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1. Introduction

Transgenic crops such as maize (Zea mays L.), soybeans (Glycine max L. Merr.), canola (Brassica napus L.) and cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) have been widely used in the field. In 2009, transgenic crops were cultivated in approximately 134 million hectares in 25 countries, mainly USA, Brazil, Argentina, India, Canada and China (http://www.isaaa.org). The adoption of transgenic crops has steadily increased since 1996 because of their many benefits for farmers. Herbicide resistance is one of the most important agronomic traits conferred onto transgenic crops. Herbicide-resistant crops comprise 62 percent of all transgenic crops (http://www.isaaa.org), and are produced by the introduction of herbicide-resistant genes using genetic transformation. Herbicide resistance can be used as an efficient tool to allow easier weed management. It facilitates control of weed species and contributes to reducing costs, labor, and the waste of chemical spray. Herbicide resistance can also facilitate the selection of transgenic cells from non-transgenic cells as a selectable marker in genetic transformation.

Acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides are widely used around the world. ALS-inhibiting herbicide-resistant weeds were first found in kochia (Kochia scoparia L. Shrad) (Primiani et al., 1990) and prickly lettuce (Lactuca serriola L.) (Mallory-Smith et al., 1990). Subsequently, plants and cultured cells resistant to ALS-inhibiting herbicides have been generated using both conventional mutation breeding and somatic cell selection. Since then, the ALS genes have been cloned and characterized. In most cases, resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides has been found to be conferred by single or double amino-acid mutations at a particular position in ALS. Mutated ALS genes can be used not only for the generation of herbicide-resistant crops, but also as selectable markers.

We are now producing transgenic tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.) and maize that are resistant to ALS-inhibiting herbicides using novel mutated ALS genes. This chapter focuses on mutated ALS genes and their application to the production of herbicide-resistant crops and selection of transgenic cells as selectable markers.

1.1 ALS-inhibiting herbicides

ALS (EC 2.2.1.6; also referred to as acetohydroxyacid synthase, AHAS) is the first common enzyme in the biosynthetic pathway leading to the branched-chain amino acids, isoleucine, leucine and valine (Fig. 1). It is a highly conserved enzyme in higher plants. ALS moves to
the chloroplast with the use of a transit peptide. Although ALS functions in plastids, ALS is a dominant and nuclear gene, and thus follows normal Mendelian inheritance.

Fig. 1. The biosynthesis pathway of branched-chain amino acids. ALS-inhibiting herbicides inhibit ALS.

ALS is the target enzyme of at least five structurally distinct classes of herbicides: pyrimidinylcarboxylates (PCs), sulfonylureas (SUs), imidazolinones (IMs), triazolopyrimidine sulfonamides and sulfonylaminocarbonyltriazolinones (Shimizu et al., 2002). These herbicides all bind to ALS, but not all at the same attachment points. ALS-inhibiting herbicides are widely used around the world and account for about 17.5 % of the total global herbicide market (Green, 2007). There are more than 50 commercial herbicides from these five classes of herbicides used for selective weed control. Some representative ALS-inhibiting herbicides are shown in Fig. 2. These herbicides control an immense variety of grass and broadleaf weeds.

When we spray plants with these herbicides, plants cannot biosynthesize essential amino acids due to the inhibition of ALS and they come to die. ALS-inhibiting herbicides are used for controlling weed species at relatively low application rates and have both foliar and soil residual activity. Furthermore, ALS does not exist in mammals; thus, ALS-inhibiting herbicides are thought to be less toxic to mammals.

1.2 Mutated ALS genes confer resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides

Resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides in plants has in most cases been conferred by either single or double-mutant amino-acid substitutions at a particular position in ALS. Different types of mutation have been found to confer resistance to different classes of herbicide (Table 1).

