We are IntechOpen, the world’s leading publisher of Open Access books
Built by scientists, for scientists

3,900 Open access books available
116,000 International authors and editors
120M Downloads

154 Countries delivered to
TOP 1% Our authors are among the most cited scientists
12.2% Contributors from top 500 universities

WEB OF SCIENCE™
Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com
Measurement and modeling of rain intensity and attenuation for the design and evaluation of microwave and millimeter-wave communication systems

Gamantyo Hendrantoro
Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember
Indonesia

Akira Matsushima
Kumamoto University
Japan

1. Introduction

Rain-induced attenuation creates one of the most damaging effects of the atmosphere on the quality of radio communication systems, especially those operating above 10 GHz. Accordingly, methods have been devised to overcome this destructive impact. Adaptive fade mitigation schemes have been proposed to mitigate the rain fade impact in terrestrial communications above 10 GHz (e.g., Sweeney & Bostian, 1999). These schemes mainly deal with the temporal variation of rain attenuation. When such methods as site diversity and multi-hop relaying are to be used, or when the impact of adjacent interfering links is concerned, the spatial variation of rain must also be considered (Hendrantoro et al, 2002; Maruyama et al, 2008; Sakarellos et al, 2009; Panagopoulos et al, 2006). There is also a possibility of employing a joint space-time mitigation technique (Hendrantoro & Indrabayu, 2005). In designing a fade mitigation scheme that is expected to work well within a specified set of criteria, an evaluation technique must be available that is appropriate to test the system performance against rainy channels. Consequently, a model that can emulate the behavior of rain in space and time is desired.

This chapter presents results that have thus far been acquired from an integrated research campaign jointly carried out by researchers at Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Indonesia and Kumamoto University, Japan. The research is aimed at devising transmission strategies suitable for broadband wireless access in microwave and millimeter-wave bands, especially in tropical regions. With regards to modeling rain rate and attenuation, the project has gone through several phases, which include endeavors to measure the space-time variations of rain intensity and attenuation (Hendrantoro et al, 2006; Mauludiyanto et al, 2007; Hendrantoro et al, 2007b), to appropriately model them (e.g., Yadnya et al, 2008a;
Yadnya et al, 2008b), and finally to apply the resulting model in evaluation of transmission system designs (e.g., Kuswidiastuti et al, 2008). Tropical characteristics of the measured rain events in Indonesia have been the focus of this project, primarily due to the difficulty in implementing rain-resistant systems in microwave and millimeter-wave bands in tropical regions (Salehudin et al, 1999) and secondarily because of the lack of rain attenuation data and models for these regions. The design of millimeter-wave broadband wireless access with short links, as typified by LMDS (local multipoint distribution services), is also a central point in this project, which later governs the choice of space-time measurement method. As such, endeavors reported in this chapter offer multiple contributions:

a. Measurements and analyses of raindrop size distribution, raindrop fall velocity distribution, rain rate and attenuation in maritime tropical regions represented by the areas of Surabaya.

b. Method to estimate specific attenuation of rain from raindrop size distribution models.

c. Stochastic model of rain attenuation that can be adopted to generate rain attenuation samples for use in evaluation of fade mitigation techniques.

We start in the next section with the measurement system, raindrop size distribution modeling, estimation of specific attenuation, and the synthetic storm technique. Afterward, we discuss modeling of rain intensity and attenuation, touching upon space-time distribution and the time series models. Finally, examples of evaluation of communication systems are given, followed by some concluding remarks.

2. Measurement of rain intensity and attenuation

2.1 Spatio-temporal measurement of rain intensity
The design of our space-time rain field measurement system is based on several criteria. Firstly, the spatial and temporal scope and resolution of the rain field variation must be taken into account. Another constraint is the available budget and technology. When budget is not a concern, space-time measurement using rain radar can be done, as exemplified by Tan and Goddard (1998) and Hendrantoro and Zawadzki (2003). Radar has its strength in large observation area and feasibility of simulating radio links on radar image. However, due to its weaknesses that include high cost and low time resolution, and due to the relatively small measurement area desired to emulate an LMDS cell, it is decided to employ a network of synchronized rain gauges operated within the campus area of Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (ITS) in Surabaya, as shown in Fig. 1. The longest distance between rain gauges is about 1.55 km, from site A at the Polytechnic building to site D at the Medical Center. The shortest, about 400 m, is between site B at the Department of Electrical Engineering building and site C at the Library building. The rain gauges, each of tipping-bucket type, are synchronized manually. At site B, an optical-type Parsivel disdrometer is also operated to record the drop size distribution (DSD), as well as a 54-meter radio link at 28 GHz adopted to measure directly rain attenuation.

2.2 Raindrop size distribution measurement and modelling
DSD (raindrop size distribution) is a fundamental parameter that directly affects rainfall rate and rain-induced attenuation. The widely used negative exponential model of DSD
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proposed by Marshall and Palmer (1948) derived from measurement in North America might yield inaccurate statistical estimates of rain rate and attenuation when adopted for tropical regions (Yeo et al., 1993). A number of tropical DSD measurements have since been reported and models proposed accordingly. Nevertheless, considering the variety of geographical situations of regions within the tropical belt, each with its own regional sub-climate, more elaborate studies on tropical DSD are deemed urgent.

In this study, we use Parsivel, an optical-type disdrometer that works on a principle of detecting drops falling through the horizontal area of a laser beam. As a result, the instrument is capable of measuring not only the diameter of each falling drop but also its fall velocity. The system consists of the optical detector connected to a computer that records the raw data. Each record comprises the number of detected drops within a certain diameter interval and fall velocity interval. The average DSD (m$^{-3}$mm$^{-1}$) can be obtained as:

$$\overline{N}(D) = \frac{C(D)}{A \Delta D} \left( \frac{1}{C(D)} \sum_{i=1}^{C(D)} \frac{1}{v_i(D)} \right)$$

(1)

Fig. 1. Map of the measurement area in the campus of ITS in Surabaya.
where \( C(D) \) denotes the number of drops detected in the diameter interval \([D-\Delta D/2, D+\Delta D/2]\) given in millimeters, \( A \) (\( m^2 \)) the area of the laser beam, \( T \) (seconds) the integration time, \( v_i(D) \) the measured velocity in m/s of the \( k \)th drop in the diameter interval \([D-\Delta D/2, D+\Delta D/2]\), as opposed to a deterministic diameter-dependent velocity model such as the Gunn-Kinzer (Brussaard & Watson, 1995). From (1) it is apparent that the average DSD is a linear function of the average of the inverse of drop fall velocity, rather than the average velocity itself. This can cause discrepancy of attenuation or radar reflectivity estimates from their actual values. In fact, measurements made using a similar instrument in the US reveal discrepancy of the average fall velocity from the theoretical deterministic value (Tokay et al, 2003). The variations of raindrop fall velocity will be discussed later in this section. In our study, DSD measurements are categorized into bins representing disjoint intervals of rainfall rate, 0-0.5, 0.5-1, 1-2, …, 256-512 mm/h. An average DSD and an average rain rate are subsequently computed for each bin. Table 1 summarizes the parameter values for each interval. Although the Parsivel is able to detect objects of larger diameters, only those within the diameter range up to 6 mm, relevant to the maximum diameter of stable raindrops (Brussaard & Watson, 1995), are considered. The sampling volume in the table is calculated by assuming the Gunn-Kinzer fall velocity and using the fact that the laser beam area is 3 cm \( \times \) 18 cm. Table 2 recapitulates the DSD measurements made in Surabaya for the various bins of rain rate. Fig. 2 presents the average DSD curves for all rain rate bins.

