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1. Introduction

Treatment of recalcitrant wastewater by advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) is influenced by several factors. Due to complexity of the processes, they are difficult to be modelled and simulated using conventional mathematical modelling. Artificial neural network is used in many areas of science and engineering as a promising tool because of its simplicity in simulation, prediction and modelling of process performance (Prakash et al., 2008). The chapter presents artificial neural network and training of artificial neural network, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), case studies, conclusions and references.

2. Artificial Neural Network (ANN)

The ANN is an artificial intelligence technique that mimics the human brain’s biological neural network in the problem solving processes. As humans solve a new problem based on the past experience, a neural network takes previously solved examples, looks for patterns in these examples, learns these patterns and develops the ability to correctly classify new patterns. In addition, the neural network has the ability to resemble human characteristics in problem solving that is difficult to simulate using the logical, analytical techniques of expert system and standard software technologies (Daosud et al., 2005).

A neural network is defined as a system of simple processing elements called neurons, which are connected to a network by a set of weights. The neuron is a processing element that takes a number of inputs, weights them, sums them up, adds a bias and uses the outcome as the argument for a single-valued function (transfer function) which results in the neuron’s output (Strik et al., 2005). The network is determined by the architecture of the network, the magnitude of the weights and the processing element’s mode of operation. At the start of training, the output of each node tends to be small. Consequently, the derivatives of the transfer function and changes in the connection weights are large with respect to the input. As learning progresses and the network reaches a local minimum in error surface, the node outputs approach stable values. Consequently, the derivatives of the transfer function
with respect to input, as well as changes in the connection weights, are small (Maier and Dandy, 1998).
The different types of neural network based on their incremental complexity are: feedforward, recurrent, stochastic and modular network (Prakash et al., 2008). The chapter will focus on the feedforward network which is widely used in the area of wastewater treatment.

2.1 Feedforward ANN
The feedforward ANN is composed of two or more layers of processing elements which are linked by weighted connections (Figure 1). The information flow is unidirectional, no feedback connections are present and data are presented to input layer, passed on to hidden layer and passed on to output layer.

![Feedforward ANN](image)

Fig. 1. Feedforward ANN

2.2 Training of Artificial Neural Network
According to Artificial neural network tutorial (2008), the learning situation can be categorized as the following.

*Supervised learning*

In supervised or associative learning, the network is trained by providing it with input and matching output patterns. Backpropagation is a form of supervised training. Using the actual outputs, the backpropagation training algorithm takes a calculated error and adjusts the weights of the various layers backwards from the output layer to the input layer. It means adjusting the weights in neurons with regard to the difference between the outputs predicted by the model and the actual outputs (Figure 2).

*Unsupervised learning*

In unsupervised learning or self-organisation, an output unit is trained to respond to clusters of pattern within the input. In this paradigm, the system is supposed to discover
statistically salient features of the input population. Unlike the supervised learning paradigm, there is no a priori set of categories into which the patterns are to be classified; rather the system must develop its own representation of the input stimuli.

Reinforcement learning

This category of learning may be considered as an intermediate form of the above two types of learning. Here the learning machine does some action on the environment and gets a feedback response from the environment. The learning system grades its action as good (rewarding) or bad (punishable) based on the environmental response and accordingly adjusts its parameters. Generally, parameter adjustment is continued until an equilibrium state occurs, following which there will be no more changes in its parameters. The self organizing neural learning may be categorized under this type of learning.

Fig. 2. Supervised learning (Artificial neural network tutorial, 2008)

3. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs)

AOPs are defined by Glaze et al. (1987) as near ambient temperature and pressure water treatment processes which involve the generation of highly reactive radicals (especially, hydroxyl radicals (OH$^\bullet$)) in sufficient quantity to effect water purification. These treatment processes are considered very promising methods for the remediation of contaminated water and wastewater containing non-biodegradable organic pollutants. Due to the toxic characteristics of non-biodegradable organic pollutants, e.g. antibiotics, a wastewater containing these pollutants may not suitably be treated by a conventional biological process.

