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1. Introduction

In the calculation of frictional force of a flexible element such as a belt, rope or cable wrapped around the cylinder, the famous Euler’s belt formula (Hashimoto, 2006) or simply known as the belt friction equation (Joseph F. Shelley, 1990) is used. The formula is useful for designing a belt drive or band brake (J. A. Williams, 1994). On the other hand, a belt or rope is conveniently used to tighten a luggage to a carrier or lift up the luggage from the carrier. In that case, for the sake of adjusting the belt length and keeping an appropriate tension during transportation, various kinds of belt buckles are used. These belt buckles have been devised empirically and there was no theory about why it can fix the belt. The first purpose of this chapter is to present the theory of belt buckle clearly by considering the self-locking mechanism generated by wrapping the belt on the belt. Making use of the belt tension for a locking mechanism, a belt buckle with no locking mechanism can be made. The principle and some basic property of this new belt buckle are also shown. The self-locking of belt may occur even in the case where a belt is wrapped on an axis two or more times. The second purpose of this chapter is to present the frictional property of belt wrapped on an axis two and three times through deriving the formulas corresponding to each condition. Making use of this self-locking property of belt, a belt-type one-way clutch can be made (Imado, 2010). The principle and fundamental property of this new clutch are described. As the last part of this chapter, the frictional property of flexible element wrapped on a hard body with any contour is discussed. The frictional force can be calculated by the curvilinear integral of the curvature with respect to line element along the contact curve.

2. Theory of belt buckle

Notation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Magnification factor of belt tension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Frictional force, N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_{ij} = F_{ji}</td>
<td>Frictional force between point P_i and P_j, N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Distance between two cylinder centers, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Normal force of belt to surface, N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_{ij} = N_{ji}</td>
<td>Normal force of belt between point P_i and P_j, N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_i</td>
<td>Boundary of contact angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Radius of main cylinder, m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.1 Friction of belt in belt buckle

Figure 1 (a) shows a cross sectional view of a belt buckle and a belt wrapped around the two cylindrical surfaces. $T_1$ and $T_4$ ($T_1 > T_4$) are tensions of the belt at both ends. There is a double-layered part where the belt is wrapped over the belt. Figure 1 (b) shows the enlarged view around the main axis. For simplicity, the thickness of the belt was neglected. According to the theory of belt friction, following equations are known for belt tensions of $T_1, T_2$ and $T_3$ (Joseph F. Shelley, 1990).

$$T_i = e^{\mu \theta_i} T'_i, \quad T_2 = e^{\mu \theta_4} T_3$$

(1)

$T'_i$ and $T''_i$ are of inner belt tension at $P_1$ and $P_2$ respectively. The normal force to a small element of the inner belt at angle $\theta$ is denoted as $dN_b$, which can be written as

$$dN_b = e^{\mu (\theta - \theta_i)} T'_i d\theta$$

(2)

Making use of $T'_i$ and $T''_i$, the normal forces of inner belt for each section are expressed as
The frictional force between \( P_1 \) and \( P_6 \) is

\[
F_{16} = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mu dN_{16} = (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)T_4
\]

The inner belt tension \( T_4' \) is the sum of the frictional force \( F_{16} \) and the belt tension \( T_4 \).

\[
T_4' = T_4 + F_{16} = e^{\mu \theta_3}T_4
\]

The frictional force \( F_{12} \) acting on the inner belt is composed of two forces denoted as \( F_{12i} \) and \( F_{12o} \). The frictional force \( F_{12i} \) is acting on the cylindrical surface, which is generated by the normal forces \( dN_b \) and \( dN_{12} \). The normal force \( dN_b \) is exerted from the outer belt. The other normal force \( dN_{12} \) is generated by the inner belt tension. So, \( F_{12i} \) is given by

\[
F_{12i} = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mu dN_{12} = (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)\frac{\mu T_2}{\mu_b} + (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)T_4'
\]

Making use of Eq. (2), the frictional force \( F_{12o} \) acting on the belt-belt boundary can be written as

\[
F_{12o} = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mu dN_b = (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)T_2
\]

The frictional force \( F_{12} \) is the sum of Eqs. (6) and (7).

\[
F_{12} = (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)\left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\mu_b}\right)T_2 + (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)T_4'
\]

As the belt tension \( T_4'' \) is the sum of \( F_{12} \) and \( T_4' \), making use of Eq. (5) and (8), \( T_4'' \) can be written as

\[
T_4'' = F_{12} + T_4' = e^{\mu \theta_3}T_4 + (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)\left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\mu_b}\right)T_2
\]

Making use of Eq. (3), the frictional force \( F_{25} \) can be written as

\[
F_{25} = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mu dN_{25} = (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)T_4''
\]

As the belt tension \( T_3 \) is the sum of \( F_{25} \) and \( T_4'' \), making use of Eqs. (9) and (10), \( T_3 \) can be expressed as

\[
T_3 = F_{25} + T_4'' = e^{\mu \theta_3}T_4 + (e^{\mu \theta_3} - 1)\left(1 + \frac{\mu}{\mu_b}\right)T_2
\]
Substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (11) to eliminate $T_2$ gives

$$T_3 = \frac{e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}}}{1 - e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_2} + \theta_{b_0})} (e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}} - 1)(1 + \mu / \mu_b)} T_4$$

(12)

Substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (12) to get the relation between $T_1$ and $T_4$ gives

$$T_1 = \frac{e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}} e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_2} + \theta_{b_0})}}{1 - e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_2} + \theta_{b_0})} (e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}} - 1)(1 + \mu / \mu_b)} T_4$$

(13)

In the same manner from Eq. (1) to Eq. (13), in the case of $T_1 < T_4$, corresponding relation of Eq. (13) yields as

$$T_4 = \left\{ e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_2} + \theta_{b_0})} e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}} + e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}} (e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}} - 1) \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} \right) \right\} T_1$$

(14)

### 2.2 Property of formulas of belt buckle

The validity of Eqs. (13) and (14) might be checked by supposing an extreme case of either $\mu=0$ or $\mu_b=0$. Substituting $\mu=0$ into Eq. (13) gives

$$T_1 = \frac{e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}}}{2 - e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}}} T_4$$

(15)

Next, substituting $\mu_b=0$ into Eq. (13) gives

$$T_1 = \frac{e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_2} + \theta_{b_0})}}{1 - \theta_{b_2} \mu e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_2} + \theta_{b_0})}} T_4 = C e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_2} + \theta_{b_0})} T_4$$

(16)

Substituting $\mu_b=0$ into Eq. (15) or substituting $\mu=0$ into Eq. (16) gives $T_1=T_4$. Substituting $\theta_{b_2} = 0$ into Eq. (13) to remove the double-layered segment on the ratio of belt tension yields the conventional equation of belt friction.