Singapore and Surabaya are located in the same region of Southeast Asia and share the same tropical maritime climate. Three models fitted to Singapore DSD reported in the literature are used in this study, two of which are lognormal and gamma fitted to measurements made by Ong et al using a Joss-Waldvogel disdrometer (Timothy et al, 2002). The other is a negative exponential model obtained using the indirect method in which the DSD shape is assumed a priori and it is only the shape parameters that are estimated by fitting the DSD model to measurements of rainfall rate and attenuation (Yeo et al, 1993, Li et al, 1994). The Marshal-Palmer model is also included in the comparison. The DSD evaluation is made for three different values of average rain rate, 11.068, 44.15, and 174 mm/h, representing low, medium, and high intensity, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 3 in general the Surabaya curve stays constantly below the Marshall-Palmer. Comparison with the Singapore models show that, except for the gamma model, the higher the rain rate, the larger the difference between the Singapore models and the Surabaya results, with the Surabaya DSD falling below the Singapore results for almost all drop diameters. For lower rain rates, the difference is not large and Surabaya DSD shows larger concentration of drops with larger diameters yet fewer smaller drops. A previous study in North America reported by Hendrantoro and Zawadzki (2003) has found that contribution to attenuation at 30 GHz is dominated by drops of diameters in the 1-3 mm range. This observation suggests that for the same rain rate the induced attenuation at 30 GHz in Surabaya might be lower on average than that in Singapore. It should be stressed herein that all of these disagreements in the detailed shapes of Surabaya DSD from that of either Singapore or Marshall-Palmer might originate from differences in various aspects of the measurement, such as the local climate, the measuring instrument, the number of samples, and the year of measurement. A more in-depth study is required to identify the real causes of the disagreements.

---

Table 1. Interval Parameter Values of the Optical Disdrometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Width, mm</th>
<th>Center value (mm/hr)</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>Sampling Volume (m(^3))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-0.5</td>
<td>0.5-1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.7089</td>
<td>11.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5-1</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.447</td>
<td>44.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.578</td>
<td>174 mm/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.640</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8</td>
<td>8-16</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-16</td>
<td>16-32</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-32</td>
<td>32-64</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>32.121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-64</td>
<td>64-128</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>64.171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-128</td>
<td>128-256</td>
<td>128.5</td>
<td>128.182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128-256</td>
<td>256-512</td>
<td>256.5</td>
<td>256.225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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The local climate, the measuring instrument, the number of drops, and the rainfall rate all contribute to attenuation at 30 GHz in Surabaya. It should be stressed that for the same rain rate, the induced attenuation at 30 GHz is dominated by drops of diameters in the 1-3 mm range. This observation suggests that for the same rain rate, the induced attenuation at 30 GHz is dominated by drops of diameters in the 1-3 mm range.

As shown in Fig. 3, in general, the Surabaya curve stays constantly below the Marshall-Palmer model. Comparison with the Singapore models shows that, except for the gamma model, the Surabaya results, with the Surabaya DSD falling below the Singapore results for almost all rain rates, are excluded due to irregularities in their shapes that hinder achievement of a good fit to each of the adopted models. This treatment does not bear any significant implication to the models other than the gamma model.

For model fitting purpose, the average DSD curves for the lowest two intervals of rain rate are excluded due to irregularities in their shapes that hinder achievement of a good fit to each of the adopted models. This treatment does not bear any significant implication to the models other than the gamma model.
design of millimeter-wave communications since rain events of high intensity are of higher importance. The DSD measurements are fitted to a number of theoretical models, namely, the negative exponential, Weibull, and gamma. Among the three, gamma fits worst, and therefore is not discussed further herein. On the other hand, Weibull slightly outdoes the negative exponential and yields the following equation:

\[ N(D) = 281.629 \frac{\eta}{\sigma} \left(\frac{D}{\sigma}\right)^{-1} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{D}{\sigma}\right)^{\gamma}\right] \]  

(2)

with \( \eta = 1.212 R^{0.696} \) and \( \sigma = 0.728 R^{0.177} \). Whereas the negative exponential fit gives:

\[ N(D) = 1054 \exp(-2.415 R^{-0.14} D) \]  

(3)

where \( N(D) \) is the DSD given in \( \text{m}^{-3}\text{mm}^{-1} \) with the drop diameter \( D \) expressed in mm and rain rate \( R \) in mm/hr.

An examination is also made on the variation of raindrop fall velocity. The Gunn-Kinzer velocity model commonly adopted in the computation of specific attenuation from DSD was obtained from an experiment in an ideal environment. It is therefore of interest to see the actual variation of rainfall velocity and its impact on the rain attenuation induced.

As shown in Fig. 5, a set of dielectric spheres having a common relative permittivity in particular shape and size are considered arbitrarily distributed in the air. The number of spheres is as large as \( 6 \cdot 10^{12} \), and each has an arbitrary radius that are considered too low for their size. This is done to velocity ranges \( v(D) \leq 4 \text{ m/s} \) for \( 4.25 \text{ mm} \leq D \leq 6.5 \text{ mm} \), \( v(D) \leq 2 \text{ m/s} \) for \( 3.25 \text{ mm} \leq D \leq 3.75 \text{ mm} \), and \( v(D) \leq 1 \text{ m/s} \) for \( 1.062 \text{ mm} \leq D \leq 2.75 \text{ mm} \), and is referred to as correction #1. A second attempt (correction #2) is made by linearizing the density function for velocity ranges stated above starting from zero at zero velocity. Despite the discrepancy of the velocity measurement from that of the Gunn-Kinzer and various corrections thereof (Fig. 4 (c)), it is found that the resulting discrepancy in specific attenuation from that obtained using the Gunn-Kinzer velocity is not significant, as given in Table 3. It is therefore considered safe to use Gunn-Kinzer velocity in subsequent analysis of rain attenuation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Y_h )</th>
<th>Average error magnitude (dB/km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>0.0725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correction #1</td>
<td>0.0250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correction #2</td>
<td>0.0210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Average error magnitude of attenuation for horizontally-polarized waves (\( Y_h \)).
2.3 Rain intensity-to-specific attenuation conversion

a. Formulation as scattering problem

Although realistic raindrops are modeled as a deformed body of revolution (Pruppacher et al., 1971), we limit the analysis here to the most fundamental spherical shape. Nevertheless, the final conversion formula is still valid once we could obtain the modal coefficients of far scattered field emerged from arbitrarily shaped body.