In addition, separation technologies such as coagulation-filtration, activated carbon adsorption and reverse osmosis only transfer the pollutants from one phase to another without destroying them. AOPs are promising methods for the remediation of contaminated wastewaters containing non-biodegradable (recalcitrant) organic pollutants. AOPs can be classified by considering the phase where the process takes place, hence homogenous or heterogeneous processes can be differentiated. AOP classification can also consider the different possible ways of hydroxyl radical production. In this way, photochemical and non-photochemical processes can be distinguished. Table 1 shows classification of the most important AOPs into photochemical and non-photochemical processes.
### Table 1. Classification of AOPs as photochemical and non-photochemical processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photochemical process</th>
<th>Non-photochemical process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photo-Fenton (UV/Fe²⁺/H₂O₂)</td>
<td>Fenton (Fe²⁺/H₂O₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV/O₃</td>
<td>O₃/H₂O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV/H₂O₂</td>
<td>O₃/Ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV/H₂O₂/O₃</td>
<td>Ozonation (O₃/OH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneous photocatalysis (UV/TiO₂)</td>
<td>H₂O₂/Ultrasound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chapter will focus on the Fenton, photo-Fenton, UV/H₂O₂, heterogeneous photocatalysis and ozonation, and these processes are described in the following sections.

### 3.1 Fenton and photo-Fenton processes

Fenton and photo-Fenton are homogenous advanced oxidation process. The Fundamentals of these processes as well as the main factors affecting the process are described below.

#### Fundamentals of Fenton Reactions

The Fenton reaction was discovered by Fenton (1894) and forty year later, the reaction mechanism was described by Haber and Weiss (1934). In the Fenton reaction, hydroxyl radicals (OH⁻) are generated by interaction of H₂O₂ with ferrous salts as in Reaction (1).

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- + \text{OH}^\cdot
\]

(1)

Generated Fe³⁺ can be reduced by reaction with exceeding H₂O₂ to form again ferrous ion and more radicals. This second process is called Fenton-like and it is slower than Fenton reaction as in Reactions 2 and 3 (Sychev and Isaak, 1995).

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^\cdot + \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}^+
\]

(2)

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^\cdot \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+
\]

(3)

Other important dark reactions involving ferrous ion and hydrogen peroxide in absence of other interfering ions and organic substances are shown in Reactions 4-6.

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HO}_2^\cdot + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2
\]

(4)

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{OH}^\cdot \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^-
\]

(5)

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^\cdot \rightarrow \text{HO}_2^\cdot + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

(6)

The below listed radical-radical reactions, as well as the auto-decomposition of H₂O₂ are also part of the complex process as shown in Reactions 7-10.

\[
2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2
\]

(7)

\[
2\text{OH}^\cdot \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2
\]

(8)

\[
2\text{HO}_2^\cdot \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2
\]

(9)

\[
\text{HO}_2^\cdot + \text{OH}^\cdot \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2
\]

(10)
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Fundamentals of Photo-Fenton Reactions

Fenton reaction rate is strongly increased by irradiation with UV/visible light (Kiwi et al., 1994; Huston & Pignatello, 1999). During the reaction, Fe$^{3+}$ ions accumulate in the system and after Fe$^{2+}$ are consumed, the reaction practically stops. Photochemical regeneration (Reaction 11) of Fe$^{2+}$ ions by photoreduction of Fe$^{3+}$ ions was proposed (Huston & Pignatello, 1999). The newly generated ferrous ion reacts with H$_2$O$_2$ generating a second OH$^*$ radical and Fe$^{3+}$ and the cycle continues.

$$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{OH}^* \quad (11)$$

The main factors affecting Fenton and photo-Fenton processes are summarized below.

**Initial H$_2$O$_2$ Concentration**
Degradation rate of the organics increases with increase of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration. This could be explained by the effect of the additionally produced OH$^*$ radicals (Zhao et al., 2004). However, above a certain H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, the reaction rate levels off and sometimes is negatively affected by the increase of H$_2$O$_2$ concentration. This may be due to scavenging of OH$^*$ by H$_2$O$_2$ as in Reaction 6 (Kavitha and Palanivelu, 2005). Therefore, H$_2$O$_2$ should be added at an optimal concentration to achieve the best degradation. This optimal H$_2$O$_2$ concentration depends on the nature and concentration of the pollutants and the iron concentration.