$$T_1 = e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_1} + \theta_{b_0})} T_4$$

(17)

Equation (17) is also obtained by substituting $\theta_{b_2} = 0$ into Eq. (16). This means that the ratio of belt tension is magnified by the factor $C$

$$C = \frac{1}{1 - \theta_{b_2} \mu e^{\mu_0 (\theta_{b_2} + \theta_{b_0})}}$$

(18)

due to the double-layered segment even in the case of $\mu_b=0$. As far as these inspections are concerned, there is no contradiction in Eq. (13). As Eqs. (13), (15) and (16) are of fractions, the factor of $T_4$ might become infinity meaning $T_4/T_1=0$. This fact virtually implies the occurrence of self-locking. Figure 2 shows the relation of $\mu_b$ and $\theta_{b_2}$ satisfying $e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}} = 2$ in Eq. (15). Self-locking occurs in the region above this curve where $e^{\mu_0 \theta_{b_2}} > 2$. On the other hand, in the region below this curve, self-locking does not occur. In the case of $\mu=0$, the equilibrium of moment of belt tension about $O$ in Fig. 1 gives...
Fig. 2. Boundary curve between self-locking condition and sliding condition

\[ T_4 = 2T_2 - T_1 \]

(19)

In the locking state with \( \mu = 0 \), \( T_4 = 0 \) so that \( T_1 = 2T_2 = 2T_3 \). It means that belt tension \( T_1 \) is halved to \( T_2 \) by the belt-belt friction.

As the angle of double-layered segment \( \theta_{12} \) is determined by the geometry of the buckle, some calculations were carried out to know the properties of Eq. (13) and Eq. (15) providing \( r/L = R/L = 1/4 \). The direction of belt tension \( T_1 \) and \( T_4 \) were assumed to be the same direction for simplicity. Results are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Figure 3 corresponds to the Eq. (15) where

Fig. 3. Change of belt tension ratio with unfolding angle \( \zeta \) in the case of \( \mu = 0 \). Belt tension ratio increases greatly with an increment of the coefficient of friction \( \mu_b \) especially in the vicinity of locking condition. It is very sensitive to angle \( \zeta \).
the coefficient of friction is $\mu=0$. The ratio of belt tension increases with an increment of the coefficient of friction $\mu_b$. It increases greatly when it approaches the locking condition. Figure 4 shows some results obtained by Eq. (13) providing $\mu=\mu_b$. The ratio of belt tension becomes far bigger than the that of Fig. 3.

Some experiments were carried out to verify the validity of Eq. (15) by wrapping a belt around the outer rings of rolling bearings to realize the condition of $\mu=0$. Belt tension $T_1$ was applied by the weight. Belt tension $T_4$ was measured by the force gauge. Figure 5 shows the results. Experimental data are almost on the theoretical curves. As predicted by the Eq. (15), self-locking was confirmed for the belt with $\mu_b=0.5$ in the region of $\zeta<10^\circ$ where $\mu_0\theta_1 > 2$. 

---

**Fig. 4.** Change of belt tension ratio with unfolding angle $\zeta$ in the case of $\mu=\mu_b$. Belt tension ratio increases greatly with an increment of the coefficient of friction.

**Fig. 5.** Change of belt tension ratio with unfolding angle $\zeta$ in the case of $\mu=0$. The ratio of belt tension changes according to Eqs. (13) or (15).
2.3 Calculation of arm torque

Figure 6 (a) shows the mechanical model of belt buckle (Imado, 2008 a). Figure 6 (b) shows a three-dimensional model of the buckle. The arm of the buckle rotates around the point \( O_2 \). The angle of arm is denoted by \( \alpha \). The intersection angle of the line \( O-O_2 \) and \( O_2-O_1 \) is denoted by \( \beta \). From geometrical consideration, the angle \( \beta \) is given by

\[
\beta = \pi + \alpha - \phi
\]  

(20)

Applying the cosine theorem to the triangle \( OO_2O_1 \) length \( L \) is given by

\[
L = L_2 \sqrt{1 + \kappa^2 + 2\kappa \cos(\alpha - \phi)}, \ \text{where} \ \kappa = L_1 / L_2
\]  

(21)

The symbol \( \zeta \) denotes the angle of line \( O-O_1 \).

\[
\zeta = \phi - \beta_1
\]  

(22)

Applying the cosine theorem and sine theorem to the triangle \( OO_1O_2 \) gives

\[
\cos \beta_1 = \frac{L_1^2 + L_1^2 - L_2^2}{2L_1L_2}, \ \ \ \sin \beta_1 = \frac{L_2}{L} \sin(\phi - \alpha)
\]  

(23)

Substituting Eq. (21) into Eq. (23) and substituting Eq. (23) into Eq. (22) gives

\[
\zeta = \phi - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa + \cos(\alpha - \phi) \sin(\phi - \alpha)} \right)
\]  

(24)

\( \zeta \), the angle of center line \( O-O_1 \), can be calculated from the arm angle \( \alpha \) by Eq. (24). Note the angle \( \zeta \) is equal to \( \alpha \) when \( L_1 \) becomes 0.

The moment of the arm about point \( O_2 \) due to belt tensions \( T_2 \) and \( T_3 \) is expressed by

\[
M = c_2T_2 + c_3T_3
\]  

(25)

where \( c_2 \) and \( c_3 \) are geometrical variables that can be calculated from the position of contact boundaries \( P_2, P_3, P_4 \) and \( P_5 \). Dividing the arm torque \( M \) with \( RT_1 \), torque due to belt tension \( T_1 \) about point \( O \), gives non-dimensional moment \( N \).

\[
N = \frac{1}{R} \left( \frac{c_2}{T_1} + \frac{c_3}{T_1} \right)
\]  

(26)

Making use of Eq. (1), the fractions of belt tension in Eq. (26) can be calculated by

\[
\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \frac{1}{\mu_1}, \quad \frac{T_3}{T_1} = \frac{1}{\mu_1 \mu_2 e^{\theta_1} e^{\theta_2}}
\]  

(27)