As shown in Fig. 5, a set of dielectric spheres having a common relative permittivity ε, is arbitrarily distributed in the air. The number of spheres is Q, and each has an arbitrary radius q (q = 1, 2, ..., Q). A position vector is given by 
\[ \mathbf{r} = \hat{x}x + \hat{y}y + \hat{z}z = r(\sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \theta \sin \phi + \cos \theta), \]
where \( \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \) and \( \hat{z} \) are the unit vectors concerning respective coordinate variables. The center of p-th sphere \( 0_p \) is denoted by \( r = r_{p0} = \hat{x}x_{p0} + \hat{y}y_{p0} + \hat{z}z_{p0} \). A position is often measured in terms of the local spherical coordinate system \( (\rho_p, \theta_p, \phi_p) \) with its center located at \( 0_p \) as

\[ \mathbf{r}_p = \mathbf{r} - r_{p0} = r_p(\hat{x} \sin \theta_p \cos \phi_p + \hat{y} \sin \theta_p \sin \phi_p + \hat{z} \cos \theta_p) \]  

(4)

Let us decompose the total electromagnetic fields as
(E,H) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\left( E', H' \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{Q} \left( E^{(i)}, H^{(i)} \right) & \text{\quad (in the air)} \\
\left( E^{(p)}, H^{(p)} \right) & \text{\quad (in p-th sphere: } p = 1, 2, \ldots, Q) 
\end{array} \right.
\]

Fig. 3. Comparison of drop size distributions measured in Surabaya and models derived from measurements in Singapore for various rain rates: (a) 11.068 mm/h, (b) 44.15 mm/h, and (c) 174.92 mm/h, which for the Surabaya measurement are average values of intervals 8-16, 32-64, and 128-256 mm/h, respectively.

where the superscripts $i, s(q)$, and $d(p)$ concern the incident field, the scattered field due to the existence of the sphere $q$, and the field inside the sphere $p$, respectively. With no loss of generality, we can assume that the incident field is x-polarized and propagates in the $+z$
direction. Omitting the time factor $e^{j\omega t}$, we have the expression $E_z'(r) = \zeta_0 H_y'(r) = e^{-jkz}$, where $k_0 = \sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$ and $\zeta_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0 / \epsilon_0}$.

![Fig. 3. Comparison of drop size distributions measured in Surabaya and models derived](image)

**Fig. 3.** Comparison of drop size distributions measured in Surabaya and models derived.

**Fig. 4.** Drop fall velocity variations shown by (a) the mean ± variance of fall velocity for every diameter bin, (b) the density function of fall velocity for drop of 6.5 mm diameter and (c) fall velocity curves with corrections.

**b. Expression of electromagnetic fields**

Let us express the electromagnetic fields in the right hand side of (5) as

$$\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
E'(r) \\
- j\zeta_0 H'(r)
\end{array} \right\} &= e^{-jkr_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-n}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{l}
V_{mn} \\
U_{mn}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l}
M_{nm}^{(L)} \\
N_{nm}^{(L)}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l}
k_0 r_p, \theta_p, \phi_p
\end{array} \right) \\
- j\zeta_0 H^d(r) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-n}^{n} \left( B_{mn} A_{mn} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l}
M_{nm}^{(L)} \\
N_{nm}^{(L)}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l}
k_0 r_p, \theta_p, \phi_p
\end{array} \right) \\
- j\zeta_0 H^d(r) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-n}^{n} \left( D_{mn} C_{mn} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l}
M_{nm}^{(L)} \\
N_{nm}^{(L)}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l}
k_0 r_p, \theta_p, \phi_p
\end{array} \right)
\end{align*}$$

(6)

(7)

(8)
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Fig. 5. Dielectric spheres and incident field.

where \( k = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r \mu_0} \) and \( \zeta = \sqrt{\mu_0 / (\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_i)} \). The vector spherical wave functions are defined as (Stratton, 1941)

\[
M_{\text{in}}^{(i)}(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \frac{\tilde{Z}_n^{(i)}(\rho)}{\rho} m_{\text{mn}}(\theta, \phi) \tag{9}
\]

\[
N_{\text{in}}^{(i)}(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \frac{in(n+1)}{\rho^2} Z_n^{(i)}(\rho) \sin \theta x_n^{(i)}(\theta) e^{j\rho} \hat{r} + \frac{\tilde{Z}_n^{(i)}(\rho)}{\rho} n_{\text{mn}}(\theta, \phi) \tag{10}
\]

where

\[
m_{\text{mn}}(\theta, \phi) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} m_x^{(i)}(\theta) \hat{\theta} - j m_y^{(i)}(\theta) \hat{\phi} \\ j m_y^{(i)}(\theta) \hat{\theta} + m_x^{(i)}(\theta) \hat{\phi} \end{array} \right] e^{j\phi}, \quad n_{\text{mn}} = \hat{r} \times m_{\text{mn}} \tag{11}
\]

with the associated Legendre functions \( x_n^{(i)}(\theta) = P_n^{(i)}(\cos \theta) / \sin \theta \), \( \tilde{x}_n^{(i)}(\theta) = dP_n^{(i)}(\cos \theta) / d\theta \), and the spherical Bessel functions \( Z_n^{(i)}(\rho) = \sqrt{\pi \rho / 2} Z_{n+1/2}^{(i)}(\rho) \). The function \( Z_n^{(i)} \) corresponds to the cylindrical functions \( J_n \), \( Y_n \), \( H_n^{(1)} \), and \( H_n^{(2)} \) for \( l = 1, 2, 3, \) and \( 4 \), respectively. The prime denotes derivative with respect to the variable. As for the incident wave of (6), the spherical wave expansion of a plane wave gives

\[
U_{\text{mn}} = -\text{sgn}(m) V_{\text{mn}} = -j^{-n}(2n+1) \delta_{m+l} / [2n(n+1)] \tag{12}
\]

with \( \delta_{m+l} \) being Kronecker’s delta.

**c. Mode matching method**
The boundary conditions on the dielectric surface are written as
\[ \vec{r}_p \times \left( F'(r) + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} F^{(q)}(r) - F^{(p)}(r) \right)_{\vec{r}_p = \vec{r}_p} = 0 \quad (0 \leq \theta_p \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi_p < 2\pi; p = 1, 2, ..., Q) \] (13)

where \( F \) stands for \( E \) and \( H \). We substitute (6)-(8) into (13) and truncate the infinite series at \( n = N_q \) for the \( q \)-th sphere \((q = 1, 2, ..., Q)\). The values \( N_q \) depend on the electrical size of spheres. This leads us to linear equations including \[ 4 \sum_{q=1}^{Q} N_q \left( N_q + 2 \right) \] unknown coefficients \( A_{qmn}, B_{qmn}, C_{qmn}, \) and \( D_{qmn} \).

