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1. Introduction

Generation and distribution of electric energy with good reliability and quality is very important in power system operation and control. This is achieved by Automatic Generation Control (AGC). In an interconnected power system, as the load demand varies randomly, the area frequency and tie-line power interchange also vary. The objective of Load Frequency Control (LFC) is to minimize the transient deviations in these variables and to ensure for their steady state values to be zero. The LFC performed by only a governor control imposes a limit on the degree to which the deviations in frequency and tie-line power exchange can be minimized. However, as the LFC is fundamentally for the problem of an instantaneous mismatch between the generation and demand of active power, the incorporation of a fast-acting energy storage device in the power system can improve the performance under such conditions. But fixed gain controllers based on classical control theories are presently used. These are insufficient because of changes in operating points during a daily cycle [Benjamin et al., 1978; Nanda et al., 1988; Das et al., 1990; Mufti et al., 2007; Nanda et al., 2006 & Oysal et al., 2004] and are not suitable for all operating conditions. Therefore, variable structure controller [Benjamin et al., 1982; Sivaramaksishana et al., 1984; Tripathy et al., 1997 & Shayeghi et al., 2004] has been proposed for AGC. For designing controllers based on these techniques, the perfect model is required which has to track the state variables and satisfy system constraints. Therefore it is difficult to apply these adaptive control techniques to AGC in practical implementations. In multi-area power system, if a load variation occurs at any one of the areas in the system, the frequency related with this area is affected first and then that of other areas are also affected from this perturbation through tie lines. When a small load disturbance occurs, power system frequency oscillations continue for a long duration, even in the case with optimized gain of integral controllers [Sheikh et al., 2008 & Demiroren, 2002]. So, to damp out the oscillations in the shortest possible time, automatic generation control including SMES unit is proposed. Therefore, in the proposed control system, with an addition of the simple SMES controller, a supplementary controller with $K_i$ (as shown in Fig. 6) is designed in order to retain the
frequency to the set value after load changes. These controllers must eliminate the frequency transients as soon as possible. Using fuzzy logic, the integrator gain ($K_i$) of the supplementary controller is so scheduled that it compromise between fast transient recovery and low overshoot in dynamic response of the system. It is seen that with the addition of gain scheduled supplementary controller, a simple controller scheme for SMES is sufficient for load frequency control of multi-area power system [Sheikh et al., 2008].

2. Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage (SMES) system

2.1 Overview of SMES
A superconducting magnetic energy storage system is a DC current device for storing and instantaneously discharging large quantities of power. The DC current flowing through a superconducting wire in a large magnet creates the magnetic field. The large superconducting coil is contained in a cryostat or dewar consisting of a vacuum vessel and a liquid vessel that cools the coil. A cryogenic system and the power conversion/conditioning system with control and protection functions [IEEE Task Force, 2006] are also used to keep the temperature well below the critical temperature of the superconductor. During SMES operation, the magnet coils have to remain in the superconducting status. A refrigerator in the cryogenic system maintains the required temperature for proper superconducting operation. A bypass switch is used to reduce energy losses when the coil is on standby. And it also serves other purposes such as bypassing DC coil current if utility tie is lost, removing converter from service, or protecting the coil if cooling is lost [M. H. Ali et al., 2008].

Figure 1 shows a basic schematic of an SMES system [http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~matti/ise2grp/energystorage_report/node8.html]. Utility system feeds the power to the power conditioning and switching devices that provides energy to charge the coil, thus storing energy. When a voltage sag or momentary power outage occurs, the coil discharges through switching and conditioning devices, feeding conditioned power to the load. The cryogenic (refrigeration) system and helium vessel keep the conductor cold in order to maintain the coil in the superconducting state.
2.2 Advantages of SMES