Figure 7 shows some examples of non-dimensional torque \( N \). For simplicity, the coefficients of friction were taken to be \( \mu = \mu_0 \). The non-dimensional torque \( N \) decreases to be negative value with decrement of arm angle \( \alpha \). It means an occurrence of directional change in arm
torque. This negative torque acts so as to hold the arm angle in a locking state without any locking mechanism. The angle where arm torque \( N \) becomes 0 is denoted by \( \alpha_c \). It depends on the geometry of buckle and the coefficients of friction \( \mu \) and \( \mu_b \). Making use of Eqs. (13) and (24), the fraction of belt tension can be calculated. Figure 8 shows some results. The fraction of belt tension, \( T_4/T_1 \), decreases with arm angle \( \alpha \). It becomes 0 at \( \alpha = \alpha_L \). According to Eq. (13), the fraction of belt tension \( T_4/T_1 \) becomes negative when arm angle \( \alpha \) becomes less than \( \alpha_L \), \( \alpha < \alpha_L \). The physical meaning of negative value in the fraction of belt tension is that the belt tension \( T_4 \) should be compressive so as to satisfy the equilibrium condition of the force. But a belt cannot bear compressive force so that negative value in the fraction of belt tension is actually unrealistic. It means the belt was locked with the buckle. The angle \( \alpha_L \) becomes larger with an increment of the coefficients of friction. As the coefficient of friction is generally greater than 0.15, the locking condition is easily satisfied. Once the locking condition is satisfied, the belt is dragged into the buckle with a decrement of arm angle \( \alpha \). Then the belt tension becomes greater.

Fig. 6. Mechanical model of belt buckle to calculate arm torque and 3D model

3. Theory of belt friction in over-wrapped condition

3.1 Friction of belt wrapped two times around an axis

Figure 9 shows a mechanical model (Imado, 2008 b). The point \( P_i (i=1, 2, 3) \) is a boundary of contact and \( T_i (i=1, 2, 3, 4) \) is tension of the belt. Symbol \( \theta_i \) denotes the angle of point \( P_i \). The belt is over-wrapped around the belt in the range from \( P_1 \) to \( P_2 \) denoted by \( \theta_1 \). The axis x is taken so as to pass through the point \( P_2 \) which is an end of the belt. \( T_1 \) is bigger than \( T_4 \). \( T_4 \) is an imaginary belt tension. There is no contact from \( P_2 \) to \( P_3 \) due to the thickness of the belt-end. According to the theory of belt friction (Joseph F. Shelley, 1990), analysis starts with the conventional equation.

\[
T_1 = e^{\mu \theta_1}T_2 = e^{\mu \theta_2}T_3
\]  

(28)
Fig. 7. Non-dimensional arm torque $N$ decreases with arm angle $\alpha$.

Fig. 8. Fraction of belt tension $T_4/T_1$ decreases with arm angle $\alpha$. 
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The belt tension $T_2$ or $T_3$ can be expressed by the belt tension $T_3'$, where $T_3'$ is inner belt tension at the point $P_1$ as shown in Fig. 9.

$$T_2 = T_3 = e^{\mu(\theta_1-\theta)} T_3'$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

Making use of Eqs. (28) and (29), $T_1$ can be expressed as

$$T_1 = e^{\mu(\theta_1+\mu(\theta_1-\theta))} T_3'$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

The inner belt is normally pressed onto the cylinder by the outer belt. The normal force to a small segment of the inner belt at angle $\theta$ denoted by $dN_b$ is

$$dN_b = e^{\mu_\theta} T_2 d\theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

On the other hand, the normal force is also generated by inner belt tension itself. The normal force exerted on the cylinder between $P_i$ and $P_j$ is denoted by $N_{ij}$. Normal force acting to a small segment of the cylinder at angle $\theta$ is given by

$$dN_{21} = e^{\mu_\theta} T_4 d\theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

Then, making use of Eqs. (31) and (32), the frictional force between the inner belt and cylinder denoted by $F_{12in}$ is given by

$$F_{12in} = \int_0^{\alpha_1} \mu dN_b + \int_0^{\alpha_1} \mu dN_{21} = (e^{\mu_\theta} - 1) \frac{\mu T_2}{\mu_b} + (e^{\mu_\theta} - 1) T_4$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

Denoting the radius of cylinder by $r$ and neglecting the thickness of the belt, the equilibrium equation of moment of the cylinder is

$$T_1 r = (F_{12in} + F_{13} + T_3) r$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

---

Fig. 9. Mechanical model of belt wrapped two times around an axis
Here, the frictional force $F_{13}$ exerted on the surface between $P_1$ and $P_3$ is given by

$$F_{13} = \mu \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} e^{i(\theta - \theta_1)} T_3' d\theta = [e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} - 1] T_3'$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

Substituting Eqs. (33) and (35) into Eq. (34) gives

$$T_1 = (e^{i\mu \theta_1} - 1) \frac{\mu T_2}{\mu_b} + [e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} - 1] T_3' + e^{i\mu_b} T_4$$ \hspace{1cm} (36)

Substituting $T_2$ and $T_3'$ in Eq. (36) as functions of $T_1$ by making use of Eqs. (28) and (30) gives

$$T_1 = \frac{e^{i\theta_1(\mu + \mu_b)}}{(1 - e^{i\mu \theta_1})} \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1 \right) + e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} T_4$$ \hspace{1cm} (37)

This is the targeted equation that expresses the relation between $T_1$ and $T_4$.

Equation (37) can be checked by supposing an extreme case of either $\mu = 0$ or $\mu_b = 0$. Substituting $\mu = 0$ into Eq. (37) gives $T_1 = T_4$ as a matter of course. Substituting of $\mu_b = 0$ into Eq. (37) requires limiting operation.

$$\lim_{\mu_b \to 0} (1 - e^{i\mu \theta_1}) \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} = -\mu \theta_1$$ \hspace{1cm} (38)

Making use of Eq. (38), Eq. (37) becomes Eq. (39) for the case of $\mu_b = 0$.

$$T_1 = \frac{e^{i\mu \theta_1}}{-\mu \theta_1 + e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}} T_4$$ \hspace{1cm} (39)

Equation (39) implies the belt may be locked firmly around an axis when the denominator of the fraction in Eq. (39) becomes 0. Substituting $\mu = 0$ into Eq. (39) gives $T_1 = T_4$ again as a matter of course.

Substituting $\mu = \mu_b$ into Eq. (37) gives

$$T_1 = e^{i(\theta_2 + \theta_1)} T_4$$ \hspace{1cm} (40)

Equation (40) is exactly the same form as the Euler’s belt formula though was derived from the expression that took an effect of over-wrapping of belt into account. Equation (40) implies that the belt cannot be locked on the cylinder as far as the wrapping angle is finite.

Letting $\theta_1 = 0$ in Eq. (37) to eliminate the over-wrapping part gives

$$T_1 = e^{i\mu_b} T_4$$ \hspace{1cm} (41)

This is the well-known Euler’s belt formula. So the Euler’s belt formula was proved to be included as a special case in Eq. (37). Equation (41) can also be obtained from Eqs. (39) and (40).