As seen from (6)-(8), the origins of observation points are not unified at this stage. In order to shift the origin of \( E^{(q)}(x) \) and \( H^{(q)}(x) \) from \( \theta_0 \) to \( \theta_0 \), we apply the addition theorem for vector spherical wave functions (Cruzan, 1962)

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} M_{mn}^{(q)}(k_0r_p) \\ N_{mn}^{(q)}(k_0r_p) \end{array} \right) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=-\mu}^{\mu=\mu} \left( \alpha_{mn,\mu}^{(q)}(k_0r_p) \beta_{mn,\mu}^{(q)}(k_0r_p) \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} M_{mn}^{(r)}(k_0r_p) \\ N_{mn}^{(r)}(k_0r_p) \end{array} \right) \] (14)

where the position \( (k_0r_p, \theta_0, \phi_0) \) has been simply written as \( k_0r_p \). The translation coefficients \( \alpha_{mn,\mu}^{(q)} \) and \( \beta_{mn,\mu}^{(q)} \) are the functions of the shift vector \( r_p = r_{p0} - r_{q0} \). Making use of the orthogonal properties of the vector spherical wave functions, and eliminating the coefficients \( C_{p\mu} \) and \( D_{p\mu} \), we arrive at the set of linear equations

\[ A_{p\mu} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{n=1}^{N_q} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left( A_{qmn} \alpha_{mn,\mu}^{(q)}(k_0r_p) + B_{qmn} \beta_{mn,\mu}^{(q)}(k_0r_p) \right) \bar{A}_{p\mu} = U_{p\mu} A_{p\mu} e^{-jk_0r_p} \] (15)

\[ B_{p\mu} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{n=1}^{N_q} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left( A_{qmn} \beta_{mn,\mu}^{(q)}(k_0r_p) + B_{qmn} \alpha_{mn,\mu}^{(q)}(k_0r_p) \right) \bar{B}_{p\mu} = V_{p\mu} B_{p\mu} e^{-jk_0r_p} \]

where

\[ \bar{A}_{p\mu} = \frac{\bar{f}_p \left( \begin{array}{c} f_p(k_a) - \sqrt{\epsilon_p} f_p(k_a) \end{array} \right) - H^{(2)}(k_a) f_p(k_a)}{H^{(2)}(k_a) f_p(k_a) - \sqrt{\epsilon_p} H^{(2)}(k_a) f_p(k_a)} \] (16)

\[ \bar{B}_{p\mu} = \frac{\bar{f}_p \left( \begin{array}{c} f_p(k_a) - \sqrt{\epsilon_p} f_p(k_a) \end{array} \right) - H^{(2)}(k_a) f_p(k_a)}{H^{(2)}(k_a) f_p(k_a) - \sqrt{\epsilon_p} H^{(2)}(k_a) f_p(k_a)} \] (17)

Equation (15) includes the same number of relations as that of unknowns, and thereby, is numerically solved. After that, the other coefficients are computed from

\[ C_{p\mu} = \bar{C}_{p\mu} A_{p\mu} / \bar{A}_{p\mu}, \quad D_{p\mu} = \bar{D}_{p\mu} B_{p\mu} / \bar{B}_{p\mu} \] (18)

where
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\[
C_{pv} = \frac{j \sqrt{\xi_r}}{H_{1}^{(2)}(k_0 a_p)} I_r \left(k a_p\right) - \frac{j \sqrt{\xi_r}}{H_{2}^{(2)}(k_0 a_p)} I_r \left(k a_p\right)
\]

(19)

\[
D_{pv} = -\frac{j \sqrt{\xi_r}}{H_{1}^{(2)}(k_0 a_p)} I_r \left(k a_p\right) - \frac{j \sqrt{\xi_r}}{H_{2}^{(2)}(k_0 a_p)} I_r \left(k a_p\right)
\]

(20)

Equations (16), (17), (19), and (20) are called Mie's coefficients (Harrington, 1961). It should be noted that the terms including the translation coefficients in (15) represent the effect of multiple scattering among spheres. If raindrops are so sparsely distributed that the multiple effect is very weak, the approximate solutions of (15) are directly derived as

\[
A_{qnm} \approx U_{nm} \bar{A}_{q} e^{-j\beta q r_q}, \quad B_{qnm} \approx V_{nm} \bar{B}_{q} e^{-j\beta q r_q}
\]

(21)

d. Scattering and absorption cross sections

Employing the large argument approximations \( H_{1}^{(2)}(k_0 r_q) \approx j H_{1}^{(2)}(k_0 r_q) \approx j^{n+1} e^{-j\beta r_q} \) and \( r_q = r - r_{q0} / r \) in (7), we can write the far scattered field in the form of inhomogeneous spherical waves as

\[
\left\{ E_{\theta}(r) \right\} \approx \xi_0 \left\{ H_{1}^{(1)}(r) \right\} e^{-j\beta r} \left\{ f_{\theta}(\theta, \phi) \right\}
\]

(22)

where the scattering pattern functions are

\[
\left\{ f_{\theta}(\theta, \phi) \right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} j^n \left\{ a_{nm}(\theta, \phi) \right\} \left\{ m_{nm}(\theta, \phi) \right\} e^{-j\beta r_q r_q / r}
\]

(23)

The total scattered power is computed from

\[
P^s = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} E^*(r) \times H^*(r) \cdot r \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi \right]
\]

(24)

where the asterisk denotes complex conjugate. The integrals with respect to \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) in above are numerically evaluated by the Gauss-Legendre quadrature rule and the trapezoidal formula, respectively. Since the power density of incident field is \( W^i = 1/(2\xi_0) \), the total scattering cross section is given by \( \sigma^s = P^s / W^i = 2\xi_0 P^s \). On the other hand, the power absorbed inside the spheres is computed from
The scattering cross section is given by
\[ \sigma_s = \frac{1}{2} \Re \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty [E_{d(q)}(r) \times H_{d(q)}^*(r)] \left( \frac{\alpha_p^2 \sin \theta}{\pi} d\theta d\phi \right) \]
where the scattering pattern functions are multiple scattering among spheres. If raindrops are so sparsely distributed that the multiple scattering may be neglected, the terms including the translation coefficients in (15) represent the effect of single scattering.

Equations (16), (17), (19), and (20) are called Mie’s coefficients (Harrington, 1961). It should be noted that the terms including the translation coefficients in (15) represent the effect of single scattering.

From the power density of incident field, we can write the far scattered field in the form of an inhomogeneous integral equation,
\[ E(r) = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int \int \frac{e^{-jk_r}}{r} \left[ \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{n=1}^{N_q} \sum_{m=1}^{N_q} \frac{2n(n+1)(n+m)!}{(2n+1)(n-m)!} \right] \times \left[ Q_{qmn} f_q(\mathbf{k}_{a_q}) j_0(\mathbf{k}_{a_q}) - D_{qmn} j_0(\mathbf{k}_{a_q}) j_q(\mathbf{k}_{a_q}) \right] \sin \theta e^{jk_r} \]

The absorption cross section is given by \[ \sigma_a = \frac{P^a}{P^i} = 2\zeta_0 P^a. \]

The optical theorem or the extinction theorem states that the diffracted field in the forward direction, which is related to \( f_0(0,0) \), should be attenuated due to the scattering and absorption. This is based on the law of energy conservation. The amount of this attenuation is called the extinction cross section and expressed as
\[ \sigma_e = \sigma_s + \sigma_a = -4\pi \Re \left[ f_0(0,0) \right] \]
\[ = \frac{2\pi}{k_0^2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{n=1}^{N_q} 2n(n+1) \Im \left\{ \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{n=1}^{N_q} \left( A_{q1n} + A_{q(-1)n} - B_{q1n} - B_{q(-1)n} \right) e^{i\beta q_{a_q}} \right\} \]  

The specific rain attenuation is given by \( \gamma = \sigma_e / V \) (m\(^2\)).