The most commonly encountered mutations involve the residues of alanine at position 96 (A96), proline at position 171 (P171), tryptophane at position 548 (W548) and serine at position 627 (S627). Mutations were described using the rice numbering system. The mutation of the residue of tryptophane 548 substituted with leucine (W548L) was first isolated together with the mutation of the residue of proline at position 171 substituted with alanine (P171A) in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) by selection using SU (Lee et al., 1988). Subsequently, the mutation has been found in maize (Bernasconi et al., 1995) and canola.
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Fig. 2. ALS-inhibiting herbicides. (Hattori et al., 1995). This mutation confers resistance to different classes of ALS-inhibiting herbicides, SUs and IMs. At this position, other amino-acid substitutions, W548C and W548S, have been identified in cotton (Rajasekaran et al., 1996). A mutation of S627 was first found in IM-resistant Arabidopsis thaliana (Haughn & Somerville, 1990). In contrast to W548L, this mutation of S627N confers resistance to IM, but not to SU. The mutation at this position leading to S627A, S627N, S627T and S627F has been analyzed in Arabidopsis by site-directed mutagenesis (Lee et al. 1999). Double mutations such as P171A/W548L in tobacco (Lee et al., 1988), P171S/S627N in Arabidopsis (Hattori et al., 1992) and A96T/P171S in sugar beets (Beta vulgaris L.) (Wright et al., 1998) have been reported.

Some amino acid substitutions conferring herbicide resistance are well conserved in plant ALS (Tan et al., 2005). We can artificially develop an herbicide-resistant ALS gene using this information even if mutations that confer herbicide resistance have not been characterized in the target plant. When we produce transgenic plants, it is desirable to use transgenes derived from host plant DNA as much as possible. This will be applicable to the production of cisgenic plants with public acceptance (Schouten et al., 2006).

1.3 A two-point mutated rice (Oryza sativa L.) ALS gene conferred resistance to a PC herbicide

Double mutations have been found in the rice ALS gene through cell culture using bispyribac-sodium (BS), a PC herbicide (Kawai et al., 2007b). Before isolation of the mutated ALS gene, no paper had reported a mutated ALS gene as conferring resistance to PC herbicides. The mutations were selected from BS-resistant calli produced spontaneously by somaclonal variation during tissue culture.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Selection agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays</td>
<td>A96T</td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>Imidazolinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta vulgaris</td>
<td>A96T</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Imidazolinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>A96V</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>M98E</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>M98I</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>M98H</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>P171S</td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum</td>
<td>P171Q</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum</td>
<td>P171A</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum</td>
<td>P171S</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta vulgaris</td>
<td>P171S</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassica napus</td>
<td>P171S</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>P171 deletion</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>R173A</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>R173E</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>F180R</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays</td>
<td>W548L</td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>Imidazolinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum</td>
<td>W548L</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassica napus</td>
<td>W548L</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryza sativa</td>
<td>W548L</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Pyrimidinylcarboxylate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gossypium hirsutum</td>
<td>W548S</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gossypium hirsutum</td>
<td>W548C</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Sulfonyleurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>W548L</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum</td>
<td>W548F</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>W548S</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>W548 deletion</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays</td>
<td>S627D</td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>Imidazolinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>S627N</td>
<td>SCS/SDM</td>
<td>Imidazolinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays</td>
<td>S627N</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Imidazolinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryza sativa</td>
<td>S627T</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Pyrimidinylcarboxylate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>S627F</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>S627F</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>S627 deletion</td>
<td>SDM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryza sativa</td>
<td>G95A</td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>Pyrimidinylcarboxylate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Mutations in ALS conferring resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides (Adapted from Kawai et al., 2007b).
The mutations involved W548L and the residue of serine at position 627 being substituted with isoleucine (S627I). These mutations are a new combination of spontaneous mutations with a novel substitution at the S627 position. The resistance to BS was extremely high as compared with those to SUs and IMs. The single mutations of W548L and S627I in ALS conferred resistance to BS, and the degree of resistance was higher in W548L than in S627I (Fig. 3A). The resistance to BS among these single-mutated ALSs was shown to be lower than that of the double-mutated ALS (Fig. 3A). The W548L mutation also conferred resistance to chlorsulfuron (CS), a SU herbicide, while the S627I mutation conferred no obvious resistance (Fig. 3B). A comparison of the degree of resistance to CS between the W548L single mutation and the W548L/S627I double mutation revealed that they shared the same degree of resistance to CS (Fig. 3B). Therefore, when it was introduced into an ALS gene carrying the W548L mutation, the S627I mutation was shown to drastically enhance BS resistance in particular.

