formation of an electrochemical potential gradient causes the film growth.</td>
<td>Electrolyte in tubular cells and interconnect</td>
<td>Dense film deposition on porous substrate; it can be used on tubular substrate, obtaining of homogeneous films with good mechanical properties; do not require high temperature for sintering. Increase SOFC’s cost, high temperature required for fast deposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spin coating</strong></td>
<td>A sol gel precursor is spun on a dense or porous substrate to produce a film which thickness is controlled by the stir rate.</td>
<td>Electrolyte</td>
<td>Thin and dense electrolyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dip coating or Slurry coating</strong></td>
<td>The substrate is submerged in an aqueous or alcoholic suspension. After that, the film is dried at room temperature, preheated and following sintered. This process is repeated several times.</td>
<td>Cathode, anode and electrolyte</td>
<td>Low cost. Time consuming.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tape calendaring</strong></td>
<td>Similar to tape casting, but the film thickness is controlled by the spacing between rollers. The deposited suspension is a thermoplastic material.</td>
<td>Electrolyte and anode</td>
<td>Able to product electrolyte with various thicknesses, production of multilayer cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sputtering</strong></td>
<td>A target material is bombed with noble gas ions, commonly argon ions. Then, atoms or ions of the target are released and deposited on the substrate.</td>
<td>Cathode, anode and electrolyte</td>
<td>Obtaining of thin films of electrolyte; control of composition and morphology; relatively low temperature of deposition. Cracking of ceria films; high cost; some techniques, like radio-frequency (RF) sputtering and direct current (DC) sputtering can be slow.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Some deposition techniques and their features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposition Technique</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
<th>Common application</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrophoretic deposition (EPD)</strong> (Matsuda et al., 2007; EG&amp;G Technical services, 2000; Santillán et al., 2009; Fergus et al., 2009; Singhal &amp; Kendall, 2001)</td>
<td>An electric field is applied forcing charged particles suspended in a liquid to move toward an electrode with opposite charge.</td>
<td>Cathode and electrolyte</td>
<td>Simple operation; short time consuming; uniform deposition on substrates with complex forms; film thickness control, scale-up is easily feasible; it is a cheaper option for electrolyte deposition onto tubular cathode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulsed-laser deposition (PLD) or laser ablation</strong> (Fergus et al., 2009)</td>
<td>A material is removed from a surface by laser at vacuum and then deposited on a substrate at temperature about 700 °C.</td>
<td>Cathode and electrolyte</td>
<td>Production of miniaturized SOFC, potential for automation, obtaining of nano-structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sol Gel</strong> (Fergus et al., 2009)</td>
<td>The salts of the cations of interest are dissolved forming a sol system. The colloid is then dried to obtain a powder that is deposited by conventional methods or it is partially dried to yield viscous slurry that is deposited by a wet method.</td>
<td>Electrolyte</td>
<td>Do not require high temperature for sintering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Painting</strong> (Fergus et al., 2009)</td>
<td>The suspension is deposited by a paint bush on the substrate.</td>
<td>Electrodes and electrolyte</td>
<td>Simple method. Difficult to scale up, not reproducible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. SOFC performance