If we can neglect the multiple scattering among spheres, the approximate cross section
\[ \sigma_e \approx -\frac{2\pi}{k_0^2} \Re \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 2n+1 \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( A_{n+i} + B_{n+i} \right) \]

is applied to Eq. (27) with the aid of Eqs. (16) and (17). We will use this formula in the later computations.

e. Specific rain attenuation
Suppose that \( Q \) spheres are randomly allocated inside the volume \( V \) (m\(^3\)). By using \( \sigma_e \) (m\(^2\)) in Eq. (26), the specific rain attenuation is given by \( \gamma = \sigma_e / V \) (m\(^{-2}\)). From a practical viewpoint, the unit is often converted via
\[ \gamma \text{ [dB/km]} = \gamma \text{ [m}^{-1}] \times 10^3 \times 10 \log_{10} e = 4343 \sigma_e / V \]  

If we can neglect the multiple scattering among spheres, the approximate cross section
\[ \sigma_e \approx -\frac{2\pi}{k_0^2} \Re \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 2n+1 \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( A_{n+i} + B_{n+i} \right) \]

is applied to Eq. (27) with the aid of Eqs. (16) and (17). We will use this formula in the later computations.

Let us determine the series of realistic radii \( a_q \) as a function of rainfall intensity \( R \) (mm/h). Each distribution model proposes a function \( N(a) \) (m\(^{-3}\) mm\(^{-1}\)), which is a number of raindrops having the radius between \( a \) and \( a + da \) (mm) per unit volume. Then the integral
\[ \bar{N}(a) = \int_a^\infty N(a) da \]  
gives a number of raindrops, the radius of which is less than \( a \) (mm), per unit volume. The value \( \bar{N}(\infty) \) denotes the total number. When we deal with \( Q \) raindrops in the numerical computation, the \( q \)-th radius \( a_q \) (mm) is sampled by the rule
\[ a_q = \sqrt[6]{\frac{3Q}{64mnq}} \]
Table 5. Radii of raindrops at $R = 50$ mm/h and $Q = 8$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Radii $a_q$ (mm)</th>
<th>$V$ (cm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>0.02 0.06 0.10 0.16 0.23 0.32 0.46 0.77</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weibull</td>
<td>0.14 0.28 0.40 0.52 0.65 0.80 0.99 1.35</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f. Numerical examples

Examples of the radius $a_q$ by the above models are given in Table 5 for fixed $R$ and $Q$. The exponential distribution proposed by Marshall and Palmer predicts that, compared with Weibull model, smaller raindrops are concentrated inside a smaller volume. Fig. 6 shows the convergence of attenuation $\gamma$ as $Q$ increases based on the Weibull distribution up to 1000 GHz. The relative complex permittivity of water is a function of temperature and frequency. One of the effective formulas (Liebe et al., 1991) gives, at $25^\circ$C, $\varepsilon_r = 78.1$–$j3.8$, 62.8–$j29.9$, 7.8–$j13.8$, and 4.2–$j2.3$ for 1, 10, 100, and 1000 GHz, respectively. Slight irregularity at $Q = 2$ stems from internal resonance in the dielectric media, which is relaxed for larger $Q$ due to the averaging effect. Roughly speaking, the distance between adjacent curves becomes halved as $Q$ is doubled, which results in good convergence. Hereafter we will fix as $Q = 32$. 

$$\frac{\tilde{N}(q)}{N(x)} = q^{-1/2} \frac{1}{Q} \quad (q = 1, 2, \ldots, Q)$$

with $V = Q / \tilde{N}(x)$. Among a lot of proposed models, we select exponential and Weibull distribution models and the related formulas are arranged in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raindrop distribution model</th>
<th>Density function $N(q)$ (m$^{-3}$ mm$^{-1}$) Parameters</th>
<th>Sampled radius $a_q$ (mm) by (30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exponential (Marshall et al., 1948)</td>
<td>$N_0 e^{-\Lambda a}$</td>
<td>$-\frac{1}{\Lambda} \log \left(1 - \frac{q^{-1/2}}{Q}\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_0 = 16000$ m$^{-3}$ mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\Lambda = 8.2 R^{0.21}$</td>
<td>$\tilde{N}(x) = N_0 / \Lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weibull (Sekine et al., 1987)</td>
<td>$N_0 \eta(q) \left(\frac{a}{\sigma}\right)^{\gamma-1} e^{-a/\sigma} / q!$</td>
<td>$\sigma \left[-\log \left(1 - \frac{q^{-1/2}}{Q}\right)\right]^{1/\eta}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_0 = 1000$ m$^{-3}$ mm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\eta = 0.95 R^{0.14}$</td>
<td>$\tilde{N}(x) = N_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma = 0.13 R^{0.44}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Representative two distribution models. The rainfall $R$ is measured in mm/h.
Table 5. Radii of raindrops at

Hereafter we will fix as adjacent curves becomes halved as

Examples of the radius f. Numerical examples Weibull model, smaller raindrops are concentrated inside a smaller volume.

distribution models and the related formulas are arranged in Table 4.

temperature and frequency. One of the effective formulas (Liebe et al., 1991) gives, at
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Fig. 7 shows comparisons of attenuation \( \gamma \) between the exponential and Weibull distribution for four values of rainfall \( Q \). At low frequencies the exponential distribution predicts lower attenuation, probably because electrically small raindrops work as weak scatterers and absorbers. These drops contribute, in turn, to attenuation at high frequencies, since they are now electrically large and densely allocated. Fig. 8 shows the effect of changing temperature. The deviation of specific attenuation behaves in a different manner between the frequency bands 10-20 GHz and 30-100 GHz. This is explained by the permittivity of the water. In the lower frequency band around 15 GHz, the real part of \( \varepsilon_r \) is large at high temperatures, which leads large scattering loss. On the other hand, in the millimeter wave around 50 GHz, the increase in the permittivity makes the raindrops electrically large, which promotes the electromagnetic transparency of rain medium and results in low attenuation.