Fig. 3. Sensitivity of recombinant rice ALSs to bispyribac-sodium (A) and chlorsulfuron (B) (Adapted from Kawai et al., 2007b).

A two-point mutated rice ALS gene, OsALS (dm), was created when two-point mutations, W548L and S627I, in the OsALS gene were introduced by site-directed mutagenesis. The OsALS (dm) gene was introduced into rice by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (Kawai et al., 2007a). After spraying over the leaves and stems of transgenic rice carrying the OsALS (dm) gene, they grew normally, indicating that the OsALS (dm) gene acted functionally in rice plants. Expression levels of both endogenous and mutated ALS genes of transgenic rice plants were correlated with the resistance of transgenic plants to BS. The BS resistance of transgenic plants was stably inherited to the progeny in a Mendelian manner.

2. Production of transgenic herbicide-resistant tall fescue for turf

Tall fescue is a major cool-season perennial grass species. It is an outcrossing, open-pollinated, and highly self-incompatible grass species; therefore, generally genetic improvement takes a long time. Genetic transformation can help to overcome the problem and facilitate grass improvement. Because of its agronomic importance, many Agrobacterium-mediated transformation systems have been developed in tall fescue (Bettany et al., 2003; Dong et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005; Gao et al., 2008). Tall fescue is widely used...
not only as forage in pastures, but also as turf for lawns, golf courses, athletic fields, roadides, and other places. Applying herbicide to tall fescue in pasture or meadow is unrealistic because of the cost and safety, but its application in turf is promising. In turfgrass, weed management is very important, and herbicide resistance can be used as an efficient tool to allow easier maintenance.

We introduced the OsALS (dm) gene into turf-type tall fescue to confer herbicide resistance using Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (Sato et al., 2009). Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 carries the binary vector pMLH7133-OsALS (dm) consisting of the OsALS (dm) gene and hygromycin phosphotransferase gene (hpt) under the control of the enhanced cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter (Kawai et al., 2007a). Embryogenic calli were induced from shoot tips of the turf-type tall fescue cultivar Tomahawk germinated in vitro. Infected calli were selected by incubation with hygromycin. Hygromycin-resistant calli were regenerated, transferred to soil and grown in a greenhouse.

Introduction of the OsALS (dm) and hpt genes was confirmed by PCR analysis. The PCR products amplified by the OsALS (dm) primers from both regenerated and wild-type plants were equivalent in size to a fragment amplified from the binary vector pMLH7133-OsALS (dm). Because the ALS genes are well conserved in plants, the PCR from the wild-type plant would be amplified from the endogenous tall fescue ALS gene (FaALS). In the OsALS (dm) gene, two new MfeI sites are produced at the mutation sites (Osakabe et al., 2005), and thus the primers were designed to cover one MfeI site to distinguish the OsALS (dm) from the FaALS gene. After the PCR products were digested with MfeI, the regenerated plants and pMLH7133-OsALS (dm) yielded two fragments, whereas the wild-type plant yielded a single fragment. The copy number of integrated genes was estimated by Southern blot analysis and ranged from one to five.

Transgenic plants were sprayed on the leaves with a commercial ALS-inhibiting herbicide containing BS. Wild-type plants were confirmed to die completely after herbicide treatment (Fig. 4). On the other hand, transgenic plants were unaffected by the treatment and showed resistance to the herbicide (Fig. 4).

Fig. 4. Herbicide application to wild-type (left) and transgenic plants (right). The picture was taken 45 days after herbicide treatment.

ALS activity in the transgenic plants under the herbicide treatment was analyzed by colorimetric enzymatic assay (Osakabe et al., 2005) with some minor modifications. This assay is able to estimate ALS activity in plant tissues with or without herbicide treatment based on a comparison of acetoin accumulation (Gerwick et al., 1993). Red or pink coloration indicates a high accumulation of acetoin produced by the ALS activity, and yellow or brown
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indicates a low accumulation of acetoin. When the leaf tissues were incubated without BS, both wild-type and transgenic plants produced pink coloration (Fig. 5A). When incubated with BS, only transgenic plants produced pink coloration while the wild-type plants produced a brown color (Fig. 5A).