After optimizing the type of material of each component and the appropriate parameters for a stable suspension, the performance is evaluated by potential versus current density measurements. According Table 6 is possible to observe that the power density values can change according some parameters such as type anode, electrolyte and cathode, the fuel gas, the temperature and type of the cell configuration (electrolyte or electrode supported). The comparison between the power density values can be made only when tests are done under the same conditions. The operation of the cell at low temperatures is related to the significant decline in SOFCs performance. Solutions to improve the cell performance include the use of alternative components materials which has good performance in IT-SOFC, as discussed in previous sections, together with electrode supported cell which the power density is higher than electrolyte supported SOFC.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Anode</th>
<th>Cathode</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Fuel gas</th>
<th>Maximum power density (mW cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ding &amp; Liu, 2008</td>
<td>NiO–YSZ (0.5 mm)</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₃MnO₃/YSZ</td>
<td>ZrO₂/YSZ</td>
<td>H₂ (3 wt.% H₂O)</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murata et al., 2005</td>
<td>NiO–YSZ (support cell)</td>
<td>La₀.₄₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃₋₄</td>
<td>ZrO₂/YSZ</td>
<td>H₂ (3 wt.% H₂O)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuda et al., 2007</td>
<td>NiO–YSZ (support cell)</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃₋₄ (30 μm)</td>
<td>ZrO₂/YSZ</td>
<td>H₂ (3 wt.% H₂O)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan &amp; Liu, 2009</td>
<td>NiO–YSZ 0.8 mm</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃₋₄ 6 μm</td>
<td>ZrO₂/YSZ</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al., 2010</td>
<td>NiO–YSZ (support cell)</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃₋₄</td>
<td>YSZ dense + YSZ porous (9 μm)</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu &amp; Barnett, 2002</td>
<td>NiO–YSZ (0.5 mm)</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃₋₄/Ce₀.₆₂Gd₀.₃₈O₃</td>
<td>ZrO₂/YSZ</td>
<td>H₂ (3 wt.% H₂O)</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tietz et al., 2006</td>
<td>NiO–YSZ 1.5 mm</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃₋₄ 45 μm</td>
<td>ZrO₂/YSZ</td>
<td>H₂ (3 wt.% H₂O)</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savaniu &amp; Irvine, 2010</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂TiO₃</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂CoO₃</td>
<td>ZrO₂/YSZ</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoo &amp; Choi, 2010</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂TiO₃ (~15 μm)</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃ (~15 μm)</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃ (~15 μm)</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al., 2007</td>
<td>NiO/Ce₀.₆₂Gd₀.₃₈O₃: NiO–Ce₀.₆₂Gd₀.₃₈O₃</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₆₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃–8/₄ Gd₂O₃</td>
<td>Ce₀.₆₂Gd₀.₃₈O₃ (thickness: &lt; 20 μm)</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>35 (550 °C) and 60 (600 °C)</td>
<td>550 and 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibino et al., 2003</td>
<td>NiO/Ce₀.₆₂Gd₀.₃₈O₃</td>
<td>Sm₀.₆₂Sn₀.₆₂CoO₃</td>
<td>Ce₀.₆₂Gd₀.₃₈O₃ (25-40 μm)</td>
<td>Methane/Ethane/propane</td>
<td>750 (methane), 716 (ethane), 648 (propane)</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sin et al., 2006</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₄₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₄₂Fe₀.₃₈O₃</td>
<td>Ce₀.₆₂Gd₀.₃₈O₃</td>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales et al., 2006</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₄₂Cr₀.₄₅Mn₀.₄₅O₃</td>
<td>La₀.₃₂Sn₀.₄₂Cr₀.₄₅Mn₀.₄₅O₃</td>
<td>ZrO₂/YSZ</td>
<td>Hydrogen/methane</td>
<td>300 (CH₄) and 500 (H₂)</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Materials and electrical performance of SOFC according some authors*
The configuration NiO-YSZ /YSZ / LSM-YSZ reported by Ding & Liu, 2008 was intensively studied using hydrogen fuel. Later, there was a trend of substitution of LSM by LSCF because of its higher ionic and electronic conductivity. This material was studied by Murata et al. 2005, Matsuda et al. 2007 and Fan & Liu, 2009 (Table 6). To further increase the ionic conductivity of LSCF, some researchers investigated the production of a composite of LSCF and an electrolyte material, as the work of Chen et al. 2010 and Liu & Barnett, 2002. However, the reaction between LSCF and YSZ led many researchers to study the use of a protective layer of GDC between the LSCF and YSZ layers. In order to reduce the operating temperature of the cell, new materials for anode (as LST, studied by Savanna & Irvine, 2010) and electrolyte (as LSGM studied by Yoo & Choi, 2010 and CGD studied by Liu et al., 2007) were also tested. The latest research in terms of fuel cells seek to obtain high power densities through the use of fuels other than hydrogen, as studied by Hibino et al., 2003, Sin et al., 2005 and Morales et al., 2006.

5. Conclusion

SOFC technology offers a real alternative for relatively clean distributed power generation. Nowadays there is an intense search for materials and configurations of IT-SOFC cells with power densities as high as possible. For this intent new materials which have a long term stability with very low degradation are been tested such as perovskite-type oxides and fluorites. They are replacing conventional materials, especially in the SOFC operating with hydrocarbon. Besides the composition, the microstructure also needs further optimization. For this purpose, the preparation of stable suspensions and the choice of suitable deposition methods are crucial in order to improve electrochemical characteristics and the cost-effective fabrication of the cells. However for SOFCs commercialization, cost reduction is still a key issue.
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The current book contains twenty-two chapters and is divided into three sections. Section I consists of nine chapters which discuss synthesis through innovative as well as modified conventional techniques of certain advanced ceramics (e.g. target materials, high strength porous ceramics, optical and thermo-luminescent ceramics, ceramic powders and fibers) and their characterization using a combination of well known and advanced techniques. Section II is also composed of nine chapters, which are dealing with the aqueous processing of nitride ceramics, the shape and size optimization of ceramic components through design methodologies and manufacturing technologies, the sinterability and properties of ZnNb oxide ceramics, the grinding optimization, the redox behaviour of ceria based and related materials, the alloy reinforcement by ceramic particles addition, the sintering study through dihedral surface angle using AFM and the surface modification and properties induced by a laser beam in pressings of ceramic powders. Section III includes four chapters which are dealing with the deposition of ceramic powders for oxide fuel cells preparation, the perovskite type ceramics for solid fuel cells, the ceramics for laser applications and fabrication and the characterization and modeling of protonic ceramics.
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