2.4 Synthetic storm technique

Synthetic storm technique (SST) is a method to obtain estimates of rain attenuation statistics for links of a given length, whenever a real radio link is nonexistent. Given measurements of wind velocity and time series of rain intensity at a site, statistics of rain attenuation on a hypothetical link passing through or nearby that site can be estimated by dividing the link into segments, each of length the distance travelled over by the rain structure as it is blown by the wind during one sampling period of rain rate measurement. At each sampling time, rain attenuation is obtained as the sum of specific attenuation estimates (dB/km) multiplied by the segment length (km). That is, the \( n \)-th sample of rain attenuation is:

\[ A(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} k \left[ R(n-m) \right]^\alpha \delta_m \]  

with \( R(n) \) denoting the \( n \)-th sample of rain rate measurement, \( k \) and \( \alpha \) the power-law coefficients that depend on radio frequency, wave polarization, temperature, drop shape
and size distribution, such as those given by ITU-R Rec. P.838 (ITU-R, 2005), \( N \) the number of segments constituting the link, and \( \delta_m \) the length of the \( m \)-th segment of the link. That is,

\[
N = \left[ \frac{L \cos \theta}{vT} \right]
\]

(32)

Fig. 7. Specific attenuation at 25°C by two models with sampled 32 raindrops. Dashed curves: exponential distribution, Solid curves: Weibull distribution.

Fig. 8. Temperature dependence of specific attenuation by Weibull distribution with sampled 32 raindrops at \( R = 50 \text{ mm/h} \). (a) Deviation \( \gamma (T) - \gamma (20^\circ \text{C}) \) and (b) Real and Imaginary parts of relative permittivity \( \varepsilon \).
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\[ \delta_n = \begin{cases} \frac{vT}{\cos\theta} & m = 0, \ldots, N - 2 \text{ and } \theta \neq 90^\circ \\ \frac{(N - 1)vT}{\cos\theta} & m = N - 1 \text{ and } \theta \neq 90^\circ \\ \frac{L}{N} & \text{all } m \text{ and } \theta = 90^\circ \end{cases} \]  

(33)

with \( v \) representing wind velocity, \( T \) the rain rate sampling period and \( \theta \) angular difference between the wind direction and link orientation. The situation is graphically described in Fig. 9. The SST does not yield estimates of the actual rain attenuation, but it proves to give a good estimate of the attenuation statistics (Matricciani & Riva, 2005). It can also be used to acquire good statistical estimates of fade dynamics (Matricciani, 2004; Sánchez-Lago et al, 2007). Mahmudah et al (2008) and Suwadi et al (2009) use SST to examine the performance of cell-site diversity in a network of converging, short links.

Eqn. (31) indicates that rain attenuation samples can be straightforwardly obtained by convolving the rectangular-windowed time series of the specific attenuation and that of the segment length. This fact has been pointed out first by Matricciani (1996) and is also confirmed in our own study. When the wind direction and link orientation are perpendicular, the link comprises only a single segment and the convolution becomes multiplication. In this case, the resulting attenuation statistics tend to be higher due to the absence of moving-average effects.

Before proceeding to use the SST results in subsequent analyses, a comparison is made on the different results arising from the use of different values of wind velocity. In this case, we consider three different statistics, namely, the daily average, the daily maximum, and the monthly average. It is shown in Fig. 10 that the distributions of attenuation for the case of daily average and monthly average wind velocity, respectively, are almost identical, whereas the one obtained using daily maximum value tend to give an upper bound (Hendrantoro et al, 2007b). It can also be observed that for probabilities of being exceeded less than 0.1%, the SST results are higher than the value predicted by the ITU-R method.

An attempt to confirm the applicability of SST for short links is made by comparing the attenuation statistics on a hypothetical link from the SST exercise using rain rate measurements with that estimated using a simple segmentation method. The latter makes use of the fact that the measurement sites are almost aligned in an almost North-South orientation (see Fig. 1). Using this method and recognizing that the fictitious link A-D would be 1.55 km long, the rain attenuation estimate at the \( n \)th sampling time is:

\[ A(n) = 1.55k \sum_{n=1}^{3} [R_{\alpha}(n)]^\frac{1}{3} \Delta l_m \text{ dB} \]  

(34)

with indexes \( m = 1, \ldots, 3 \) referring to sites A, B and D (rain gauge at site C is inactive during the observation), \( \Delta l_1 = \Delta l_2 = 0.25, \Delta l_3 = 0.5 \), and all other variables defined previously. This scenario is depicted in Fig. 11. It is assumed that each rain gauge represents an area of homogeneous instantaneous rain rate. Results shown in Table 6 indicate that there is no significant difference among the percentiles for various methods, sources, and link orientations. This has also been confirmed through a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in which the
distribution curves are shown to reside within the upper and lower bounds for lognormality with 80% confidence interval. For low probabilities of being exceeded, SST results for the North-South oriented link tend to surpass those for the East-West. This phenomenon owes to the fact that about 67% of the wind velocity measurements made simultaneously with those of rain rate and subsequently used in the SST are of East-West direction, i.e., perpendicular to the North-South link orientation, which might result in higher statistics as previously discussed.

Fig. 9. Segmentation of a hypothetical link A-B according to the wind velocity $v$ and averaging time of rain rate measurement $T$.

![Fig. 9](image)

Fig. 10. Complementary cumulative distribution of rain attenuation along a 2 km link obtained using various statistics of wind velocity compared to that obtained using ITU-R method.

![Fig. 10](image)
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3. Modelling of rain intensity and attenuation

3.1 Space-time distribution of rain intensity and attenuation

A number of efforts have been made by researchers around the globe to model and characterize the space-time variation. Maseng-Bakken model of temporal characteristics of rain attenuation (Maseng & Bakken, 1981) is commonly used as a starting point in doing so. For instance, Burgueno et al (1990) has adopted the model to describe the 49-years of rain rate measurement in Barcelona, Spain. Paulson (2002) and Gremont (2004) have expanded the Maseng-Bakken model into a space-time one of rain field involving correlation function of rain rate. Other than that, different forms of spatial correlation have also been proposed separately by Lin (1975), Morita and Higuti (1978), and Capsoni et al (1981) from measurements made in the U.S., Japan and Europe, respectively. Kanellopoulos and Koukoulas (1987) use the first two models to estimate the correlation of attenuations on two links converging into a common end.

Table 6. Percentiles of attenuation (dB) obtained from SST on East-West and North-South links compared to those from the three-segment link.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentiles of attenuation (dB)</th>
<th>Synthetic Storm</th>
<th>Segmented Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East-West oriented</td>
<td>North-South oriented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.00 0.13 0.22</td>
<td>0.00 0.00 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0.5</td>
<td>1.40 1.68 1.47</td>
<td>1.31 1.35 1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0.1</td>
<td>15.01 13.66 13.02</td>
<td>14.55 12.86 12.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0.05</td>
<td>22.34 21.64 21.49</td>
<td>19.96 20.78 21.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0.01</td>
<td>38.95 36.85 35.73</td>
<td>37.11 35.92 34.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0.005</td>
<td>42.32 39.38 38.49</td>
<td>38.56 39.26 38.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0.001</td>
<td>51.27 47.59 44.04</td>
<td>58.10 47.82 44.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0.0005</td>
<td>53.65 48.84 45.29</td>
<td>60.18 48.36 45.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Use of multiple rain gauges to compute rain attenuation estimates on a fictitious radio link.
In a similar investigation performed in Surabaya, measurements of rain rate made in 2006-2007 are classified into stratiform and convective types (Hendrantoro et al, 2007b). A simple criterion is adopted in this classification, that is, an event is considered “convective” if it contains samples with intensity higher than 25 mm/hr (Bogush, 1989). The time correlation for each of the two types of rain is subsequently compared with the result from 49 years of data obtained in Barcelona, Spain. It can be seen from Fig. 12 that the convective type exhibits correlation of rain rate that decreases with time lag more rapidly than the stratiform. In addition, both types of rain observed in Surabaya shows slower decrease in correlation with time lag compared to the result from Barcelona. Despite the vast difference in the length of the measurement period, this finding might indicate that even the convective rain events in Surabaya last longer than average rain events in Barcelona. When time-to-space conversion using SST is concerned, it leads to a strong indication that rain cells in Surabaya are larger than those occurring in Barcelona.