There are several reasons for using superconducting magnetic energy storage instead of other energy storage methods. The most important advantages of SMES are that the time delay during charge and discharge is quite short. Power is available almost instantaneously and very high power output can be provided for a brief period of time. Other energy storage methods, such as pumped hydro or compressed air have a substantial time delay associated with the conversion of stored mechanical energy back into electricity. Thus if a customer's demand is immediate, SMES is a viable option. Another advantage is that the loss of power is less than other storage methods because the current encounters almost zero resistance. Additionally the main parts in a SMES are motionless, which results in high reliability. Also, SMES systems are environmentally friendly because superconductivity does not produce a chemical reaction. In addition, there are no toxins produced in the process. The SMES is highly efficient at storing electricity (greater than 97% efficiency), and provide both real and reactive power. These systems have been in use for several years to improve industrial power quality and to provide a premium-quality service for individual customers vulnerable to voltage and power fluctuations. The SMES recharges within minutes and can repeat the charge/discharge sequence thousands of times without any degradation of the magnet [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Superconducting_magnetic_energy_storage]. Thus it can help to minimize the frequency deviations due to load variations [Demioren & Yesil, 2004]. However, the SMES is still an expensive device.

2.3 SMES for Load Frequency Control application

A sudden application of a load results in an instantaneous mismatch between the demand and supply of electrical power because the generating plants are unable to change the inputs to the prime movers instantaneously. The immediate energy requirement is met by the kinetic energy of the generator rotor and speed falls. So system frequency changes though it becomes normal after a short period due to Automatic Generation Control. Again, sudden load rejections give rise to similar problems. The instantaneous surplus generation created by removal of load is absorbed in the kinetic energy of the generator rotors and the frequency changes. The problem of minimizing the deviation of frequency from normal value under such circumstances is known as the load frequency control problem. To be effective in load frequency control application, the energy storage system should be fast acting i.e. the time lag in switching from receiving (charging) mode to delivering (discharging) mode should be very small. For damping the swing caused by small load perturbations the storage units for LFC application need to have only a small quantity of stored energy, though its power rating has to be high, since the stored energy has to be delivered within a short span of time. However, due to high cost of superconductor technology, one can consider the use of non-superconducting of lossy magnetic energy storage (MES) inductors for the same purpose. Such systems would be economical maintenance free, long lasting and as reliable as ordinary power transformers. Thus a MES system seems to be good to meet the above requirements. The power flow into an energy storage unit can be reversed, by reversing the DC voltage applied to the inductor within a few cycles. A 12-pulse bridge converter with an appropriate control of the firing angles can be adopted for the purpose. Thus, these fast acting energy storage devices can be made to share the sudden load requirement with the generator rotors, by continuously controlling the power flow in or out of the inductor depending on the frequency error signals.
3. Analysis of the magnetic energy storage unit

The SMES inductor converter unit for improvement in power system LFC application essentially consists of a DC inductor, an ac/dc converter and a step down Y-Y/Δ transformer. The inductor should be wound with low resistance, large cross-section copper conductors. The converter is of the 12-pulse cascaded bridge type shown in Fig. 2, connected to the inductor in the DC side and to the three-phase power system bus through the transformer in the ac side [R.J. Abraham et al., 2008]. Control of the firing angles of the converter enables the DC voltage applied (V_{sm}) to the inductor to be varied through a wide range of positive and negative values as shown in Fig. 3. Gate turn off thyristors (GTO)
allow us to design such type of converter. When charging the magnet, a positive DC voltage is applied to the inductor. The current in the inductor rises exponentially or linearly and the magnetic energy is stored. When the current reaches the rated value, the applied voltage is brought down to low value, sufficient to overcome the voltage drop due to inductor resistance. When the extra energy is required in the power system, a negative DC voltage is applied to the inductor by controlling the firing angles of the converter. The losses in the MES unit would consist of the transformer losses, the converter losses, and the resistive loss in the inductor coil. The inductor loss can be kept at an acceptable level by proper design of the winding.

Due to sudden application or rejection of load, the generator speed fluctuates. When the system load increases, the speed falls at the first instant. However, due to the governor action, the speed oscillates around some reference value. The converter works as an inverter (90°<β<270°) when the actual speed is less than the reference speed and energy is withdrawn from the SMES unit (P_sm negative). However, the energy is recovered when the speed swings to the other side.