Next, let’s consider some locking conditions. According to Eq. (37), the belt tension ratio $T_4 / T_1$ can be expressed as

$$T_4 / T_1 = \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1 + e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} + e^{i\mu_b}$$
\[
\frac{T_4}{T_1} = \frac{(1-e^{\kappa\beta_{,1}}) \left( \frac{\mu_{,1} - 1}{\mu_{,1}} \right) + e^{-\mu_{,1}(\beta_{,1} - \beta_{,2})}}{e^{\kappa\beta_{,2}}(\mu_{,2} + \mu_{,1})} = \frac{\Gamma}{e^{\kappa\beta_{,2}}(\mu_{,2} + \mu_{,1})} \tag{42}
\]

The locking condition is satisfied when the numerator of Eq. (42) becomes 0 meaning \( T_4 = 0 \). So, the discriminant of locking condition can be expressed as

\[
\Gamma = (1-e^{\kappa\beta_{,1}}) \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} - 1 \right) + e^{-\mu_{,1}(\beta_{,1} - \beta_{,2})} \tag{43}
\]

Locking condition is satisfied in the case of \( \Gamma \leq 0 \). Critical point is \( \Gamma = 0 \). Here, \( \kappa \) denotes a ratio of the coefficient of friction.

\[
\kappa = \frac{\mu_0}{\mu} \tag{44}
\]

As \( e^{\kappa\beta_{,1}} \geq 1 \) and \( e^{-\mu_{,1}(\beta_{,1} - \beta_{,2})} > 0 \), \( \kappa \) should be less than unity to make the value of locking discriminant of Eq. (43) be \( \Gamma < 0 \). As can be seen in Fig. 9, the angle \( \beta_1 \) is smaller than \( 2\pi \) due to the thickness of the belt. From geometrical consideration in Fig. 9, following equation is obtained.

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{r}{r + t} \approx 1 - \frac{t}{r} \tag{45}
\]

Here, \( t \) is thickness of the belt and \( r \) is a radius of the cylinder. When angle \( \alpha \) is small, the angle \( \alpha \) can be roughly estimated by

\[
\alpha \approx \sqrt{2t/r} \tag{46}
\]

Supposing the angle of non-contact is \( \alpha = 15^\circ \), the corresponding critical locking condition can be evaluated by solving Eq. (43). Figure 10 shows some solutions. The critical angle of belt locking \( \beta_1 \) decreases with an increment of the coefficient of friction \( \mu \). Provided the coefficient of friction is constant, the critical angle of belt locking \( \beta_1 \) increases with an increment of \( \kappa \). This fact means that the belt is likely to lock with a decrement of \( \kappa \). So the smaller coefficient of friction \( \mu_0 \) is preferable for self-locking. The limiting condition for the belt locking is \( \kappa = 0 \) or \( \mu_0 = 0 \).

Figure 11 illustrates the effect of \( \kappa \) on the fraction of belt tension \( T_4/T_1 \) for the case of \( \mu = 0.3 \) and \( \beta_3 = 345^\circ \). Making use of Eq. (41), the convergence point is calculated. It is \( T_4/T_1 = \exp(-\mu_0 \beta_3) = 0.164 \). It is clear that the fraction of belt tension \( T_4/T_1 \) is greatly influenced by the magnitude of \( \kappa, \mu_0/\mu \). The belt tension ratio \( T_4/T_1 \) decreases with an increment of over-wrapping angle \( \beta_1 \) except for the case of \( \kappa = 1.4 \). When \( \kappa \geq 1 \), the fraction of belt tension is always positive, so that the self-locking never occurs. Provided \( \beta_1 = 360^\circ, \beta_3 = 345^\circ \) and \( \mu = 0.3 \), the critical ratio of the coefficient of friction \( \kappa \) for the self-locking with two times over-wrapping condition was calculated by using the discriminant Eq. (43). It was \( \kappa_c = 0.735 \). The corresponding line was plotted with a dashed line in Fig. 11. The magnitude of \( \kappa \) should be smaller than \( \kappa_c \) to cause the self-locking.

Figure 12 shows a method by which the coefficient of friction between the belt and belt can be reduced so as to satisfy the self-locking condition. When a polyethylene film was
wrapped together with belt, an occurrence of self-locking was confirmed. But self-locking never occurred without polyethylene film.

Fig. 10. Change of critical over-wrapping angle $\theta_1$ for self-locking with ratio of the coefficients of friction $\kappa$.

Fig. 11. Fraction of belt tension $T_4 / T_1$ decreases rapidly with increment of over-wrapping angle $\theta_1$ for the case of smaller $\kappa$. 
Fig. 12. Polyethylene film was wrapped together with belt to reduce the coefficient of friction $\mu_b$. Self-locking was recognized in experiment with polyethylene film. But it never occurred without polyethylene film.

### 3.2 Friction of belt wrapped three times around axis

A belt can be wrapped more than two times around an axis as shown in Fig. 13. The point $P_i$ ($i=1, 2, 3$) is a boundary of contact. Tension of belt is denoted by $T_i$ ($i=1, 2, 3, 4$) or $T'_i$ and $T_1 > T_4$. There are two kinds of the coefficients of friction $\mu$ and $\mu_b$. $\mu_b$ is the coefficient of friction between belt and belt. The belt does not in contact with the axis from the point $P_2$ to $P_3$ due to the thickness of belt-end. In order to consider the equation of belt friction, the belt is divided into 5 sections from outside to inside as $a$, $b$, $c$, $d$ and $e$ in terms of frictional force as shown in Fig. 14. The frictional force working on an each section is expressed by either $F_{si}$ or $F_{so}$, where the first subscript $s$ means the name of section and the second subscript $i$ means inside and $o$ means outside respectively. Note that $F_{si}$ works clockwisely and $F_{so}$ works in a counter-clockwise direction. Considering the equilibrium of the force in each section, following equations are obtained.

$$
T_1 = F_{si} + T_2 \quad (47)
$$
$$
T_3 = T_2 = F_{bi} + T'_1 \quad (48)
$$
$$
T'_i = F_{ci} - F_{oi} + T'_i \quad (49)
$$
$$
T'_3 = T_2 = F_{di} + F_{de} + T'_i + T'_4 \quad (50)
$$
$$
T'_1 = F_{oi} - F_{so} + T'_4 \quad (51)
$$

Denoting the normal force from the section $a$ to $c$ by $N_{ac}$, the normal force acting to a small segment at angle $\theta$ is given by

$$
dN_{ac} = \epsilon^{\mu\theta}T_2 d\theta \quad (52)
$$

Frictional force $F_{pi}$ is calculated by integrating Eq. (52).