**Initial Fe$^{2+}$ Concentration**
Degradation rate of the organics increases with increase of iron concentration; however, above a certain iron concentration the efficiency decreases. This may be due to the recombination of OH$^*$ radicals or increase of turbidity that hinders the absorption of the UV light required for the photo-Fenton process. Fe$^{2+}$ reacts with OH$^*$ radicals as a scavenger (Reaction 5). It is desirable for Fe$^{2+}$ or Fe$^{3+}$ to be as small as possible, so recombination can be avoided and iron complex production reduced (Kwon et al., 1999).

**pH**
The Fenton and photo-Fenton processes have a maximum activity at about pH 3. The pH value influences the generation of OH$^*$ radicals and thus the oxidation efficiency of the process. At higher pH, generation of OH$^*$ radicals decreases and this is due to the decrease of dissolved iron as well as dissociation and auto-decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ (Zhao et al., 2004). At low pH, oxidation efficiency is lower due to solvation of hydrogen peroxide in presence of high concentration of H$^*$ to form stable oxonium ion (H$_3$O$_2^+$), thus reducing substantially its reactivity with ferrous ions (Kwon et al., 1999).

**Temperature**
Fenton and photo-Fenton processes are generally conducted at ambient temperature. However, temperature is a key parameter that has to be taken into account because thermal Fenton process is accelerated with increasing temperature (Arasasinghan et al., 1989). But high temperature (above 40 °C) may decompose hydrogen peroxide to oxygen and water as in Reaction 7 (Nesheiwat & Swanson, 2000).

**3.2 UV/H$_2$O$_2$ process**
The UV/H$_2$O$_2$ system involves the formation of OH$^*$ radicals by hydrogen peroxide photolysis and subsequent propagation reactions. The mechanism most commonly accepted
for the photolysis of H$_2$O$_2$ is the cleavage of the molecule into hydroxyl radicals as in Reaction 12.

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow 2\text{OH}^*$$

The major drawback of this process is that if the solution presents a strong absorbance, this can compete with hydrogen peroxide for the radiation. Thus, cloudy water or water containing compounds absorbing UV radiation can present problems in treatment by this method.

### 3.3 Heterogeneous photocatalysis

Heterogeneous photocatalysis is a technology based on the irradiation of a catalyst, usually a semiconductor, which may be photoexcited to form electron-donor sites (reducing sites) and electron-acceptor sites (oxidizing sites) providing great scope as redox reagents. The bands of interest in photocatalysis are the occupied valence band (VB) and the unoccupied conduction band (CB), separated by an energy distance referred to as the band gap ($E_{bg}$). When the semiconductor is illuminated with light of greater energy than that of the band gap, an electron is promoted from the VB to the CB leaving a positive hole in the valence band as illustrated in Figure 3 (Cardona, 2001). After separation, the electron ($e^-$) and hole ($h^+$) pair may recombine generating heat or can become involved in electron transfer reactions with other species in solution.

![Fig. 3. Mechanism of semiconductor photocatalysis (Cardona, 2001).](image)

Among the semiconductors, titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) has proven to be the most suitable for widespread environmental applications. TiO$_2$ is biologically and chemically inert; it is stable to photo and chemical corrosion, and inexpensive. Furthermore, TiO$_2$ is of special interest since it can be photoexcited by natural (solar) UV radiation. This is because TiO$_2$ has an appropriate energetic separation between its valence and conduction bands, which can be surpassed by the energy of a solar photon. The VB and CB energies of the TiO$_2$ are estimated to be +3.1 and −0.1 eV, respectively, which means that its band gap is 3.2 eV and therefore absorbs in the near UV region (λ<387 nm)