The converter then works as a rectifier (-90°<β<90°) and the power P_sm becomes positive. If the transformer and converter losses are neglected, according to the circuit analysis of converter, the voltage V_sm of the D.C side of the 12-pulse converter under equal-α (EA, when α₁ = α₂ = α) mode is expressed by

\[ V_{sm} = V_{sm0} (\cos \alpha_1 + \cos \alpha_2) = 2 V_{sm0} \cos \alpha - 2 I_{sm} R_c \]  

(1)

where

\[ \alpha \] is the firing angle
\[ V_{sm} \] is the DC voltage applied to the inductor
\[ I_{sm} \] is the current through the inductor
\[ R_c \] is the equivalent commutating resistance and
\[ V_{sm0} \] is the maximum open circuit bridge voltage of each 6-pulse bridge at α=0.

When the inductor is charged initially, the current build up, expressed, as a function of time with V_sm held constant, is given as

\[ I_{sm} = \frac{V_{sm}}{R_L} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{R_c t}{L}} \right) \]  

(2)

where \( L \) and \( R_c \) are the inductance and the resistance of inductor respectively.

Once the current reaches its rated value \( I_{sm0} \), it is held constant by reducing the voltage to a value \( V_{sm0} \) enough to overcome the resistive drop. In this case

\[ V_{sm0} = I_{sm0} R_c \]  

(3)

As this value of \( V_{sm0} \) is very small, the firing angle will be nearly 90°. At any instant of time the amount of energy stored in the inductor is given by

\[ W_{sm} = W_{sm0} + \frac{1}{2} I_{sm0}^2 \tau \]  

(4)

where, \( W_{sm0} = \frac{1}{2} L I_{sm0}^2 \) is the initial energy in the inductor.
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Once the rated current in the inductor is reached, the unit is ready to be coupled with the power system application. The frequency deviation $\Delta f$ of the power system is sensed and fed to the MES unit as the error signal. $\Delta V_{sm}$ is then continuously controlled depending on this signal. When there is a sudden increase in load in the power system, the frequency falls and a negative voltage, expressed by equation

$$\Delta V_{sm} = K_0 \Delta f$$

is impressed on the inductor. The converter bridges maintain a unidirectional current flow and as the circuit is inductive the current does not change instantaneously. In this mode of operation, a positive converter voltage produces positive power, which means charging the coil, and a negative converter voltage produces a negative power and discharges the inductor. When the frequency dip in the power system causes a negative voltage to be applied to the inductor, power flows from the inductor into the power system, sharing the sudden load requirement. The reverse process takes place when there is a sudden load rejection in the power system. The frequency increase causes a positive voltage to be impressed on the inductor and the MES unit absorbs the excess power from the power system. The conceptual diagram of active and reactive power modulation under equal-$\alpha$ mode is shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. Conceptual diagram of active and reactive power control of MES unit under equal-$\alpha$ mode

In actual practice the inductor current should not be allowed to reach zero to prevent the possibility of discontinuous conduction in the presence of the large disturbances [Wu et al., 1991 & Banerjee et al., 1990]. It is desirable to set the rated inductor current $I_{sm0}$ such that the maximum allowable energy absorption equals the maximum allowable energy discharge [Wu et al., 1991 & Banerjee et al., 1990]. This makes the SMES equally effective in damping swings caused by sudden increase as well as decrease in load. Thus, if the lower current limit is chosen at 0.3 $I_{sm0}$, the upper inductor current limit, based on the equal energy absorption/discharge criterion becomes 1.38 $I_{sm0}$ [Banerjee et al., 1990]. When the inductor current reaches either of these limits, the dc voltage has to be brought to zero.
As the inductor has a finite inductance and hence a finite amount of energy stored in it, the current in the inductor falls as energy is withdrawn from the coil. This deviation in the inductor current is expressed as

$$\Delta I_{sm} = \frac{\Delta V_{sm}}{R_L + sL}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Prior to the load disturbance, let the magnitudes of voltage and current are $V_{sm0}$ and $I_{sm0}$ (nominal values). Thus the initial power flow into the coil can be expressed as

$$P_{sm0} = V_{sm0} \cdot I_{sm0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