$$
F_{pi} = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \mu_b dN_{pi} = \left( \epsilon^{\mu\theta_2} - 1 \right) T_2 \quad (53)
$$
In the same manner, infinitesimal normal force from the section b belt to d belt is given by

\[ dN_{bd} = e^{\mu_{bd}(\theta_3 - \theta_1)} T \, d\theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (54) 

Frictional force \( F_{bi} \) is calculated by integrating Eq. (54).
Making use of Eq. (52), infinitesimal normal force from section c belt to e belt is given by

\[ dN_{ce} = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \, d\theta + dN_{ac} = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \, d\theta + e^{\mu_0 T_2} d\theta \]  

(56)

Frictional force \( F_{ci} \) is calculated by integrating Eq. (56).

\[ F_{ci} = \int_0^{\beta_1} \mu_0 dN_{ce} = \int_0^{\beta_1} \mu_0 e^{\mu_0 T_2} (T_2 + T_2) d\theta = (e^{\mu_0 T_2} - 1)(T_2 + T_2) \]  

(57)

Making use of Eq. (54), infinitesimal normal force from section d belt to the axis is given by

\[ dN_{de} = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \, d\theta + dN_{ad} = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \, d\theta + e^{\mu_0 T_2} d\theta \]  

(58)

Frictional force \( F_{di} \) is calculated by integrating Eq. (58).

\[ F_{di} = \int_0^{\beta_1} \mu_0 dN_{de} = \int_0^{\beta_1} \mu_0 e^{\mu_0 T_2} d\theta = (e^{\mu_0 T_2} - 1)T_2 + (e^{\mu_0 T_2} - 1)T_2 + \frac{\mu_0 T_2}{\mu_0 T_2} \]  

(59)

Making use of Eq. (54), infinitesimal normal force from section e belt to the axis is given by

\[ dN_{e} = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \, d\theta + dN_{ce} = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \, d\theta + e^{\mu_0 T_2} d\theta \]  

(60)

Neglecting the thickness of the belt, the equilibrium requirement of the moment gives

\[ T_1 = F_{di} + F_{ci} + T_4 \]  

(62)

Substituting Eqs. (59) and (61) into Eq. (62) gives

\[ T_1 = (e^{\mu_0 T_2} - 1)T_2 + (e^{\mu_0 T_2} - 1)\frac{\mu_0 T_2}{\mu_0 T_2} + e^{\mu_0 T_2} \]  

(63)

The belt tensions \( T_1, T_1', T_2 \) and \( T_2' \) in Eq. (63) should be expressed by the function of \( T_4 \).

From the law of action and reaction,

\[ F_{ci} = F_{ci}', \quad F_{ei} = F_{ei}', \quad F_{di} = F_{di}' \]  

(64)

Substituting Eqs. (53), (55), (57), (59) and (61) into Eqs. (47) to (51) give

\[ T_1 = F_{di} + T_2 = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \]  

(65)

\[ T_2 = T_1 = F_{di} + T_2 = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \]  

(66)

\[ T_1' = F_{ci} - F_{ci} + T_2' = (e^{\mu_0 T_2} - 1)(T_2 + T_2) - (e^{\mu_0 T_2} - 1)T_2 + T_2' = e^{\mu_0 T_2} \]  

(67)
Frictional Property of Flexible Element

\[ T_i' = T_i'' + T_i'' + T_i'' = F_{\alpha} - F_{\delta} + T_i'' = F_{\alpha} - F_{\delta} + T_i'' = e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_i'' + \left( e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_i} - 1 \right) T_i' \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (68)

\[ T_1'' = F_{ci} - F_{co} + T_4 = \left( e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_i} - 1 \right) \left( T_2 + T_2' \right) + e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_4 \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (69)

Making use of Eqs. (65), (66) and (67) gives,

\[ T_i = e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_i' = e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_i' \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (70)

Substituting Eq. (68) into Eq. (70) and making use of Eq. (67) gives

\[ T_i = e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_i' = e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} \left( T_i'' + \left( e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_i} - 1 \right) T_i' \right) \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (71)

Making use of Eqs. (65), (66) and (67) gives

\[ T_i' = \frac{T_i}{e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)}} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (72)

Substituting Eq. (72) into Eq. (71) gives

\[ T_i = e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_i' + e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} \left( e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_i} - 1 \right) T_i' \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (73)

Rearranging Eq. (73) gives,

\[ T_i'' = \frac{1 - \left( e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} - e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} \right)}{e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} + e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)}} T_i = AT_i \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (74)

Making use of Eqs. (65) and (72) gives

\[ T_2 + T_i' = \frac{e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} + 1}{e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)}} T_i \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (75)

Substituting Eq. (75) into Eq. (69) gives

\[ T_i'' = \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_i} - 1 \right) \left( e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} - 1 \right) e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_i + e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_i = BT_i + e^{\mu(T_i - \delta)} T_i \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (76)

Substituting Eq. (76) into the left hand side of Eq. (74) gives,
\[
T_i'' = \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1 \right) \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} + 1 \right) \frac{e^{\mu\beta_i} - 1}{e^{\mu\beta_i}} T_i + e^{\mu\beta_i} T_4 = BT_i + e^{\mu\beta_i} T_4
\]
(77)

\[
1 - \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} - e^{\mu\beta_i} \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1 \right) e^{\mu\beta_i} \left( T_i - e^{\mu\beta_i} T_4 \right) = AT_i
\]

Equation (77) can be written in the form of

\[
T_i = \frac{e^{\mu\beta_i}}{A - B} T_4
\]
(78)

where

\[
A = 1 - \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} - e^{\mu\beta_i} \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1 \right) e^{\mu\beta_i} \left( T_i - e^{\mu\beta_i} T_4 \right)
\]

\[
B = \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1 \right) \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} + 1 \right) \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} - 1 \right)
\]
(79)

Eqs. (78) and (79) are the targeted equations that express the relation between \( T_1 \) and \( T_4 \) in the case of a belt wrapped three times around an axis.

### 3.3 Characteristics of belt friction equation with three times wrapping around axis

The equation derived in the previous section seems complex. It can be checked by assuming some extreme cases such as \( \mu=0, \mu_b=0 \) and \( \mu=\mu_b \). In the case of \( \mu=0 \), Eq. (79) becomes,

\[
A = 1 - \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} - e^{\mu\beta_i} \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1 \right) e^{\mu\beta_i} \left( T_i - e^{\mu\beta_i} T_4 \right)
\]

\[
B = \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1 \right) \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} + 1 \right) \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} - 1 \right)
\]
(80)

then

\[
A - B = \frac{e^{\mu\beta_i}(\beta_i + \theta_i)}{e^{\mu\beta_i}(\beta_i + \theta_i)} = 1
\]
(81)

Substituting Eq. (81) and \( \mu=0 \) into Eq. (78) gives \( T_1 = T_4 \).