**Mechanism of TiO$_2$ Photocatalysis**

Reaction mechanisms of photocatalytic processes have been discussed in the literature (Sadik et al., 2007). When a semiconductor such as TiO$_2$ is illuminated by photons having an energy level that exceeds their band gap ($h\nu > E_{bg}$ = 3.2 eV in case of TiO$_2$), electrons ($e^-$) are excited from the valence band to the conduction band and holes ($h^+$) are produced in the valence band.
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(Reaction 13). The photogenerated valence band holes react with either water (H\textsubscript{2}O) or hydroxyl ions (OH\textsuperscript{-}) adsorbed on the catalyst surface to generate OH\textsuperscript{•} radicals which are strong oxidants (Reaction 14 and 15). The hydroxyl radical reacts readily with surface adsorbed organic molecules, either by electron or hydrogen atom abstraction, forming organic radical cations, or by addition reactions to unsaturated bonds (Sadik et al., 2007) (Reaction 16). Since the reaction of the holes on the particle interface is faster than electrons, the particles under illumination contain an excess of electrons. Removal of these excess of electrons is necessary to complete the oxidation reaction, by preventing the recombination of electrons with holes. The most easily available electron acceptor is molecular oxygen and in presence of oxygen the predominant reaction of electrons is that with O\textsubscript{2} to form superoxide ions ('O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-}) as in Reaction (17). In acidic condition, superoxide ion combines with proton to form a hydroperoxide radical and it reacts with conduction band electron to form hydroperoxide ion. The hydroperoxide ion reacts with proton to form hydrogen peroxide. Cleavage of hydrogen peroxide by the conduction band electrons yields further hydroxyl radicals and hydroxyl ions (Reaction 18). The hydroxyl ions can then react with the valence band holes to form additional hydroxyl radicals. Recombination of the photogenerated electrons and holes may occur and indeed it has been suggested that preadsorption of substrate (organic substance) onto the photocatalyst is a prerequisite for highly efficient degradation.

\[
\text{TiO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{TiO}_2 (e^- + h^+) \quad (13)
\]

\[
h^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{OH}^\bullet \quad (14)
\]

\[
h^+ + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{OH}^\bullet \quad (15)
\]

Organics + OH\textsuperscript{•} \rightarrow products \quad (16)

\[
e^- + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow '\text{O}_2\textsuperscript{-} \quad (17)
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{OH}^\bullet + \text{OH}^- \quad (18)
\]

**Main Factors Affecting Photocatalytic**

The main factors affecting photocatalysis reactions are described below.

**Catalyst Concentration**

The reaction rate is affected by the catalyst concentration; however, above a certain concentration value the reaction rate becomes independent of catalyst concentration. This limit depends on the nature of the pollutant and on the geometry and working conditions of the photoreactor corresponding to the maximum catalyst concentration in which all the particles are totally illuminated. Decrease of reaction rate at higher catalyst concentration may be due to decrease of light penetration or increase of light scattering (Kansal et al., 2007). Agglomeration and sedimentation of catalyst under high catalyst concentration may take place and available catalyst surface for photon absorption may decrease (San et al., 2007).

**Temperature and pH**

Experimental studies on dependence of the reaction rate of degradation of organic compounds on temperature have been conducted (Evgenidou et al., 2005). Generally, increase in temperature enhances recombination of charge carriers and desorption process of adsorbed reactant species, resulting in decrease of photocatalytic activity.
Nature of the Photocatalyst

A very important parameter influencing the performance of photocatalyst in photocatalytic oxidation is the surface morphology (Dinga et al., 2005). Numerous forms of photocatalyst have been synthesized by different methods to arrive at a photocatalyst exhibiting desirable physical properties, activity and stability for photocatalytic application (Gao & Liu, 2005). Smaller particle size is reported to give higher degradation of organic compounds (Maira et al., 2001).

Light Intensity

Photocatalytic reaction rate depends largely on the radiation absorption of the photocatalyst (Curcò et al., 2002). The increase of degradation rate with increase of light intensity during photocatalytic degradation have been reported (Qamar et al., 2006).