![Fig. 12. Average autocorrelation functions for the stratiform and convective events in Surabaya compared with the function for all events in Barcelona.](image)

The latter observation is supported by a spatial correlation analysis of rain rate and specific attenuation in Surabaya. In this analysis, the rain events are classified into four groups according to the quartiles of either the space-time maximum or average of the squares of rain rate. The two different criteria yield different results, and hence, both are considered separately. With measurements made in four different sites of various distances in-between, correlation coefficients of rain rate are obtained for six pairs of sites of different distances, namely, 0.4, 0.55, 0.70, 0.95, 1.00 and 1.55 km. For rain rate analysis, average correlation between two sites of distance $d$ km according to Morita-Higuti (MH) model:

$$\rho_h(d) = e^{-\alpha \sqrt{d}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

with $\alpha$ being the model parameter whose value lies in the range of 0.2-0.3 km$^{-1/2}$, and Capsoni-Matricciani-Mauri (CMM) model:

$$\rho_h(d) = e^{-\beta d}$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion</th>
<th>Hartigan (mm/h)</th>
<th>Median (mm/h)</th>
<th>90th. (mm/h)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum rain rate criterion</td>
<td>$\leq 32.1$</td>
<td>$0.25$</td>
<td>$0.24$</td>
<td>$0.25-0.26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean squared rain rate criterion</td>
<td>$\leq 12.75$</td>
<td>$1.72$</td>
<td>$1.95$</td>
<td>$1.95-2.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum specific attenuation criterion</td>
<td>$\leq 29.92$</td>
<td>$3.64$</td>
<td>$3.88$</td>
<td>$3.88-4.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$0.059$</td>
<td>$0.062$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Lin model parameter estimation from Surabaya measurements.
with $\beta$ equal to 0.46 km$^{-2}$, are used as reference. For the specific attenuation, which is calculated by applying the power-law relation with ITU-R coefficients for 30 GHz horizontally polarized waves (ITU-R, 2005), Lin model is adopted:

$$\rho_s(d) = \frac{G}{\sqrt{G^2 + d^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

with $G$ being the characteristic distance that lies in the range of 1.5–3 km. The rain rate and specific attenuation quartiles together with the parameter values of the HM, CMM and Lin models obtained through fitting to (35)–(37) are shown in Tables 7 and 8, while the correlation curves are pictorially depicted in Fig. 13. For the lower quartiles the value of $a$ is in the same range as the MH model, but is generally lower for the greater quartiles. For the CMM model, the values of $\beta$ are all less than 0.46 obtained in Europe. These findings suggest that rain cells in Surabaya, especially those formed during the heaviest rain events, possess larger dimension than their Japanese and European counterparts.

Conclusions that can be drawn from this investigation are manifold. Firstly, it is apparent that categorization of rain events into quartiles based on maximum and mean squared values of rain rate yields different sets of correlation function. It indicates that events with high maximum values do not necessarily possess high mean square values. An extreme exemplary case of such a condition is an event comprising a very long drizzle preceded by a brief high-intensity storm. Secondly, it can be observed that events with high mean square values, i.e., greater than 49.7 (mm/h)$^2$, tend to produce high spatial correlation of rain rates between two sites up to 2 km apart. It suggests that the average size of rain cells having long intense rain is relatively large.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Maximum rain rate criterion</th>
<th>Mean squared rain rate criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$R_{\text{max}} \leq 32.1$</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>$32.1 &lt; R_{\text{max}} \leq 61.1$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>$61.1 &lt; R_{\text{max}} \leq 101.4$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>$101.4 &lt; R_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. HM and CMM model parameter estimation from Surabaya measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Maximum specific attenuation criterion</th>
<th>Mean squared specific attenuation criterion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_{H_{\text{max}}}$</td>
<td>$G$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$A_{H_{\text{max}}} \leq 7.11$</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>$7.11 &lt; A_{H_{\text{max}}} \leq 12.75$</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>$12.75 &lt; A_{H_{\text{max}}} \leq 21.76$</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>$21.76 &lt; A_{H_{\text{max}}}$</td>
<td>3.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Lin model parameter estimation from Surabaya measurements.
3.2 ARIMA modeling of rain rate and attenuation

The short-term variation of rain attenuation is of great interest to millimeter-wave system designers as it determines the capability of the system required to handle the induced fades. In particular, two parameters are commonly used as a measure of fade dynamics, namely...
fade duration and fade slope (van de Kamp & Castanet, 2002). A time series model is sought to demonstrate fade dynamics characteristics that approach those of actual measurements. A number of models have been proposed and tested by various researchers. In stochastic signal processing, it is of interest to obtain an appropriate time series model for stationary random processes. Such models that might be useful and appropriate to describe rain fade dynamics are of the ARMA family. The ARMA model has been proposed for modelling rain attenuation in both single- and multiple-link configuration (Hendrantoro et al, 2006, Yadnya et al, 2008a, 2008b). The underlying assumption is that the rain field is stationary, at least during the rain event under consideration, both in time and space. The temporal stationarity assumption assures that the ARMA model order does not change during the event, whereas the spatial stationarity is necessary for the applicability of the multi-variate version to the case of multiple-link system. The latter is deemed acceptable for a configuration with very short links located nearby, such as the macro-diversity access links of a millimeter-wave cellular system. Considering the statistics of rain cell diameter, which is approximately in the order of 4-5 km (extrapolation of spatial correlation of specific attenuation for the 4th quartile in Fig. 13 (b) up to about 0.5), it is motivating to obtain a more realistic, non-stationary model. Consequently, studies are made on various possible models, including those of ARIMA family.