In the case of \( \mu_b=0 \), limiting operations are required. For the term \( A \) in Eq. (79),

\[
\lim_{\mu_b \to 0} \frac{1}{\mu} \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} - e^{\mu\beta_i} \right) = \mu(\theta_i - \theta_i)
\]
(82)

For the term \( B \) in Eq. (79),

\[
\lim_{\mu_b \to 0} \frac{1}{\mu_b} \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} + 1 \right) \left( e^{\mu\beta_i} - 1 \right) = 2\mu\theta_i
\]
(83)

Then Eq. (79) becomes,

\[
A = 1 - \frac{\mu(\theta_i - \theta_i)}{e^{\mu(\beta_i - \theta_i)}}, \quad B = 2\mu\theta_i
\]
(84)
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Substituting Eq. (84) into (78) gives

\[ T_1 = \frac{e^{\mu \theta_1}}{1 - \mu (\theta_1 - \theta_1 + 2\theta_1 e^{\mu (\theta_1 - \theta_1)})} T_4 \]  

(85)

In order to consider the smallest wrapping angle of three times wrapping, substituting \( \theta_1=0 \) into Eq. (85) gives,

\[ T_1 = \frac{e^{\mu \theta_1}}{1} T_4 \]  

(86)

On the other hand, substituting \( \theta_1=\theta_3 \) into Eq. (85) gives,

\[ T_1 = \frac{e^{\mu \theta_1}}{1 - 2\mu \theta_3} T_4 \]  

(87)

Equation (87) shows the relation of belt tension with the largest wrapping angle of three times wrapping. The locking condition is satisfied when the denominator of Eqs. (86) and (87) become 0, so that in the case of \( \theta_1=\theta_3 \), only \( 1/2 \) of the coefficient of friction is required for self locking in compared with the case of \( \theta_1=0 \).

In the case of \( \mu_0=\mu_1 \), Eq. (79) becomes,

\[ A = \frac{1}{e^{\mu \theta_1}}, \quad B = 0 \]  

(88)

so that Eq. (78) becomes,

\[ T_1 = \frac{e^{\mu \theta_1} T_4}{A - B} = e^{\mu (\theta_1 + \theta_2)} T_4 \]  

(89)

Substituting \( \theta_1=0 \) into Eq. (89) gives,

\[ T_1 = e^{2\mu \theta_1} T_4 \]  

(90)

Substituting \( \theta_1=\theta_3 \) into Eq. (89) gives,

\[ T_1 = e^{3\mu \theta_1} T_4 \]  

(91)

Note the magnitude of the wrapping angle of Eqs. (90) and (91). They are exactly the same form as the Euler’s belt formula though they were derived considering the effect of over-wrapping of belt on belt friction.

Next, Substituting \( \theta_1=0 \) into Eq. (79) provided the boundary of two and three times over-wrapping of belt gives,

\[ A = \frac{1 + (1 - e^{\mu \theta_1}) \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} - 1 \right)}{e^{\mu (\theta_1 + \theta_2)}}, \quad B = 0 \]  

(92)
then Eq. (78) becomes

\[ T_1 = \frac{1}{A-B}T_4 = \frac{e^{\theta_1(\mu + \mu_b)}}{(1-e^{\theta_1(\mu + \mu_b)})\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1\right) + 1} T_4 \]  

(93)

On the other hand, substituting \( \theta_1=\theta_3 \) into Eq. (37) in the section 3.1 that was the equation for two times over-wrapping conditions gives,

\[ T_3 = \frac{\mu(\mu + \mu_b)}{(1-e^{\theta_1(\mu + \mu_b)})\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1\right) + 1} T_4 \]  

(94)

Equation (93) is completely corresponding to Eq. (94) so that both equations are continuous. Figures 15 and 16 show some calculated results by using Eqs. (37), (78) and (79). Figure 15 is of \( \mu=0.25 \) and \( \theta_3=350^\circ \). With an increment of \( \kappa \), namely with an increment of \( \mu_b \), the ratio of belt tension \( T_4/T_1 \) increases. Self-locking occurs with wrap angle less than 720° in the case of \( \kappa=0.5 \) and 0.6, so that they were calculated by Eq. (37). On the other hand, in the case of \( \kappa=0.7, 0.8 \) and 0.9, the wrap angle less than 720° is not enough for self-locking to occur. They requires wrap angle greater than 720° so that they were calculated by Eqs. (78) and (79). Figure 16 is of \( \mu=0.2 \) and \( \theta_3=350^\circ \). All of them require wrap angle greater than 720° to enter the self-locking condition.

The threshold of self-locking for three times wrapped belt is obtained by equating \( A \) to \( B \) in Eq. (79).

\[ \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_b} - 1\right)\left(\frac{e^{\mu_b(\theta_1 - \theta_3)} - 1}{e^{\mu_b(\theta_1 - \theta_3)} + 1}\right) = 1 \]  

(95)

Fig. 15. Change of belt tension ratio with wrap angle

www.intechopen.com
Equation (95) is the discriminant of the self-locking condition for three times wrapped belt. When the coefficients of friction \( \mu \) and \( \mu_b \) and the angle \( \theta_3 \) are given, the magnitude of critical angle \( \theta_1 \) necessary for self-locking is calculated by solving Eq. (95). Figure 17 shows some solutions of Eq. (95) with angle \( \theta_3=350^\circ \). If an angle \( \theta_3 \) and the coefficient of frictions \( \mu \) and \( \mu_b \) are given, self-locking occurs with the wrap angle \( \theta_1 \) over the corresponding curve. But it does not occur with wrap angle \( \theta_1 \) under the corresponding curve. According to Fig. 17, it is
clearly seen that wrap angle $\theta_1$ becomes larger with an increment of $\kappa$. It also becomes larger with a decrement of the coefficient of friction $\mu$. Provided $\kappa$ is small enough, it is noticeable that the self-locking occurs theoretically even with these small coefficients of friction.