3.4 Ozonation

Ozona}
random data. The data sets were divided into training (one half), validation (one fourth) and test (one fourth) subsets, each of which contained 60, 30 and 30 sets, respectively. A three-layer backpropagation neural network was optimized to predict and simulate the degradation of amoxicillin, ampicillin and cloxacillin in aqueous solution in terms of COD removal. Figure 4 shows the optimized network. It was a three-layer ANN with tangent sigmoid transfer function (tansig) at hidden layer with (1) neurons, linear transfer function (purelin) at output layer and Levenberg-Marquardt backpropagation training algorithm (LMA). The network was tested and the mean square error was 0.000376. In a comparison between ANN predicted results and the experimental results, the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) was 0.997 (Figure 5). The sensitivity analysis was conducted using two methods. The first one was based on the neural net weight matrix and Garson equation (Aleboyeh et al., 2008). Garson (1991) proposed an equation based on the partitioning of connection weights

\[
I_j = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N_h} \left| W_{jm}^k \right| \sum_{l=1}^{N_o} \left| W_{lo}^m \right| \right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N_h} \left| W_{jm}^k \right| \sum_{l=1}^{N_o} \left| W_{lo}^m \right| \right) \times \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} \left| W_{jm}^k \right| \sum_{l=1}^{N_o} \left| W_{lo}^m \right|}
\]

where, $I_j$ is the relative importance of the $j$th input variable on the output variable, $N_i$ and $N_h$ are the numbers of input and hidden neurons, respectively, $W$s are connection weights, the superscripts ‘$i$’, ‘$h$’ and ‘$o$’ refer to input, hidden and output layers, respectively, and
subscripts \( k \), \( m \) and \( n \) refer to input, hidden and output neurons, respectively. The second evaluation process was based on the possible combination of variables (Yetilmizsoy and Demirel, 2008). Performance of the groups of one, two, three, four, and five variables were examined by the optimum ANN structure. The input variables were reaction time (\( P_1 \)), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{COD} \) molar ratio (\( P_2 \)), \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{Fe}^{2+} \) molar ratio (\( P_3 \)), \( \text{pH} \) (\( P_4 \)) and COD concentration (\( P_5 \)). Table 2 shows the results of the sensitivity analysis for different combination of input variables.

Table 2 shows the results of the sensitivity analysis for different combination of input variables.

The sensitivity analysis showed that all studied variables (reaction time, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{COD} \) molar ratio, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{Fe}^{2+} \) molar ratio, \( \text{pH} \) and COD) have strong effect on antibiotics degradation in terms of COD removal. In addition, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{Fe}^{2+} \) molar ratio is the most influential parameter with relative importance of 25.8%. The results showed that neural network modelling could effectively predict and simulate the behaviour of the Fenton process.

4.2 The use of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) with oxidation reduction potential for dosage control of the Fenton process for color removal from textile wastewater

Yu et al. (2009) built a Fenton dosage control strategy that uses oxidation reduction potential (ORP) monitoring and artificial neural network models for removing color from textile wastewater. The input variables were peak value (mV), \( \text{pH} \) value at the ORP peak, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) dose \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \), dose and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{Fe}^{2+} \) molar ratio. The corresponding decolorization efficiency was used as a target. The data sets (74) were divided into training 46 and testing 24. A three-layer backpropagation neural network was used to predict and simulate the process. The network was tested and the root mean square (RMS) value was 0.053. In a comparison between ANN predicted results and the experimental results, the correlation coefficient (\( R^2 \)) was 0.97 (Figure 6). Figure 7 shows the proposed Fenton dosage control strategy based on the developed artificial neural network control model.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Mean square error (MSE)</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient ($R^2$)</th>
<th>Best linear equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>365.889</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>$y = 3.71X +880$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂</td>
<td>276.46</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>$y = 7.44X +763$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₃*</td>
<td>270.141</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>$y = 8.93X +689$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₄</td>
<td>378.575</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>$y = 3.15X +991$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₅</td>
<td>404.727</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>$y = 1.7X +953$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂</td>
<td>0.500941</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>$y = 0.409X +29.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂+P₃</td>
<td>0.451707</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>$y = 0.452X +25.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₄</td>
<td>0.65364</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>$y = 0.32X +31.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₅</td>
<td>0.714965</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>$y = 0.30X +38$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂+P₃</td>
<td>0.415012</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>$y = 0.528X +25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂+P₄</td>
<td>0.388861</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>$y = 0.528X +24.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂+P₅</td>
<td>0.552496</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>$y = 0.405X +32.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₃+P₄*</td>
<td>0.304122</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>$y = 0.701X +16.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₅</td>
<td>0.571864</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>$y = 0.509X +23.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₆</td>
<td>0.755573</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>$y = 0.232X +40.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂+P₃</td>
<td>0.313754</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>$y = 0.642X +18.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂+P₄</td>
<td>0.2901</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>$y = 0.675X +16.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂+P₅</td>
<td>0.453212</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>$y = 0.675X +25.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₃+P₄</td>
<td>0.141262</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>$y = 0.873X +6.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₃+P₅</td>
<td>0.43797</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>$y = 0.57X +21.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₄+P₅</td>
<td>0.583005</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>$y = 0.57X +32.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂+P₃+P₄*</td>
<td>0.117252</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>$y = 0.849X +9.37$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂+P₃+P₅</td>
<td>0.379122</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>$y = 0.579X +23.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂+P₅</td>
<td>0.300483</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>$y = 0.695X +17.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂+P₃+P₄*</td>
<td>0.00278282</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>$y = 0.997X +0.402$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂+P₃+P₅</td>
<td>0.270749/0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>$y = 0.679X +15.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂+P₃+P₅</td>
<td>0.264695</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>$y = 0.682X +15.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₃+P₄+P₅</td>
<td>0.139748</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>$y = 0.87X +6.27$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂+P₃+P₄+P₅</td>
<td>0.113608</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>$y = 0.862X +8.92$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₁+P₂+P₃+P₄+P₅*</td>
<td>0.000376</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>$y = 0.999X +0.116$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The best group performances according to number of parameters