In response to the load disturbance the incremental change of power flow into the coil can be expressed as

$$\Delta P_{sm} = I_{sm0} \cdot \Delta V_{sm} + I_{sm0} \cdot R_L \cdot \Delta I_{sm} + \Delta V_{sm} \cdot \Delta I_{sm}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Following a sudden increase in load in the power system, the incremental power expressed by equation (8) is discharged into the power system by the energy storage unit to share with the generator rotor, the extra load demand.

4. Integration of SMES with two-area power system

Figure 5 shows the proposed configuration of SMES units in a two-area power system [Mufti et al., 2007]. Two areas are connected by a weak tie-line. When there is sudden rise in power demand in a control area, the stored energy is almost immediately released by the SMES through its power conversion system (PCS). As the governor control mechanism starts working to set the power system to the new equilibrium condition, the SMES coil stores energy back to its nominal level. Similar action happens when there is a sudden decrease in load demand. Basically, the operation speed of governor-turbine system is slow compared with that of the excitation system. As a result, fluctuations in terminal voltage can be corrected by the excitation system very quickly, but fluctuations in generated power or frequency are corrected slowly. Since load frequency control is primarily concerned with the real power/frequency behavior, the excitation system model will not be required in the approximated analysis [Mufti et al., 2007 & Sheikh et al., 2008]. This important simplification paves the way for constructing the simulation model shown in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 5. Configuration of SMES in a two-area power system](www.intechopen.com)
The basic objective of the supplementary control is to restore balance between each area load and generation for a load disturbance. This is met when the control action maintains the frequency and the tie-line power interchange at the scheduled values. The supplementary controller with integral gain $K_I$ is therefore made to act on area control error (ACE), which is a signal obtained from tie-line power flow deviation added to frequency deviation weighted by a bias factor $\beta$.

$$ACE_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta P_{tie} \cdot i \cdot j + \beta_i \cdot \Delta f_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

where the suffix $i$ refer to the control area and $j$ refer to the number of generator. All parameters are same as those used in [Sheikh et al., 2008]

5. **Optimization of integral gain, $K_I$ and frequency bias factors, $\beta$**

Figure 7 shows the frequency deviations for different values of $K_I$ for a specific load change. It is observed that a higher value of $K_I$ results in reduction of maximum deviation of the
system frequency but the system oscillates for longer times. Decreasing the value of $K_I$ yields comparatively higher maximum frequency deviation at the beginning but provides very good damping in the later cycles. These initiate a variable $K_I$, which can be determined from the frequency error and its derivative. Obviously, higher values of $K_I$ is needed at the initial stage and then it should be changed gradually depending on the system frequency changes.

Fig. 7. Frequency deviation step response for different values of $K_I$

Dynamic performance of the AGC system would obviously depend on the value of frequency bias factors, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = B$ and integral controller gain value, $K_{II} = K_{II} = K_I$. In order to optimize $B$ and $K_I$ the concept of maximum stability margin is used, evaluated by the eigenvalues of the closed loop control system. For a fixed gain supplementary controller, the optimal values of $K_I$ and $B$ are chosen, here, on the basis of a performance index (PI) given in (10) for a specific load change. The Performance Index (PI) curves are shown in Fig. 8 without considering governor dead-band (DB) and generation rate constraints (GRC).

$$PI = \int_0^T \left( \Delta P_{error}^2 + w_1 \Delta f_1^2 + w_2 \Delta f_2^2 \right) dt$$

(10)

Where, $w_1$ and $w_2$ are the weight factors. The weight factors $w_1$ and $w_2$ both are chosen as 0.25 for the system under consideration [Sheikh et al., 2008]. From Fig. 8, in the absence of DB & GRC it is observed that the value of integral controller gain, $K_I = 0.34$ and frequency bias factors, $B=0.4$ which occurs at $PI = 0.009888$. 
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6. Control system design