4. Novel clutch utilizing self-locking property of belt

Paying attention to the self-locking property of belt as described in the previous section, a novel clutch mechanism can be developed (Imado et al., 2010). Figure 18 shows a simplified three-dimensional image of the novel clutch. Figure 19 shows a cross sectional view of the clutch. Rotational torque is transmitted from the power ring to the inner axis by the belt. In declutching condition, a belt is only rotating with the power ring. Due to the centrifugal force or some restitutive property of belt, the belt is pressed against the internal face of the power ring. To transmit the rotation of power ring to the internal axis, the sleeve on the inner axis is slid along the axis to push the end face of the trigger pin that is attached at the end of the belt and rotating with the power ring. As the sleeve is rotating with the same angular speed of the inner axis, the frictional force to the trigger pin drags the belt so as to coil around the inner axis. The trigger pin works as a synchronizer. As soon as the belt comes in contact with the axis, the belt coils automatically around the axis by the frictional force between the belt and axis. Then due to the self-locking property of belt, the rotation of the power ring is transmitted to the inner axis without any slip as far as self-locking

Fig. 18. Three-dimensional image of novel clutch. Rotational torque is transmitted from power ring to inner axis by self-locking belt.
condition is satisfied. As long as driving torque is applied, the self-locking state is maintained. Semi-locking state can be realized by adjusting the over-wrapping angle of the belt. When the rotational speed of the power ring becomes smaller than that of the inner axis, the rotation of the inner axis uncoils the belt so that declutching occurs automatically. Figure 20 shows frontal views of the main part of the clutch in a state of locked-up condition and unlocked condition respectively. From the mechanical point of view, an accurate centering operation is required in assembling individual rotational machine components. Because the torque is transmitted through a flexible belt, this delicate centering operation is not so strictly required for this novel clutch. The belt-type clutch works even in the case where a power ring and an inner axis are either slightly off-centered or inclined with each other.

Figure 21 shows prototype clutch. Brake torque can be applied by the belt brake. It was confirmed experimentally that rotational torque could be transmitted without any slip
where there was an eccentricity. A steel belt with 12 mm wide and 0.12 mm in thickness was used in the prototype clutch. In order to reduce the coefficient of friction between belt and belt \( \mu_b \), a small amount of grease of molybdenum disulfide, \( \text{MoS}_2 \), was spread between the belt and belt. Test condition was summarized in Table 1. According to Eq. (43), the critical wrap angle \( \theta_1 \) of the clutch in Fig. 9 was 105° as shown in Table 1. Considering unsteadiness of the coefficients of friction, two kinds of experiments were carried out. One was of \( \theta_1=90° \), the other was of \( \theta_1=120° \). Then, self-locking occurred in the case of wrap angle \( \theta_1=120° \). On the other hand, self-locking never occurred in the case of \( \theta_1=90° \). As far as this experimental result was concerned, the validity of Eq. (43) was verified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotational speed</td>
<td>60 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of power ring</td>
<td>89 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of inner axis</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of steel belt</td>
<td>12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of steel belt</td>
<td>0.12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of friction ( \mu )</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of friction with MoS(_2) grease ( \mu_b )</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum center offset</td>
<td>8.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical over-wrap angle ( \theta_1 )</td>
<td>105°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Dimensions of clutch and the coefficients of friction

5. Generalization of belt/rope friction formula

The belt formula written in a text, it is usually explained by a figure illustrating a flexible element partially wrapped on a cylindrical surface. But actually there are many kinds of
surface. So far, frictional force calculation of a flexible element to these surfaces has not been clearly explained in a text. In this section, the friction of flexible element in the generalized condition is studied. Fig. 22 shows a belt wrapped around an arbitrary surface. The equilibrium equation of the force acting to an infinitesimal line element \( ds \) is (Hashimoto, 2006)

\[
\mu d\theta = \frac{dT}{T} \tag{96}
\]

Let denote the curvature and the radius of curvature by \( \kappa \) and \( \rho \) respectively. The small wrap angle \( d\theta \) can be written as

\[
d\theta = \frac{1}{\rho} ds = \kappa ds \tag{97}
\]

Substituting Eq. (97) into Eq. (96) gives

\[
\mu \kappa ds = \frac{dT}{T} \tag{98}
\]

Equation (98) means that the friction of a flexible element on a generalized curve can be evaluated by line integral of the curvature with respect to curvilinear length \( s \).

Fig. 22. Flexible element wrapped around body of arbitrary profile

Now, a position vector \( \mathbf{r} \) of a curve \( C \) in parametric expression with \( t \) is

\[
\mathbf{r}(t) = x(t)\mathbf{i} + y(t)\mathbf{j} + z(t)\mathbf{k} \tag{99}
\]

Differential coefficient with respect to the parameter \( t \) is expressed as \( \dot{\mathbf{r}} \) or \( \mathbf{x}' \). On the other hand, the differential coefficient with respect to curvilinear length \( s \) is expressed as \( \mathbf{r}' \) or \( x' \).

The unit tangential vector \( \mathbf{u} \), curvature \( \kappa \) and a line element \( ds \) of the curve \( C \) are (Yano & Ishihara, 1964)

\[
\mathbf{u} = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{r}}}{|\dot{\mathbf{r}}|} = \frac{\dot{x} \mathbf{i} + \dot{y} \mathbf{j} + \dot{z} \mathbf{k}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2}} \tag{100}
\]

\[
\kappa = \frac{\sqrt{(\mathbf{r} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}})(\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{r}}) - (\dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{r}})^2}}{(\mathbf{r} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}})^{3/2}} \tag{101}, \quad ds = |\dot{\mathbf{r}}| dt
\]
For a plane curve of \( z=0 \) in Eq. (99), substituting Eq. (99) into Eq. (101) gives

\[
\kappa = \frac{\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}}{(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2)^{3/2}}, \quad ds = \sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2} \, dt
\]  

(102)

Substituting Eq. (102) into the left side of Eq. (98) gives

\[
\mu \kappa ds = \mu \frac{\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}}{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2} \, dt
\]

(103)

The unit principal normal vector \( \mathbf{m} \) of the curve \( C \) is given by the formula (Yano & Ishihara, 1964)

\[
\mathbf{m} = \frac{\mathbf{u}'}{|\mathbf{u}'|} = \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} \frac{ds}{|\mathbf{u}|}
\]

(104)

Making use of Eq. (100) gives

\[
\mathbf{u}' = \frac{1}{(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2)^{1/2}} \left\{ \ddot{y}(\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}) \mathbf{i} + \ddot{x}(\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}) \mathbf{j} \right\}
\]

(105)

\[
|\mathbf{u}'| = \frac{\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}}{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2} \sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2} = \kappa
\]

(106)

Substituting Eqs. (105) and (106) into Eq. (104) gives

\[
\mathbf{m} = \frac{-\dot{y} \mathbf{i} + \dot{x} \mathbf{j}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}}
\]

(107)

Here, the direction of the vector \( \mathbf{m} \) is toward the center of curvature. Then, an outward normal vector \( \mathbf{n} \) can be defined as

\[
\mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{m} = \frac{\ddot{y} \mathbf{i} - \ddot{x} \mathbf{j}}{\sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}}
\]

(108)