To determine the appropriate ARIMA($p, d, q$) model parameters, where $p$, $d$ and $q$ denote the orders of the AR part, the differencing process and the MA, respectively, firstly the stationarity in both variance and mean of the event is evaluated following Box-Jenkins (Box et al, 1994). The test for stationarity in variance is intended to determine the appropriate transformation required to make the event stationary, if necessary. Whereas the test for stationarity in mean is meant to specify the order of differencing $d$. Next, the orders of the AR and MA parts are identified and diagnosed for the whiteness and normality of the residual. If necessary, outliers can be detected and discarded to increase the model accuracy as measured by the mean squared error (MSE). The resulting model parameters can be used to generate time series samples of rain attenuation following the characteristics of the particular event upon which the model is developed. To produce a more generic model, a large database of rain events must be used, from which various values of model parameters can be identified and clustered to yield a complete stochastic model. Such a model, for instance, must be capable of determining the statistics of appearance of a given set of model parameter values. Expansion into space-time model can also be made by invoking, for example, the VARIMA (Vector ARIMA) model using simultaneous measurements at several spatially distributed rain gauges (for rain rate) or radio links (for attenuation).

An illustration of the ARIMA model application involving a number of rain events is given as follows. During an event, rain attenuation at 28 GHz on the 54 meter link is recorded with one-second sampling period. For verification purposes, attenuation is also estimated from rainfall rate measured during the event by invoking the conversion method outlined in ITU-R Rec. P.838 for vertically polarized radio wave at 30 GHz (ITU-R, 2005). Shown below is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of rain attenuation estimates on February 10, 2009 obtained through three fashions: direct measurement of attenuation, measurement of rain rate followed by conversion using the ITU-R method and computer generation of time series samples according to the ARIMA modelling result. It is found out that the most appropriate model for this particular event is ARIMA (0, 1, 1). However, for different events, ARIMA model of different orders might result.
4. Examples of evaluation of communication systems

4.1 Cell-site diversity with adaptive modulation for cellular systems

In this example, evaluation is made upon cell-site diversity on the downlink involving two base stations making a 180° spacing around a user terminal (Hendrantoro et al, 2007a), as shown in Fig. 15 (a). The downlinks are of identical lengths $L_1 = L_2$ and experiencing correlated rain attenuation of $A_{R1}$ and $A_{R2}$ respectively, that are generated from the bivariate AR model (Hendrantoro et al, 2006). The links employ separate yet identical adaptive M-QAM modulators with fixed power, implying fixed clear-sky SNR on both links. Herein it is assumed to be 44.8 dB, 38.8 dB, 35.3 dB and 32.8 dB for a pair of equal-length links of 1, 2, 3 and 4 km, respectively. The system model is given in Fig. 15 (b), whereas the scenario for adaptive modulation following the output SNR of the combiner at the user side is presented in Table 9, which is devised to attain bit error rate of $10^{-11}$. Three different methods of combining are considered, namely, selection (SC), equal-gain (EGC) and maximal-ratio combining (MRC).

Results shown in Fig. 16 demonstrate the system performance, mainly in terms of average bit error rate and probability of outage. Fig. 16 (a) shows the complementary cumulative distribution function of bit error rate for 1 km links, which is kept approximately $10^{-11}$. Fig. 16 (b) shows the outage probabilities for different combining techniques and link lengths. It can be seen that with any combining scheme, the outage can be suppressed down to 0.06% or less (corresponding to availability of 99.94% or higher), even for links of 4 km. With adaptive M-QAM modulation, the outage is compensated for by adopting lower modulation level, which leads to lower bandwidth efficiency. From another result not shown here, the actual degradation in the average efficiency is actually not significant. Fig. 16 (d) also reveals
that diversity might only be necessary for user terminals positioned near the border of cells having radius of about 4 km.

Fig. 15. Simulated communication system: (a) physical configuration and (b) system model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNR (dB)</th>
<th>Modulation</th>
<th>4-QAM ($M=4$)</th>
<th>16-QAM ($M=16$)</th>
<th>64-QAM ($M=64$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 13.6</td>
<td>No Transmission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.6 – 20.6</td>
<td>4-QAM ($M=4$)</td>
<td>16-QAM ($M=16$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.6 - 26.8</td>
<td>16-QAM ($M=16$)</td>
<td>64-QAM ($M=64$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 26.8</td>
<td>64-QAM ($M=64$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Scenario used in adaptive modulation

4.2 Channel capacity of LMDS applying distributed MIMO

MIMO (multi-input multi-output) wireless systems with distributed antennas (D-MIMO) has been studied as a way to improve the system capacity (Lioli et al, 2009). Herein we explain the evaluation of the Shannon capacity performance of a 2×2 D-MIMO, with link configuration as used in the previous section, especially in rainy conditions. Fig. 17 shows the improvement in channel capacity due to adoption of D-MIMO. A significant increase in capacity over the SISO case can be realized when the links involved are of equal length, that is, 1 km as in Fig. 17 (a). Lower increases in capacity happen when the other link is longer, as seen in Fig. 17 (b), with positive improvement occurs only when the clear-sky SNR is about 12 dB or larger. However, it can be observed in all cases that the destructive impact of rain on the achieved capacity is not so significant.

5. Concluding remarks

A number of issues have been discussed throughout this chapter. First, raindrop size distribution measurements made in Surabaya have been found to slightly differ from that of the M-P model and those derived from Singapore measurements. Fits to Weibull and gamma have subsequently been made from the Surabaya measurements. It has also been found that large variation also occurs in raindrop fall velocity distribution, deviating from the commonly used Gunn-Kinzer model, but does not significantly change the specific attenuation estimates computed accordingly.
Fig. 16. Performance of dual-link systems with adaptive modulation and diversity with various combining schemes in terms of (a) CCDF of bit error rate for 1 km links and (b) outage probability for various link lengths and diversity combining techniques.

Fig. 17. The capacity of D-MIMO and SISO systems for (a) links of 1 km both and (b) unbalanced links of 1 km and 3 km.

Secondly, the solution based on spherical mode expansion has been developed to yield a set of linear equations that includes whole multiple scattering effects. Assuming that this effect is weak, we derived approximate but effective formulas for specific rain attenuation based on the exponential and Weibull models for realistic raindrop distribution. Numerical results were shown for the frequency dependence of specific attenuation at several values of rainfall and temperature. The discrepancy of the results by two models and the deviation due to temperature change were discussed.

Thirdly, a look into synthetic storm technique has shown its applicability for short links and the effects of using different statistics of wind velocity on the attenuation statistics. Attenuation statistics tend to be higher when the wind blows perpendicular to the link orientation.
Fourthly, an examination of space-time variation shows that on average convective rain events in Surabaya last longer than those in Barcelona. A deeper study into the spatial variation indicates that the spatial correlation depends on the intensity of the rain. Events with high mean squared rain rate tend to produce high spatial correlation between two sites up to 2 km apart, which suggests that the average rain cells having long intense rain are relatively large. ARMA and ARIMA modeling of rain events has also been discussed and given an example. The ARIMA model has been chosen particularly due to its ability to describe the non-stationarity of rain events.

Finally, examples have been given on the use of rain model to evaluate the performance of millimeter-wave communication systems under the impact of rain attenuation. The first example involves cell-site diversity with adaptive modulation implemented in cellular systems, while the second concerns LMDS applying distributed MIMO scheme. In particular, average bit error rate, probability of outage and channel capacity are taken as performance indicators.
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