6.1 Fuzzy gain schedule PI controller for AGC [Sheikh et al., 2008]

Figure 9 shows the membership functions for PI control system with a fuzzy gain scheduler. The approach taken here is to exploit fuzzy rules and reasoning to generate controller parameters. The triangular membership functions for the proposed fuzzy gain scheduled integral (FGSPI) controller of the three variables \(e_t\), \(\Delta e_t\), \(K_I\) are shown in Fig. 9, where frequency error \(e_t\) and change of frequency error \(\Delta e_t\) are used as the inputs of the fuzzy logic controller. \(K_I\) is the output of fuzzy logic controller. Considering these two inputs, the output of gain \(K_I\) is determined. The use of two input and single output variables makes the design of the controller very straightforward. A membership value for the various linguistic variables is calculated by the rule given by

\[
\mu(e_t, \Delta e_t) = \min[\mu(e_t), \mu(\Delta e_t)]
\]  

(11)

The equation of the triangular membership function used to determine the grade of membership values in this work is as follows:

\[
A(x) = \frac{(b-2|x-a|)}{b}
\]  

(12)

Where \(A(x)\) is the value of grade of membership, ‘b’ is the width and ‘a’ is the coordinate of the point at which the grade of membership is 1 and ‘x’ is the value of the input variables. The control rules for the proposed strategy are very straightforward and have been developed from the viewpoint of practical system operation and by trial and error methods.
The membership functions, knowledge base and method of defuzzification determine the performance of the FGSPI controller in a multi-area power system as shown in (13). Mamdani’s max-min method is used. The center of gravity method is used for difuzzification to obtain $K_{I}$. The entire rule base for the FGSPI controller is shown in Table I.

$$K_{I} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}^{e_{j}(x)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)
6.2 Control strategy for SMES

Figure 10 outlines the proposed simple control scheme for SMES, which is incorporated in each control area to reduce the instantaneous mismatch between the demand and generation, where $I_{sm}$, $V_{sm}$ and $P_{sm}$ are SMES current, SMES voltage and SMES power respectively. For operating point change due to load changes, gain ($K_i$) scheduled supplementary controller is proposed. Firstly $K_i$ is determined using the fuzzy controller to obtain frequency deviation, $\Delta f$, and tie-line power deviation, $\Delta P_{tie}$. Finally $ACE_i$ which is the combination of $\Delta P_{tie}$ and $\Delta f$ [as shown in (9)] is used as the input to the SMES controller. It is desirable to restore the inductor current to its rated value as quickly as possible after a system disturbance, so that the SMES unit can respond properly to any subsequent disturbance. So inductor current deviation is sensed and used as negative feedback signal in the SMES control loop to achieve quick restoration of current and SMES energy levels.

$$
ACE_i = \frac{K_0}{1+sT_{dc}} + \frac{K_{id}}{1+sT_{dc}}
$$

$$
P_{sm} = \frac{1}{R_L + sL} \Delta I_{sm}
$$

$$
I_{sm} = \frac{K_0}{1+sT_{dc}} - \frac{\Delta V_{sm}}{sLR}
$$

$$
I_{sm0} = \frac{V_{sm0}}{sT_{dc}} + \Delta V_{sm}
$$

Fig. 10. Superconducting magnetic energy storage unit control system

7. Simulation results

To demonstrate the usefulness of the proposed controller, computer simulations were performed using the MATLAB environment under different operating conditions. The system performances with gain scheduled SMES and fixed gain SMES are shown in Fig. 11 through Fig. 14. Two case studies are conducted as follows:

**Case I:** a step load increase ($\Delta P_{L2}=0.01 \text{ pu}$) is considered in area2 only. It is seen from Fig. 11 that, the tie line power deviation are more reduced with the proposed gain scheduled controller than the fixed gain one including SMES, and the deviations are positive in Case I. Thus sensing the input signal $ACE_i$ in both the control areas SMES provide sufficient compensation as shown in Fig. 12, where in area1 SMES is charging/discharging energy and area2 SMES is discharging/charging energy to keep the frequency deviations in both areas minimum. From Fig. 12 it is seen that, fuzzy gain scheduled integral controller of the loaded area determines the integral gain, $K_i$, to a scheduled value to restore the frequency to its nominal value, and fuzzy gain scheduled integral controller of the unloaded area remains unscheduled and selects the critical value...
Fig. 11. Performances of tie power deviation for a step load increase $\Delta P_{L2}=0.01$ pu in area 2 only

Fig. 12. System performances for a step load increase $\Delta P_{L2}=0.01$ pu in area 2 only
Fig. 13. Performances of tie power deviation for a step load increase $\Delta P_{L1}=0.015$ pu in area1 & $\Delta P_{L2}=0.01$ pu in area2.

Fig. 14. System performances for a step load increase $\Delta P_{L1}=0.015$ pu in area1 & $\Delta P_{L2}=0.01$ pu in area2.
as its integral gain. In addition, it is seen that, the damping of the system frequency is not satisfactory in the case with the fixed gain controller including SMES, but the proposed gain scheduled supplementary controller including SMES significantly improves the system performances.

Case II: different step load increase is applied to each area.
In this case, as each area is loaded by the different load increase, each area adjusts their own load. Fig. 13 shows the tie power deviation but the magnitude is small. So the SMES controller in both areas dominated on $\Delta f_i$. As $\Delta P_{L1}=0.015$ pu & $\Delta P_{L2}=0.01$ pu, it is seen from Fig. 14 that SMES in area1 provided more compensation than that in area2. The inductor current deviation ($\Delta i_m$) is also reduced significantly and return back to the rated value quickly with the proposed control system. Finally, it is seen from Fig. 14 that fuzzy gain scheduled integral controller of both the loaded areas determine the integral gain $K_I$ to a scheduled value to restore the frequency to its nominal value. Due to this, the damping of the system frequency is also improved with the proposed FGSPI controller including SMES.

8. Chapter conclusions
The chapter discussed about the simulation studies that have been carried out on a two-area power system to investigate the impact of the proposed intelligently controlled SMES on the improvement of power system dynamic performances. The results clearly show that the scheme is very powerful in reducing the frequency and tie-power deviations under a variety of load perturbations. On-line adaptation of supplementary controller gain associated with SMES makes the proposed intelligent controllers more effective and are expected to perform optimally under different operating conditions.
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Electricity is more versatile in use because it is a highly ordered form of energy that can be converted efficiently into other forms. However, the disadvantage of electricity is that it cannot be easily stored on a large scale. One of the distinctive characteristics of the electric power sector is that the amount of electricity that can be generated is relatively fixed over short periods of time, although demand for electricity fluctuates throughout the day. Almost all electrical energy used today is consumed as it is generated. This poses no hardship in conventional power plants, where the fuel consumption is varied with the load requirements. However, the photovoltaic and wind, being intermittent sources of power, cannot meet the load demand all of the time. Wherever intermittent power sources reach high levels of grid penetration, energy storage becomes one option to provide reliable energy supplies. These devices can help to make renewable energy more smooth and reliable, though the power output cannot be controlled by the grid operators. They can balance micro grids to achieve a good match between generation and load demand, which can further regulate the voltage and frequency. Also, it can significantly improve the load availability, a key requirement for any power system. The energy storage, therefore, is a desired feature to incorporate with renewable power systems, particularly in standalone power plants. The purpose of this book is twofold. At first, for the interested researcher it shows the importance of different Energy Storage devices, but secondly, and more importantly, it forms a first attempt at dissemination of knowledge to the wider non-expert community who may wish to consider Energy Storage device for specific application. Thus this book will be helpful to provide an indication of the tools necessary for an assessment to be made Energy Storage device more powerful.
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