The direction of the normal vector \( \mathbf{n} \) is denoted by \( \theta \)

\[
\theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{-\dot{x}}{\dot{y}} \right)
\]

(109)

Differentiating Eq. (109) with respect to \( t \) gives

\[
\dot{\theta} = \frac{\ddot{x}\ddot{y} - \ddot{y}\ddot{x}}{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}
\]

(110)

Comparing Eq. (110) with Eq. (103) gives

\[
\mu \kappa ds = \mu \frac{\dot{x}\ddot{y} - \dot{y}\ddot{x}}{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2} \, dt = \mu \dot{\theta} dt
\]

(111)
Hence, making use of Eq. (111), integration of Eq. (98) becomes

\[ \int \mu \kappa \, ds = \int \mu \theta dt = \mu (\theta_2 - \theta_1) = \log \left( \frac{T_2}{T_1} \right) \]  
(112)

Equation (112) means that fraction of belt tension is determined by angular difference of the outward normal vectors at the contact boundaries and is unrelated to the intermediate profile. Equation (98) might be applied to the three dimensional problems. As an example, let’s consider a rope spirally wrapped around a cylinder with radius \( a \). The parametric expression of a spiral with parameter \( t \) is (Yano & Ishihara, 1964)

\[ x = a \cos t, \quad y = a \sin t, \quad z = bt \]  
(113)

Substituting Eq. (113) into Eqs. (99) and (101) gives

\[ \kappa = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}, \quad ds = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \, dt \]  
(114)

Substituting Eq. (114) into Eq. (98) and integrating with respect to the parameter \( t \) from \( t_1 \) to \( t_2 \) gives

\[ \frac{T_2}{T_1} = \exp \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)^2}} (t_2 - t_1) \right\} \]  
(115)

The member \( t_2-t_1 \) in Eq. (115) is usually a wrap angle for a plane problem. But it is not wrap angle in the three dimensional problem. In the case of \( b=0 \), Eq. (115) becomes well known Euler’s belt formula. On the other hand, when \( b \) becomes infinity, Eq. (115) yields \( T_1=T_2 \). Hence, for a three-dimensional problem, the frictional force of a rope is influenced by the way of wrapping. Figure 23 (a) shows some results of calculation of Eq. (115) provided \( t_1=0 \) and \( t_2=2\pi \). Tension ratio \( T_2/T_1 \) decreases with an increment of the fraction of \( b/a \).

Let’s consider another example of a modified spiral defined by Eq. (116).

\[ x = a \cos t, \quad y = a \sin t, \quad z = \left( 1 - \frac{t}{4n\pi} \right) bt \]  
(116)

According to Eq. (116), it can be seen that the velocity component in \( z \) direction decreases linearly with parameter \( t \) and becomes 0 at \( t=2\pi n \). The components of Eq. (101) for the curve of Eq. (116) are

\[ \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}' = a^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{t}{4n\pi} \right)^2 b^2, \quad \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'' = a^2 + \left( \frac{b}{2n\pi} \right)^2, \quad \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}' = \frac{b^2 (t - 2n\pi)}{4n^2 \pi^2} \]  
(117)

Substituting Eq. (117) into Eq. (101) gives

\[ \kappa = \frac{a^4 + 4a^2n^2 \pi^2 + b^2 \left( 1 + 4n^2 \pi^2 - 4n\pi t + t^2 \right)}{2n\pi \left( a^2 + \left( \frac{1 - t}{2n\pi} \right)^2 b^2 \right)^2}, \quad ds = \sqrt{a^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{t}{2n\pi} \right)^2 b^2} \, dt \]  
(118)
Substituting Eq. (118) into Eq. (98) and integrating with respect to parameter $t$ from $t_1=0$ to $t_2=2n\pi$ gives

$$\log \left( \frac{T_2}{T_1} \right) = \mu \left( \log \frac{\sqrt{1+\beta^2\gamma^2}}{\sqrt{1+\beta^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^2}} + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\beta\gamma}{\sqrt{1+\beta^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^2}} \right) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (119)$$

where $\gamma = b/a$, $\beta = 1/(2n\pi)$

Considering the case of $\gamma=0$, namely $b=0$ of Eq. (119) requires limiting operation.

$$\lim_{\gamma \to 0} \frac{\mu}{\beta} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{1+\beta^2\gamma^2}}{\sqrt{1+\beta^2\gamma^2 + \gamma^2}} \right) = \frac{\mu}{\beta} = \mu 2n\pi$$  \hspace{1cm} (120)$$

Hence, the result of plane problem is included as a special case of $\gamma=0$ in Eq. (119). Figure 23 (b) shows some results of calculation of Eq. (119). The tension ratio of $T_2/T_1$ in Fig. 23 (b) becomes larger than that of the corresponding value of Fig. 23 (a).
Fig. 24. Experimental method to evaluate the coefficient of friction of string wrapped spirally around cylinder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>L, mm</th>
<th>b/a</th>
<th>μ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.8913</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.7825</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.9337</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.1221</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1.5597</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of experimental result to evaluate the coefficient of friction of string wrapped spirally around cylinder

In order to confirm the validity of Eq. (119), simple experiments were carried out. Figure 24 shows experimental method. The diameter of the pipe was 25 mm. The string of 1.8 mm in diameter was wrapped around the pipe in a way according to Eq. (116) by using a steel scale. The weight of 98 N was hung at the end of the string. The other end of the string was connected to the force gauge that was fixed firmly to the stay. The weight was lifted up by hand at first. Then the weight was released quietly and string tension was measured by the force gauge. As the parameter \( t \) in Eq. (116) was taken from \( t=0 \) at \( z=0 \) to \( t=2\pi n \) at \( z=L \), the constant \( b \) in Eq. (116) can be calculated by \( b=L/(\pi n) \). The coefficient of friction was calculated by Eq. (119). Experimental results are summarized in Table 2. Because almost same values were obtained regardless of the test condition, the validity of Eq. (119) was confirmed.

6. Closure

Frictional property of a flexible element was considered in this chapter. The theory of belt buckle has been clarified by considering an effect of over-wrapping of belt on belt friction. Frictional fixation of the belt buckle is caused by self-locking property of belt friction. Self-
locking occurs even in the case where a belt is wrapped around an axis two or more times. Two conditions are required to bring about self-locking. One is smaller coefficient of belt-belt friction than that of belt-axis friction. The other is larger wrap angle than the critical wrap angle. Utilizing the self-locking property of belt, a novel one-way clutch was developed. The problem of this clutch is how to get the smaller and stable coefficient of belt-belt friction for long time use. Friction of a flexible element wrapped around a generalized profile was studied. However, the friction of twisted flexible element in a thread, rope and wire has not been clarified yet. Further research is required.
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