When ALS activity with BS was measured by a spectrophotometer, the ALS activity in transgenic plants was almost equivalent to that in wild-type plants without BS and showed higher activity than in wild-type plants (Fig. 5B). In the assay without BS, the ALS activity tended to be higher in transgenic plants than in wild-type plants because OsALS (dm) protein would be produced in addition to the endogenous FaALS protein. The transgenic plants showed lower ALS activity with BS than without BS, probably because the FaALS protein was inhibited by BS treatment. These results indicated that the transgenic plants actively produced OsALS (dm) protein under herbicide treatment.

![Fig. 5](https://www.intechopen.com)

Although the transgenic plants were confirmed to show herbicide resistance in the greenhouse, they should be further examined to ensure that herbicide resistance is stable under field conditions. However, since tall fescue is an open-pollinated and anemophilous grass, it is possible that transgenes could be dispersed into the environment through pollen.

![Fig. 5](https://www.intechopen.com)
Lee (1996) discussed two environmental risks associated with transgenic turfgrass. The first risk is the possibility that transgenes will be spread by crossing transgenic plants with weed species. The second is the chance that transgenic plants will themselves become weeds. Tall fescue produces large amounts of pollen-containing allergenic proteins that cause hay fever in susceptible people. In tall fescue, plants with cytoplasmic male sterility have been developed to limit grass pollen allergy (Fujimori, 2002). To minimize the risk of dispersal of transgenic pollen in the field, we are crossing such cytoplasmic male-sterile plants with our transgenic plants.

3. Production of transgenic maize using a mutated ALS gene derived from host maize DNA

Four commercial hybrid maize varieties resistant to ALS-inhibiting herbicides (IM and SU) were developed by a somatic cell selection method with a B73 x A188 callus tissue culture (Tan et al., 2005) with a single mutation (W574L, S653N and T155A). A double mutation (P197A and W574L) that showed enhanced resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides was later discovered and called a highly herbicide-resistant ALS (HRA). The first transgenic maize resistant to ALS-inhibiting herbicides was produced by Pioneer Hi-Bred with this HRA gene. The transgenic maize not only has resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides but also to glyphosate introduced by Bacillus-derived 5-enolpyruvylskimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) in the same vector. As for regulatory elements, an ALS gene-derived promoter with three copies of a CaMV 35S enhancer and potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) protease inhibitor II-derived terminator was used. Nicosulfuron and rimsulfuron were used to check the resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides of the transgenic maize. This event has already been named DP-098140, OECD UI: DP-098140-6 (https://bch.cbd.int/database/record-v4.shtml?documentid=48466) and has been approved in several countries.

It is important to pay attention to the production of consumer-acceptability of transgenic crops in certain countries, including Japan. In order to produce transgenic maize plants carrying only host-derived genes which are more acceptable, we isolated a maize ALS gene inducing both a promoter and terminator region from a Japanese inbred line, and then introduced the same mutations as in rice but at different positions (W542L and S621I) to confer ALS-inhibiting herbicide resistance. Its resistance to BS was also confirmed by analyzing the enzymatic activities. This mutated ALS gene was again introduced to maize by an improved Agrobacterium-mediated transformation method (Ishida et al., 2007). Japanese maize inbred lines were at first screened for their tissue culture response, and Mi29 (Ikegaya et al., 1999) was adopted for its high in vitro regenerative ability. Immature embryos of Mi29 at 7-10 days after fertilization were infected with Agrobacterium containing either the standard binary vector or a super-efficient one and cultured on selection medium with 0.1 or 0.5 microM BS after a one-week co-cultivation period. Transgenic calli resistant to BS were transferred to regeneration medium, and regenerated shoots were further transferred to rooting medium. The overall transformation frequency was 5-30% depending upon the stage and quality of the immature embryo. Transgenic BS-resistant maize grew to maturity and set seeds. T1 progenies were obtained by crossing the transgenic maize with wild type. The inheritance of the transgene was confirmed by PCR analysis and BS application to their progenies. The progenies showed the segregation ratio (resistant: susceptible 1:1) expected for a single locus (Fig. 6).
4. The use of mutated ALS genes as selective markers