Table 2. Evaluation of combination of input variables (Elmolla et al., 2010)
4.3 The use of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for modeling of DOC removal from polyvinyl alcohol aqueous solution by the photo-Fenton process

Giroto et al. (2006) reported the implementation of artificial neural network (ANN) for modelling of DOC removal from polyvinyl alcohol aqueous solution by the photo-Fenton process. Experimental data sets (432) were divided into input matrix [p] and target matrix...
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[t]. The input variables were reaction time (t), initial DOC, Fe$^{2+}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations. The corresponding DOC removal was used as a target. In a comparison between ANN calculated DOC and the experimental DOC, the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) was 0.966 (Figure 8).

![Graph showing comparison between calculated and experimental DOC](https://www.intechopen.com)

Fig. 8. Comparison between calculated and experimental DOC (Giroto et al., 2006)

4.4 The use of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for prediction of azo dye decolorization by UV/H$_2$O$_2$

Aleboyeh et al. (2008) developed an artificial neural network model for the prediction and simulation of photochemical decolorization of C.I. Acid Orange 7 solution by UV/H$_2$O$_2$ process. Experimental data sets were divided into input matrix [p] and target matrix [t]. The input variables were initial concentration of dye and hydrogen peroxide, the pH of the solution and time of UV irradiation. The corresponding decolorization efficiency was used as a target. The data sets (228) were divided into training (one half), validation (one fourth) and test (one fourth) subsets, each of which contained 114, 57 and 57 sets, respectively.

![Diagram of artificial neural network](https://www.intechopen.com)

Fig. 9. Comparison between predicted and experimental decolorization (Aleboyeh et al., 2008)
A three-layer backpropagation neural network was used to predict and simulate the process. Figure 9 shows the optimized network. It was a three-layer ANN with tangent sigmoid transfer function (tansig) at hidden layer with 14 neurons, linear transfer function (purelin) at output layer and scaled conjugate gradient algorithm training algorithm. The network was tested and the mean square error was 0.004. In a comparison between ANN predicted results and the experimental results, the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) was 0.996 (Figure 10). The sensitivity analysis was conducted based on Garson equation (Equation 1) and it showed that all studied variables (initial concentration of the dye and $H_2O_2$, initial pH and reaction time) had considerable effects on decolorization. In addition, the initial concentration of $H_2O_2$ was the most influential parameter in the decolorization process with relative importance of 48.89%.