Selectable markers facilitate the selection of transgenic cells from non-transgenic cells in genetic transformation. Without them, the transgenic cells that integrate transgenes stably would be lost in non-transgenic cells, which would grow well in the absence of a selection agent. The most widely used selectable markers are antibiotic-resistant genes such as the hpt gene and the nptII gene encoding neomycin phosphotransferase. HPT has a low likelihood of inducing toxicity and allergenicity (Zhuo et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2007), and NPTII was determined to be nontoxic for human or animal consumption (Nap et al., 1992). Herbicide-resistant genes are also used as selectable markers. The bar gene encodes the enzyme phosphinothricin acetyltransferase (PAT) and confers resistance to phosphinothricin, glufosinate or bialaphos herbicides. PAT is specific and does not possess food toxins or allergens in human food and animal feed (Hérouet et al., 2005). The lack of toxicity or allergenicity of EPSPS has also been proved (Hammond et al., 2004). However, some consumers are opposed to the use of these selectable markers because they are derived from bacterial or fungal DNA. Therefore, the use as selectable markers of mutated ALS genes, derived from plant DNA, has recently increased. Mutated ALS genes derived from Arabidopsis have been reported to be useful as selectable markers in various plants, such as tobacco (Gabard et al., 1989), rice (Li et al., 1992), potato (Anderson et al., 2003), oilseed mustard (Brassica juncea) (Ray et al., 2004) and maize (Zhang et al. 2005). Mutated OsALS genes have been demonstrated to be useful as selectable markers in rice (Osakabe et al., 2005; Okuzaki et al., 2007). Using these mutated OsALS genes, transgenic plants have been produced in various plants such as rice (Osakabe et al., 2005; Okuzaki et al., 2007), soybeans (Tougou et al., 2009), tall fescue (in preparation) and wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (Ogawa et al., 2008).

Some studies have suggested that homology-dependent gene silencing is associated with the presence of either multiple copies of homologous transgenes and promoters (Matzke & Matzke, 1995) or a transgene and a homologous endogenous gene (Meyer, 1995). In general, constitutive promoters, such as the CaMV 35S promoter, rice actin 1 promoter and maize ubiquitin promoter, are used to drive selectable markers. In our transgenic tall fescue, multiple integrated transgenes were observed, and ALS activity was insufficient to confer herbicide resistance in susceptible plants (Sato et al., 2009). The CaMV 35S promoter was used for two genes (OsALS (dm), hpt) in the same binary vector; therefore, the chances of gene silencing may have increased by overuse of the CaMV 35S promoter. Okuzaki et al.
(2007) reported that some transgenic rice calli with multiple copies of a mutated ALS gene driven by the maize ubiquitin promoter did not regenerate, whereas transgenic calli with only one or two transgenes did. On the other hand, no relationship between herbicide resistance and copy number was apparent in wheat transformation using the rice ALS promoter (Ogawa et al., 2008). It was assumed that the rice ALS promoter is not a strong one and is expressed in a tissue-specific manner (Oskabe et al., 2005). Strong expression in all tissues by constitutive promoters tends to cause deleterious effects, and the use of the endogenous ALS promoter would be preferable for more stable expression.

5. Conclusion

In this chapter, we introduced mutated ALS genes and their application to the production of herbicide-resistant crops and selection of transgenic cells. Our transgenic tall fescue and maize were confirmed to show ALS-inhibiting herbicide resistance in the greenhouse. So far, many transgenic herbicide-resistant crops have been developed. Though relatively new, their contribution to production-based agriculture has been significant. In future, we expect our herbicide-resistant crops to allow easier weed management.

Although herbicides are effective weed management tools, a cultivation system that depends on the application of a single type of herbicide with the same site of action would tend to increase the frequency of emergence of herbicide-resistant weed species or group of herbicides. Herbicide-resistant weeds evolve through random mutation events. In particular, there are more weed species that are resistant to ALS-inhibiting herbicides than to any other herbicides (Tranel & Wright, 2002) because resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides is conferred by single or double mutations.

Recently, the adoption of stacked cultivars in which multiple transgenic traits were introduced has been produced in maize, soybeans and other crops. The use of a combination of several herbicides with other mechanisms and plants resistant to those herbicides is useful to inhibit and delay effectively the generation of herbicide-resistant weed species.
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