4.5 Decolorization process modeling by neural network

Guimarães et al. (2008) developed an artificial neural network model for the prediction and simulation of photochemical decolorization of acid orange 52 dye solution by the UV/$H_2O_2$ process. The input variables were dye concentration, pH, hydrogen peroxide concentration, temperature and time of operation. The corresponding absorbance was used as a target. A three-layer backpropagation neural network was used to predict and simulate the process. It was a three-layer ANN with tangent sigmoid transfer function (tansig) at hidden layer with 16 neurons, linear transfer function (purelin) at output layer and descending gradient (learngdm) training algorithm. The neural network was trained with 218 samples and utilized a configuration with a hidden layer and 16 neurons in the layer, presenting high correlation coefficient of ($R^2$) 0.991 (Figure 11). The sensitivity analysis using Garson equation (Equation 1) showed that all studied variables (dye concentration, pH, hydrogen peroxide concentration, temperature and time of operation) had considerable effects on the decolorization.
4.6 The use of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for prediction of Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) degradation by UV/H$_2$O$_2$ process

Salari et al. (2005) proposed an artificial neural network model for the prediction and simulation MTBE concentration during irradiation time in optimized conditions of the UV/H$_2$O$_2$ process. The input variables were reaction time (t), initial concentration of MTBE, initial concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ and pH of the solution. The concentration of MTBE, as a function of reaction time ([MTBE]$_t$), was used as a target. The data sets were divided into training (one half), validation (one fourth) and test (one fourth) subsets, each of which contained 32, 16 and 16 sets, respectively. Figure 12 shows the optimized network. It was a three-layer ANN with tangent sigmoid transfer function (tansig) at hidden layer with 14 neurons and linear transfer function (purelin) at output layer. The network was tested and
the mean square error was 0.0004. In a comparison between ANN predicted results and the experimental results, the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) was 0.998 (Figure 13).

![Fig. 13. Comparison between predicted and experimental results (Salari et al., 2008)](image)

### 4.7 The use of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for prediction of nitrogen oxides removal efficiency by TiO$_2$ photocatalysis

Toma et al. (2004) predicted the photocatalytic removal efficiency of nitrogen oxides (NO and NO$_x$) over a TiO$_2$ powder (Degussa P25). The network input layer contained three neurons representing powder quantity, irradiation time and surface, respectively. The output layer comprised two neurons representing the photocatalytic efficiency in terms of NO and NO$_x$. The data of 488 experimental sets were used to feed an ANN structure. Figure 14 shows the optimized ANN structure characterized by three hidden layers containing seven, four and three neurons, respectively. Correlations were learnt from the database with a percentage of 98.57%. The overall optimization error was on average less than 5%.

![Fig. 14. Artificial neural network optimized structure (Toma et al., 2004)](image)
4.8 The use of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) modeling of humic substance removal from an aqueous solution by ozonation

Oguz et al. (2008) modelled the removal of humic substances from aqueous solution by ozonation. The input variables to the neural network were treatment time (t), initial concentration of humic substance, powdered activated carbon dose (PAC), ozone-air flow rate, ozone generation potential, pH, temperature and HCO$_3^-$ ion concentration. The output variable was humic substance removal. The best result was obtained from the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm, hyperbolic tangent function in the hidden layer and the linear activation function in the output layer. As shown in Figure 15, the optimized network structure was 8 neurons at the input layer, 1 neuron at the hidden layer and 1 neuron at the output layer. In a comparison between ANN predicted values and the observed values, the correlation coefficient ($R^2$) was 0.995 with standard deviation ratio 0.065, mean absolute error 4.057 and root mean square error 5.4967 (Figure 16).

Fig. 15. Artificial neural network optimized structure (Oguz et al., 2008)

Fig. 16. Comparison between predicted and observed values (Oguz et al., 2008)

5. Conclusions

Artificial neural network is a promising tool for simulation, modelling and prediction of advanced oxidation process (AOP) performance. The output of modelling can be used for
sensitivity analysis and to study the dynamic behaviour of the AOP. More research should be done for application of other artificial intelligent technique such as Neuro-fuzzy for prediction as well as control the process.

6. References


This book covers 27 articles in the applications of artificial neural networks (ANN) in various disciplines which includes business, chemical technology, computing, engineering, environmental science, science and nanotechnology. They modeled the ANN with verification in different areas. They demonstrated that the ANN is very useful model and the ANN could be applied in problem solving and machine learning. This book is suitable for all professionals and scientists in understanding how ANN is applied in various areas.
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